


24-6916

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.



David C. Letteri — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Suffolk County Police — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Second Circuit of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David C. Letteri
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 879
(Address)

Dyer, MA 01432
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

Questions
24-cv-3402

1. Does vacated judgments count as "strikes?"
2. Does abuse of power count as "Imminent danger?"

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A 24-1804 Docket 13.1

APPENDIX B Lettieri v Suffolk County Police Department, 2024
v. S. Dist. L.C. 159, 1990

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

7, 71 & 28 United States Code 1915(e)

OTHER

Due process

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at 2024 U.S. Dist. Lexis 91940; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was December 11, 2024.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Statutory and constitutional provisions
24-cv-3402

1. Due process
2. Title 28 United States Code 1915(e)

Statement of Case
24-cv-3402

This stems from a problem with the informa paupris in which the findings are basless do to a different matter. The cause for it has no type of founation now which is a questionable matter. To use the same mattēhewwithoutachecking the facts and making it very unprofessional when it is clear that it should have been check.

Reason to grant writ
24-cv-3402

Do to the changes in facts the matter of the reasoning of the decision is meritless and discrimination of a pro se litigant an example of proper law needs to be made.,

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John
Date: March 5, 2025