

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
NOVEMBER TERM 2024

EDMOND STADLEY ADAMS, III

Petitioner

"VS"

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Respondent.

APPENDIX


D. Russell Barlow, II  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211  
(803) 734-3737

(Counsel for Respondent)

Edmond Stanley Adams, III  
Kershaw C.I., Rm. PB-35  
4848 Goldmine Hwy.  
Kershaw, SC 29067

Petitioner Pro Se, Sci Genens

(Counsel of Record)



# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Edmond Stanley Adams, III, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.






Appellate Case No. 2024-000543

---

## ORDER

---

In his Rule 243(c), SCACR, explanation, Petitioner has failed to show there is an arguable basis for asserting that the determination by the post-conviction relief court was improper. Therefore, the notice of appeal in this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

	C.J.
	J.
	J.
	J.
	J.

Columbia, South Carolina  
May 22, 2024

cc: D Russell Barlow, II  
Edmond Stanley Adams, 265717

2

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Edmond Stanley Adams, III, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.





Appellate Case No. 2024-000543

---

## ORDER

---

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded. *See* Rule 221(a), SCACR (providing a petition for rehearing must state with particularity the points supposed to have been overlooked or misapprehended by the court). Therefore, the petition for rehearing is denied.

	C.J.
	J.
	J.
	J.

Verdin, J., not participating

Columbia, South Carolina  
August 13, 2024

cc:

D Russell Barlow, II  
Edmond Stanley Adams, 265717

2

146

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

Edmond S. Adams, #265717,

Applicant

v.

State of South Carolina,

Respondent.

) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
) FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

) CASE NO. 2023-CP-40-2976

**FINAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

RICHLAND COUNTY  
FILED  
2024 FEB 27 AM 8:13  
JEANETTE W. McBRIDE  
C.C.P., G.S., & F.P.C.  
March  
8th  
Received

This matter comes before this Court by way of a post-conviction relief (PCR) action commenced by Edmond S. Adams (Applicant), filed on June 8, 2023. Respondent made its Return and Motion to Dismiss on October 5, 2023, requesting this action be summarily dismissed because it untimely, barred by the statute of limitations, successive to Applicant's previous PCR applications, barred by the doctrine of *res judicata*, and for failing to comply with the Uniform Post-Conviction Procedures Act, S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-10 *et seq.* (2014).

Pursuant to this request, and after reviewing the pleadings in this matter and all of the records attached thereto, this Court issued a Conditional Order of Dismissal filed December 13, 2023, provisionally denying and dismissing this action while giving Applicant's Counsel twenty days from the date of service of said order in which to show why the Conditional Order of Dismissal should not become final. Attached to this Final Order and incorporated herein by reference is an affidavit of service dated January 8, 2024, indicating the State served the above-mentioned Conditional Order of Dismissal on Applicant.

Applicant filed a response on January 18, 2024, captioned "Response to the Conditional Order of Dismissal," wherein Applicant argues "there are rock solid exceptions to these asserted defenses," and he deserves a hearing.

As an initial matter, this Court has reviewed the claims set forth in Applicant's response to the Conditional Order of Dismissal which include allegations [11-A-1]: denial of the right to PCR counsel in his first PCR action; [11-B-1]: denial of the right to counsel at his competency hearing on April 10, 2000; [11-B-2]: "The State and the Court just vanished [his] Federal Law Claim"; [11-B-3 & 11-B-6]: the first PCR order of dismissal does not state Applicant had effective assistance of counsel; [11-B-4]: denied right to counsel before his psychiatric examinations; [11-B-5]: denied effective assistance at his pretrial hearing; [11-C-1 & 11-C-2]: denied PCR counsel to help amend his application; [11-D-1]: involuntary waiver of right to counsel; [11-D-2]: waiver was "grounded in mistreatment"; [11-E-1 & 11-E-2]: "court should entertain these claims on the merits because they are connected to the new law in State 'vs' Dial"; [11-F-1, 11-G-1, 11-H-1, 11-H-2, 11-H-3, 11-I-1, & 11-J-1]: subject matter jurisdiction claims.

All of the claims except for [11-E-1 & 11-E-2] have previously been addressed in his prior PCR action (2020-CP-40-3837) and ruled upon, and this Court need not address those matters.

Turning to [11-E-1 & 11-E-2], the Applicant references "State 'v.' Dial" with no citation in this allegation. In Applicant's filing, he asserts, "The S.C. Court of Appeals used [his] purported waiver in the trial court to suffice as a waiver in the Appellate court, so these claims can be litigated under the discovery rule."

This Court has reviewed Applicant's response to the Conditional Order of Dismissal in its entirety, in conjunction with the original pleadings, and finds a sufficient reason has not been shown why the Conditional Order of Dismissal should not become final.

This Court reasserts its finding in the Conditional Order of Dismissal that the current PCR application must be dismissed because it is untimely, barred by the statute of limitations, successive to Applicant's previous PCR applications, barred by the doctrine of *res judicata*, and


148

for failing to comply with the Uniform Post-Conviction Procedures Act, S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-10 *et seq.* (2014). Before the Court will hold an evidentiary hearing, Applicant must make a *prima facie* showing that he is entitled to relief. Welch v. MacDougall, 246 S.C. 258, 143 S.E.2d 455 (1965); Blandshaw v. State, 245 S.C. 385, 140 S.E.2d 784 (1965). Applicant has failed to make such a showing based on the information set forth in his application and response, and, therefore, he is not entitled to an evidentiary hearing in this matter. Accordingly, this Court finds no reason why the Conditional Order of Dismissal should not become final.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that for the reasons set forth in the Court's conditional order of dismissal, the Application for post-conviction relief is hereby **DENIED AND DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

This Court hereby advises Applicant he must file and serve a notice of appeal within thirty days of the service of this Order to secure appellate review. See Rule 203, SCACR. Applicant's attention is directed to Rule 243, SCACR, for the procedures following the filing and service of the notice of appeal.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED** this 12<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024.



THE HONORABLE JOCELYN NEWMAN  
Chief Administrative Judge  
Fifth Judicial Circuit

Columbia, South Carolina.

**SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**  
Post Office Box 21787 - Columbia, South Carolina 29221

Pursuant to Rule 4(d)(2) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, the Director of the South Carolina Department of Corrections has designated G. Mungo (Server) as his duly authorized agent for the purpose of making service of the process on the below named individual.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF Lancaster )

**AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE**

On this 8th day of January, <sup>2024</sup>~~2023~~, I served the Conditional Order of Dismissal (2023-CP-40-2976), on Inmate Edmond S. Adams, III, SCDC Inmate #265717 by delivering personally and leaving a copy of the same at KERSHAW Correctional Institution. Deponent is not a party to this action.

s/ G. Mungo  
SCDC Server

**SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME**

this 8th day of January, 2023

Donna A. True Dale (L.S.)  
Notary Public for South Carolina

My Commission Expires: March 03, 2031

**ADMISSION OF SERVICE**

Service of a copy of the within Conditional Order of Dismissal (2023-CP-40-2976) is admitted at the South Carolina Department of Corrections Kershaw Correctional Institution),

8th day of January, Lancaster County, SC this <sup>2023</sup>~~2024~~

s/ Edmond S. Adams III  
Inmate  
SCDC Inmate #: 265717

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**