

24-6915

NO.

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

OCT 22 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

George V. Sloan — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Washington — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

George Valentino Sloan  
(Your Name)

Washington State Penitentiary  
(Address) 1313 North 13<sup>th</sup> Ave.

Walla Walla Wa. 99362  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1.) Did the trial Court violate the Petitioners Constitutional right to a speedy trial when the trial court kept Granting the state's Continuance motions over a period of Nearly Ten month's, Before The Petitioner was first brought to trial?
- 2.) Did The Trial Court Consent to letting the State Force the Petitioner into a Hobson's Choice when the Trial Court denied the defendant's motion order for Deposition?
- 3.) Did The Trial Court Abuse It's discretion in granting Continuances beyond Wash. Super. ct. Crim. R. 3.3 time limits, Because of the state's Sandbagging in requesting Defendant's Fingerprints?
- 4.) Did the trial Court err in denying Petitioner's CrR 8.3 motion, even though the State revealed suppressed evidence during closing Argument's?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Andrea R. Vitalich  
Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

## RELATED CASES

Barker v. Wingo, 407 U.S. 514  
State v. Iniguez, 167 Wn. 2d 273  
State v. Brooks, 2009 Wash. App. LEXIS 733  
State v. Denton, 23 Wn. App. 2d 437  
State v. Reeder, 46 Wn. 2d 888, 285 P.2d 884,  
(Wash. 1956)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Opinion of the highest State Court in WA. state

APPENDIX B Orders of The Court of Appeals of Washington.

APPENDIX C Order of Court of Appeals Denying motion For Reconsideration.

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

	PAGE NUMBER
Barker v. Wingo, 407 U.S. 514	(31-45)
State v. Iniguez, 167 Wn.2d 273	(297-300)
State v. Brooks, 2009 Wash. App. [LEXIS 733]	(385-393)
State v. Denton, 23 Wn. App. 2d 437	(28-30)
State v. Reeder, 46 Wn.2d 888, 285 P.2d 884	(886-892)

### STATUTES AND RULES

[ Wash. Super. Ct. Crim. R. 3.3 ]

[ CrR 8.3 ]

[ ER 402 ]

[ CrR 4.5 ]

[ CrR 4.7 ]

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 10/9/2024. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 1.) • SIX<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the U.S. Constitutional  
Right to a speedy trial.
- 2.) • Defendant Being forced to choose Between  
his speedy trial rights and his right to  
effective counsel
- 3.) • § CrR 3.3 Speedy Trial violation
- 4.) • Prosecutorial misconduct
- 5.) • Fourteenth Amendment of THE U.S. Constitution

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I Petitioner George Valentino Sloan was tried and convicted of two counts of ASSAULT IN THE First Degree and one Count of AN unlawful possession of A Firearm IN the First Degree with in the Month of March and the year of 2023. I was sentenced to three Hundred and Thirty three month's of state confinement, all critical stages of Pretrial and now Post trial Proceedings, and also trial Proceedings, in which I had no choice, but to invoke my constitutional rights as a Prose Defendant.

#### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This Petition requires review from this judicial Body based on the material fact's of the Petitioners claims. The Prior Proceeding's that has lead up to this point have been a complete miscarriage of Justice. The Constitutional Deprivation is overbearing and it must not be ignored and "abandoned any longer!"

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John G. Smith", is written over a horizontal line.

Date: October 15, 2024