

No. 24-700

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY

v.

Petitioner,

UNITED STATES, et. al.

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the

Supreme Court of the United States

PETITION FOR REHEARING

DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY

PRO SE

3561 SAINT ANDREWS VILLAGE CIRCLE

LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40241

720-641-7495 dbran53078@aol.com

- Perceptive Material Evidence was not reviewed.

- Petitioner was deprived Due Process.

- Issues raised involve Public Interest.

“Perceptive Material Evidence” is Genetic Material Evidence and would require David Thomas Harris Brantley to be present at an event and cognitive of review. Therefore, a Perceptive human would likely know if “Perceptive Material Evidence” is reviewed in relation to them. Perhaps, even with the presence of a Military liaison since Perceptive communication is a type of Genetic communication and could be considered a historical classified “Genetic Military” communication.

Instead, David Thomas Harris Brantley feels that the “Perceptive Material Evidence” described in

this case was believed to be and considered to be Transcendental Evidence and an attempt was made to review suspected Transcendental Evidence in order to flip the case into a criminal case. Perhaps, even with the intent to flip the case into a criminal case against David Thomas Harris Brantley. At the end of the day, the available “Perceptive Material Evidence”, which theoretically is available, only interprets Transcendental events into Perception and potentially “Perceptive Material Evidence”, it is not Transcendental Evidence itself. The Perception and “Perceptive Material Evidence” interpretations of this case only depict David Thomas Harris Brantley as a victim of any said available Transcendental Evidence events with Transcendental activity only being done to David Thomas Harris Brantley and not David Thomas Harris Brantley participating,

initiating or responding to any Transcendental activity. Ultimately, because David Thomas Harris Brantley is not Transcendental, Sanatoria, homosexual, a user of any radioactive isotopes or an “alcoholic” by definition. David Thomas Harris Brantley is a Masonic Buddhist, genetically, and as a result heterosexual. Furthermore, the relationship of the Transcendence being communicated to and or done to a human, and not away from or responded from a human, is indicative of the victim being in “Forced Slavery” as it relates to Transcendence by definition, which is the case in this situation.

Ironically, Transcendence is commonly referred to as the historical “bonds of slavery”. If Perceptively interpreted Transcendence is sent then received then responded to Transcendentally, *i.e.* Transcendence going both ways, this would be indicative of “Willful

Slavery" which is often associated with Sanatoria, homosexuality, and even Nazi, which is not the situation in this case with David Thomas Harris Brantley. Perception also explains why David Thomas Harris Brantley is cognitive of and has interpreted numerous Transcendental signaling transmission events associated with Transcendental solicitation events over the years but has never responded to events in Transcendence or participated in Transcendental events or participated in human trafficking for it might be criminal to do so. Thus, David Thomas Harris Brantley is clearly in "Forced Slavery" and victim as it relates to Transcendence, which could likely be a result of an early childhood adoption with possible abduction, which the victim has never been notified of. Furthermore, by never prescribing to homosexuality which would change the

Transcendental slavery relationship to “Willful Slavery” from “Forced Slavery” as it relates to Transcendence. Theoretically, David Thomas Harris Brantley may have remained in “Forced Slavery” since initial events of approximately the early 1970’s with some awareness of cognitive childhood memory.

Perception is a “Genetic Military” communication, innate and unique to “Masonic” humans which are genetically a type of Scottish. The Perception can allow the Perceptive human to see and know what their Transcendental opponent is doing, albeit in Perception not Transcendence, giving the Perceptive human a said tactical advantage. Perceptive humans can also have the ability to interpret Clairvoyance, Telekinesis and other communication mediums. Therefore, David Thomas Harris Brantley feels available Transcendental

evidence, from where ever, could have been reviewed, which is often associated with criminal activity and perhaps really only admissible in Military Courts, to launch or substantiate a criminal case.

However, actual available “Perceptive Material Evidence” has not been reviewed and should be, since the case is stated as and accepted as a “Perceptive Material Evidence” case beginning in Federal District Court. Hopefully so Due Process is respected and so the Public Interest associated with the issues of the case, including potential Genocide, can be correctly addressed. Therefore, a Rehearing involving the review of said “Perceptive Material Evidence” would be prudent and best for Public Interest since “Perceptive Material Evidence” has actual admissibility to the United States Supreme Court. Again, David Thomas Harris Brantley firmly

believes that the genetic material contained in Bone Morphogenic Protein is ultimately derived from the genetic population David Thomas Harris Brantley is innate of, and so are his children, consisting of innate Masonic humans and domesticated species. Therefore, David Thomas Harris Brantley firmly believes that he qualifies as a Genetic Victim of Bone Morphogenic Protein product use and production and policy needs to be established, enacted and enforced to best serve Public Interest. Hopefully, if David Thomas Harris Brantley is correct, prohibiting the use of Bone Morphogenic Protein or at least prohibiting the off-label use of Bone Morphogenic Protein.