



USCA6 No. 23-5976

Originating No. 24-700

23-5934, 3:23-cv-278-RGJ, 4:23-00055-TWP-KMB

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY

Petitioner(s)

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;

GOVERNMENT OF CANADA

Respondent(s)

On petition For Writ Of Certiorari To The United
States Court Of Appeals For The Sixth Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY

3501 SAINT ANDREWS VILLAGE CIRCLE

LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, 40241

720-641-7495

QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

Atrocity?

Can the "off label" use of Bone Morphogenic Protein manifest in genocide?

Is there a genetic human victim population which exist in the Masonic Human Caste of Buddhism, where Masonic "Crip" Humans and Domesticated Species including Rats share Genetic Material?

Was Bone Morphogenic Protein used historically by the British Empire between the Civil War and World War One by centrifuging spinal fluid off of Rat seaman and using as a Heroin? Did it hit a wall for the British Empire? Is this the same as Bone Morphogenic Protein?

Is Bone Morphogenic Protein harvested from Domesticated Rats a Back door to Masonic "Crip" Human Genetic material?

Does the unenforcement of Global Scottish Marital and Dating Laws in the United States manifest in Genetic Warfare and violations of Military Law?

Is Perceptive Material Evidence jurisdiction only of The Supreme Court level of the Federal Court system as well as Military Courts?

Are Masonic Humans innate to Perception?

Did David Thomas Harris Brantley Procreate when he produced his two children?

Was David Thomas Harris Brantley innate to the family he grew up in Louisville Kentucky?

Is David Thomas Harris Brantley a victim of early childhood kidnapping, perhaps from Yorkville Toronto Ontario Canada in the late sixties or early seventies?

Was there grounds and material evidence to take David Thomas Harris Brantley's children away as a Parent, resulting in loss of Parental rights?

Is David Thomas Harris Brantley a victim of childhood rape and was he an inpatient at Kosair Children's Hospital with a punctured lung in the early to mid-1970's, approximately age 4? Was the rape by a much older female with others present, in a Pitt of a cornfield now known as Plainview neighborhood? Was the event an aspect of Sanatoria corn farming?

Did David Thomas Harris Brantley have surgery on his left knee to remove a needle causing

great pain when he was approximately six years old?
Did the surgery take place at Jewish Hospital in
Louisville Kentucky? Was the needle really a
hypodermic needle or was it a sewing needle? Was
spinal fluid removed from his left knee? Would this
removal of spinal fluid be considered a type of rape?
Was childhood rape ever reported? Does David still
have surgical scars present on his left knee?

Did David Thomas Harris Brantley only use
taxable income for the Caduceus plan, was there then
grounds for a Federal Grand Jury? Was the Grand
Jury targeting money laundering? Can taxable
income be money laundered?

Was the Jefferson County Government,
Louisville Kentucky involved in Fascism in 1989,
partnering with Informants for quotas? Were the

informants setting up other people's children for crimes to fulfill said quotas?

Was there material evidence and probable cause to apprehend David Thomas Harris Brantley in November of 2019 for drug testing, blood and urine, even though he passed?

Was David Thomas Harris Brantley taken to National Leadership Seminar events as a youth at Camp Cedarmore and Smokey Mountains and told it was an elite leadership program within Boy Scouts?

When David Thomas Harris Brantley attended sales training with Sofamore/Danek at Olive Branch Mississippi in 1996 was there a transcendental component?

Should David Thomas Harris Brantley have been a direct employee of Johnson and Johnson after

being an independent contractor for six years and remaining with the company for twelve years?

Did Johnson and Johnson have marketing literature promoting the Healos and Collect surgical product as an autograft replacement?

Was David Thomas Harris Brantley taken to numerous Alcoholics Anonymous and Al Anon meetings as a Child in the 1970's? Is this pedophilia?

Can pyronox insulation be used to extract radioactivity from gasoline? Does pyronox contain fur from domesticated Sheep and is Sheep fur Genetic? Do some fireproof safes/files contain pyronox? Did David work in this industry in his childhood?

Does Biomet Spine owe David Thomas Harris Brantley two hundred thousand dollars for dissolution of contract without reason?

Did David Thomas Harris Brantley really need to keep donating money to the Mike O'Connell Jefferson County Attorney campaign so his former spouse could keep her job as a Family Court Prosecutor?

Did David Thomas Harris Brantley's former spouse disclose her incest relationship with her sister in her middle and high school years, at length, when they first started dating?

Did Bruce Cohen have a blackout video tape with domesticated animals, sex and human involvement?

