

ORIGINAL

No. _____

24-7279

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
MAR 03 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Jamie Bullard, et. al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The United States Court of Appeals - for the Fourth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El,
(Your Name)

4600 Swamp Fox Hwy-904-West
(Address)

Tabor City, nc 28463.
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

MAY 22 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Can a race "Hispanic" have ancestors and become a citizen of any National Federal Government?
2. Can a race "Other" becoming a citizen in the sense in which that word is used in the constitution of the United States,?
3. Can a race "Whaite" descend, have ancestors or - National Federal Constitutional Government,?

LIST OF parties

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page,

[V] All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page,
A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose Judgment-
is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El,
Petitioner

VS,

Jamie Bullard-Warden/Superintendent of Tabor CI,
Pete Buchholtz-The office of Deputy Secretary-N.C.-D.A.C.
Kimberly D. Grande-The office of Executive Director-N.C.-D.A.C.
Joshua H. Stein-The office of Attorney General- NC,
Defendant (s)

Related Cases

- The Dred Scott vs Sandford- 60 U.S. (19-Howard) 393 (1857)
- The Amistad - (1841),
- The Elian Gonzalez of Cuba in The (2000)
- The Miranda vs Arizona- 384 U.S. 436- AT 491 .

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES Opinions Below - - - - 1. PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES Page number - - - 5.

OTHER Page number - - - - - 7.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	4
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	7
CONCLUSION.....	8

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A The United States Court of Appeals for The Fourth Circuit,

APPENDIX B The United States district court for The Eastern - district of North Carolina

APPENDIX C The administrative - Remedy - DC-410, Record's Copy's

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at U.S. Court of Appeals - Fourth Circuit; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at U.S. - Eastern district of NC; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was January / 28 / 2025

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. The petitioner have been violated a constitutional Rights by deprived of Article 1- Section - 9- and 10 of The United States Constitution by All The defendant's
2. The defendant's Violated The petitioner, procedural - Due process, The due process Clauses prohibit any officials from depriving The petitioner- of life, liberty, or property Without due process of LAW - 14th AMENDMENTS,
3. All- defendant's Clearly Excise official power in dealings with prisoner, So Color of LAW is rarely an issue in prison Cases people and Corporation, The defendant's The violated Title 18 U.S.C. - section 241- and 242. of The U.S.- Code.
4. Amendment - VII , of The U.S. - Constitution, "state" - In Suits at COMMON law, Where The value in controversy shall exceed Twenty dollars, The right of Trial by jury shall be preserved
5. The defendant's violate Title 18 U.S.C. - section 112, of The U.S. - Code. also The Treaties of U.S. - and MOROCCO

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. I AM- Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El, I private Indigenous Moroccan American-National, in propria persona Sui Juris, do hereby and - herewith declare That I am a Citizen of The Moorish American National Government of North West AMEXEM. Moroccan Empire.
2. I The petitioner- Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El, on July 18, 2024, The petitioner filed a Complaint pursuan to 42 U.S.C.- Section 1983, petitioner gave fair notice to The defendant 'S of petitioner, Correct "status" because petitioner has been Wrongly identified and illegally detained, on 28-day of January, 2025, Chief United States district Judge, Richard E, Myers II Denies The petitioner Complaint, see, copy, Appendix- B
3. On- 10/07/2024, The petitioner file a Motion for order to Show- Cause for a priliminary Injunction and a permanent Restraining order filed by Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El, on 28-day of - January, 2025, Chief United States district Judge, Richard E, Myers II Denies The petitioner Motion, See, copy, Appendix- B
4. The petitioner have been deny of Remedy an ongoing violation of Civil and Constitutional Right

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

5. On 11/04/2024, The petitioner filed a Motion petition or - Application for order compelling arbitration, on 28-day of January, 2025,
Chief United States district Judge, Richard E. Myers II Denies The petitioner motion. see copy, Appendix- B
6. The petitioner has been deny of The VII- Amendment of U. S.- Constitution, state In Suits at common law, Where The value in Controversy Shall exceed Twenty dollars The right of Trial by jury shall be preserved,
7. The petitioner- Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El, filed a - petition for a Writ of Mandamus, in United States - Court of Appeals
The united states Court of Appeals, issu a Judgment on- January- 28, 2025,
In accordance with The decision of The Court, The petition for Writ of Mandamus or a Writ of Prohibition is - denied, by The court. See. copy, Appendix- A.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. I, Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El, My Nationality is - Moorish American / MU'UR- MOOR
2. I am NOT Hispanic, or Latino, other, Whaite descen
3. I have right to a Nationality, and right to property also to a due process, The due process clauses prohibit any officials from depriving The petitioner of life, liberty, or property without due process of LAW, th 14, Amendment.
4. The petitioner, Civil and constitutional has been violated by All defendant's also Treaties of U.S. and MOROCCO
5. The petitioner have right to Trial by jury, state in The VII-AMendment of The United states - Constitution, - in Suits at common law where The value in controversy shall exceed Twenty dollars, The right of Trial by jury shall be - preserved.
6. The petitioner- prayer That The Supreme court Granting The petition

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

7. The petitioner- Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El, file a DC-410, to exhausted The administrative- Remedies as are available on June- 28- 2024, and Notice all The defendant's With petitioner filed- of his Nationality, see. Appendix - - C"
8. The petitioner- Ra Saadi Lennox Hernandez El, file a DC-410, on July- 3- 2024, and Notice all The defendant's of petitioner correct - Status also petitioner- Notice all defendant's of fraud committed upon The private "ESTATE" See. Appendix- C"
9. petitioner- Request Oath of office Title 18 U. S. C.- Section- 1621, and to produce- Affidavit's, or certified Copy of Oath, But The defendant's failure to produce The petitioner Request, see. Appendix - - C"
10. The petitioner- prayer That The Supreme Court of The - United States, Granting The petition

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Ra Sadi Lenox Hernandez Jr.

Date: 02/28/2025,