Is Genetic testing of parents and children required for divorces in Jefferson County Kentucky?
Is Genetic testing done because of the proverbial "baby momma syndrome" which exist in Jefferson County

Kentucky? Is "baby momma syndrome" a result of heroin and/or radioactive isotopes and/or a "heroin economy"?

Has David Thomas Harris Brantley reached a state of Poverty by definition since 2015?

Did a Private Security entity, used for a concert at Folsom Field University of Colorado Boulder on July 5th 2019, use domesticated Dogs? How was the hail storm produced which halted the event for an hour? Was the hail storm a product of Metaphysics?

Were domesticated pigs routinely insufflated at an animal dissection lab for surgeon training at Ethicon Endo Johnson and Johnson Blue Ashe Ohio? Does insufflation cause pain and murder to pigs'?

Should the divorce involving David Thomas Harris Brantley been required to be moved to another

county in Kentucky and assigned a special prosecutor to avoid conflicts of interest and another bad chapter out of the book Walden, since his then wife was a prosecutor in the same county at that time?

Is Genetic material/spinal fluid use between different Genetic populations a type of cannibalism, otherwise known as a feed?

Is Genetic material/spinal fluid use within the same Genetic population cannibalism? Is this Genetic material/spinal fluid use known as a feed?

Has "off labeling" of Bone Morphogenic Protein commonly occurred in transcendence, the proverbial "quick and dirty"?

Can the use of Human Masonic "Crip" Genetic material/spinal fluid by other Genetic populations establish a communitive relationship?

Did David Thomas Harris Brantley motion his civil case to be moved from the Southern District of Indiana to the Western District of Kentucky Louisville Division? Did David Thomas Harris Brantley want his case to be moved as far away from the Jefferson County Kentucky area as possible because he feels like he is under threat in said geography? Did David Thomas Harris Brantley also motion that the case be moved to what he states as "Neutral" Courts because of the the involvement of both United States Government and Canadian Government as defendants?

Why were most motions submitted by David Thomas Harris Brantley, one concerning suspected "waterboarding", aka questioning with transcendence, or perhaps it was flash messaging, unanswered by District Court?

If David Thomas Harris Brantley proves to be kidnapped from Canada, could he of then, as a result, brought suit against anyone other than The United States Government in The United States of America?

Is it not necessary to be a "bar licensed" attorney in order to actually be, by definition, "frivolous" or commit "frivolousness"?

Isn't the relief requested on the civil case filing actually stated as a Scottish Rite slavery wage which is set based on ones individual historical slavery oppression and, at least hopefully, the amount is to discourage anyone from using those in this historical Genetic slavery population for slavery? Is David Thomas Harris Brantley in "forced slavery" by definition? Is atrocity level Masonic Law level?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED _____	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS _____	ii
PARTIES INVOLVED _____	iii
STATEMENT OF THE CASE _____	iv
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES _____	v
REFERENCE TO OPINIONS BELOW _____	vi
GROUNDS JURISDICTION IS INVOKED ____	vii
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION _____	viii
REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE OF WRIT ____	ix
APPENDIX _____	x
LOWER COURT OPINIONS _____	xi

PARTIES INVOLVED

Petitioner: David Thomas Harris Brantley.

Respondents: United States of America, United
States Government, Government of Canada,
Canadian Government.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The case was formulated as David Thomas Harris Brantley as the Central Figure and the stated claims are an outline of when said events began and when said events roughly ended and middle said events as well. Sort of like a Quentin Tarantino crime spree movie or theoretically how a Military case is developed and the entirety has to be addressed.

-Perceptive Evidence, Genetic Masonic Buddhist, of early childhood in Toronto Canada said Kidnapping and proceeding life in the United States.

-Loss of Parental Rights Jefferson County Attorney's office, Louisville KY- without said pedophilia conviction to justify such action.

-Childhood Rape with Hospital admits and surgery. Kosair Children's Hospital-punctured Lung. Jewish Hospital-left knee surgery to remove Broken Hypodermic/sewing Needle.

-Unindicted- Federal Grand Jury Fifth District approximately 2008.

-Use of informants for said arrest 1989 without Miranda Rites.

-Heroin Test, Jefferson County Police Louisville Kentucky. Apprehended at Masonic religious practice. Tested Blood/Urine without Material Evidence. Passed and released.

-Recruited unsuccessfully to NDSL, National Leadership Seminar as a Youth in Boy Scouts of America: Neo Nazi youth program.

-Attended Sophomore/Danek Sales training pre 1998 Olive Branch Mississippi.

-Loss of Employment-Olympus Biotech- for underperformance. Only promoted and did on label case- Femoral nonunion with a plate.

- Independent Contractor Sophomore/Danek Pre 1998 when Infuse Bone Morphogenic Protein was first licensed. Pre-off label marketing.

-Johnson and Johnson Independent Contractor for twelve plus years. Not recognized as pensioned at six years.

-Johnson and Johnson off label literature of Healos/Cellect as autograft replacement. FDA cleared as Bone Void Filler.

-Childhood taken to multiple Alcoholics Anonymous / Al Anon meetings but not for treatment-constitutes pedophilia.

-Pyronox- Fireproof Safes and Files as childhood family business worker, John D. Brush Company, Fire King International and Kentucky Safe.

-Biomet Spine dissolution of contract- two year contract- second year unpaid balance two hundred thousand dollars.

-Mike O'Connell campaign required donations for former spouse to keep employment.

-First wife sister forced pedophilia for approximately four years most days after middle and high school.

- Brain washing video- Bruce Cohen- with Animals, sex and human participation.
- Genetic testing was never produced for marital couple and children per divorce.
- State of Poverty per legal definition.
- Out sourced Police dogs at concert venue in Boulder Colorado and hail storm metaphysics.
- Insufflation of pigs at a surgeon training lab at Ethicon Johnson and Johnson, Blue Ashe Ohio.

The Perceptive Evidence is the Material Evidence of the Case and contains all the details of the case through Perceptive Imprinting, like God is in the details. Perceptive Evidence is Jurisdiction to US Supreme Court and Military Courts. Perceptive

Evidence is Genetic evidence unique to Masonic Humans. Clairvoyant, Telekinetic and Transcendental Evidence are only admissible in Military Courts. Understanding the use of Perceptive Evidence in the US Supreme Court as that it is the responsibility of the US Supreme Court to request the Perceptive Evidence from the Military. The Military cannot "offer" or "initiate" said action for it would violate Church and State but the Military can fulfill the request if the Human is Masonic Genetically. The Masonic's whole life events are recorded aka "Imprinted" in Perception because Masonic Humans are genetic/born to Perception. Similar to the idea of a photographic memory but it is actually a Perceptive Memory. Thus, this Perceptive "Imprinting" would constitute the Material Evidence and is what you see is what you get. The Military thus can have the ability

to package the perceptive "Imprinting", perhaps on a tablet, workstation or as Documents, for use in the US Supreme Court. This Case was originally accepted based on the existence of Perceptive Evidence, clearly stated, in the first two words of the civil filing in District Court. Federal Courts could not have accepted the case, in my opinion, without accepting said Perceptive Evidence as factual since Perceptive Evidence was clearly stated in initial civil filing.

The case will hopefully resolve the early childhood kidnapping claim one way or the other. I do have said childhood memories which would correlate to another childhood life. One is of my childhood bedroom in Speed Racer motive which is dramatically different from my bedroom in Blueridge Manor ,

Anchorage Kentucky, which I shared with a much older sibling and had two wooden twin beds. I included Canada as a defendant because Canada is where the hypothetical kidnapping originated.

The long-term Perceptive Memory retention is likely a result of a Genetic Military Defense Masonic Humans are innate of which prevents Blackout States and/or Hypnotic Reponses. Masonic Humans also have a Genetic Military Defense against viruses and/or diseases. Masonic Humans further have a Genetic Military Defense against heroin and/or radioactive isotopes. Masonic Humans do not respond or uptake heroin and/or radioactive isotopes into their human body system or perception system. Furthermore , Masonic Humans omit or repulse any

contact or exposure with heroin and/or radioactive isotopes which manifest in protecting Masonic Humans from heroin and/or radioactive isotope maladies. Once again, these defenses are resultant of Masonic Humans being unique to "Genetic Scottish Military" Genetics which are "innate" to Masonic Humans.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

ACTS

Sarbanes Oxley Act

McCain Feingold Act

Animal Welfare Act

Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act

Modern Slavery Act

LAWS

Stark Laws

Nuremberg Laws

Church and State Laws

Scottish Marital and Dating Laws

ADMENDMENDMENTS

Fourteenth Amendment

TREATIES

The Montreal Protocol

REFERENCE TO OPINIONS

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit **MANDATE** in DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY Plaintiff-Appellant v. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT; GOVERNMENT OF CANADA Defendants-Appellees (Case No. 23-5976) **MANDATE** dated, May 20, 2024 and is reproduced in the Appendix at pages App 1 – App 2.

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit **ORDER** in DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY Plaintiff-Appellant v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; GOVERNMENT OF CANADA, Defendants-Appellees (Case No.23-5976) **AFFIRM** dated, March 27, 2024, is not recommended for publication and is reproduced in the Appendix at pages App 3 – App 7.

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth
Circuit **JUDGMENT** in UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA Plaintiff-Appellee v. ANTHONY
ANDERSON Defendant-Appellant (Case No. 23-5934)
JUDGMENT dated, March 26, 2024 and is
reproduced in the Appendix at pages App 8 – App 9.

United States District Court Western District
of Kentucky Louisville Division **ORDER** in DAVID
THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY Plaintiff v. UNITED
STATES GOVERNMENT, *et al.* Defendants (Case No.
3:23-cv-278-RGJ), **ORDER** dated November 7, 2023
and is reproduced in the Appendix at pages App10 –
App 13.

United States District Court Western District
of Kentucky Louisville Division **MEMORANDUM**
OPINION in DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY

Plaintiff v. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, *et al.*
Defendants (Case No. 3:23-cv-278-RGJ), **ORDER**
dated, October 12, 2023 and is reproduced in the
Appendix at pages App 14 – App 27.

United States District Court Western District
of Kentucky Louisville Division **ORDER** in DAVID
THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY Plaintiff v. UNITED
STATES GOVERNMENT, *et al.* Defendants (Case No.
3:23-cv-278-RGJ), **ORDERED DISMISSED** dated
October 12, 2023 and is reproduced in the Appendix at
pages App 28 – App 29.

United States District Court Southern District
of Indiana New Albany Division **ORDER ON**
MOTION TO CHANGE VENUE in DAVID
THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY Plaintiff v. UNITED
STATES GOVERNMENT, and CANADIAN
GOVERNMENT, Defendants. (Case No. 4:23-00055-

TWP-KMB), **ORDER** dated May 30, 2023 and is reproduced in the Appendix at pages App 30 – App 33.

United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana **NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY** in DAVID THOMAS HARRIS BRANTLEY Plaintiff vs. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, et al. Defendant(s). (Case No. 4:23-cv-00055-TWP-KMB), **NOTICE** dated April 13, 2023 and is reproduced in the Appendix pages at App 34- App 36.

GROUND WHICH JURISDICTION IS INVOKED

The Judgement of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit was entered on March 27, 2024. The Order of the United States District Court Western District of Kentucky Louisville Division was entered on October 12, 2023. The United States Supreme Court has Jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1254.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISION

United States Constitution, Article III, Section
2, Clause 1:

“The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in
Law and Equity, arising under this
Constitution, the Laws of the United States,
and Treaties made, or which shall be made,
under their Authority;--to all Cases affecting
Ambassadors, other public Ministers and
Consuls;--to all Cases of admiralty and
maritime Jurisdiction;--to Controversies to
which the United States shall be a Party;--to
Controversies between two or more States;--
between a State and Citizens of another State,-
-between Citizens of different States,--between
Citizens of the same State claiming Lands

under Grants of different States, and between
a State, or the Citizens thereof, and foreign
States, Citizens or Subjects.

REASONS FOR THE ALLOWANCE OF THE WRIT

The Supreme Court should hear this case for the following reasons:

Establish Precedence for:

- Off Label usage of Bone Morphogenic Protein and cease and desist use.
- Use of Genetic materials.
- Adoption and Enforcement of global Scottish Marital and Dating Laws.

QUI TAM case.

The Case is a Perceptive Material Evidence Case. Aside from Military Courts, Jurisdiction for Perceptive Material Evidence exist in the Supreme Court level of Federal Courts.

Because David Thomas Harris Brantley Petitioner represented OP1 Bone Morphogenic Protein "on label" only, femoral nonunion with plate,

and represented product in said "on label" case only.

Because David Thomas Harris Brantley
Petitioner is a legitimate Genetic Victim of Bone
Morphogenic Protein use due to David Being Masonic
and Masonic "Crip" Humans are in the same Genetic
Caste in Buddhism as Domesticated Species aka
domesticated rats and as a result share genetics.

Reduce the number of pigs who wind up
Murdered as a result of animal dissection labs.

Resolve a untreated unreported childhood rape.

Possibly resolve a early childhood kidnapping.

Return of David Thomas Harris Brantley's two
Genetic Children.

To prevent a possible occurring genocide.

Slavery resolution of Animals and Humans.