

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 21-12870

KENNETH KARLSTON NEWSOME,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

SECRETARY FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondents-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 3:19-cv-00007-HES-MCR


2

Order of the Court

21-12870

ORDER:

To merit a certificate of appealability, a movant must show that reasonable jurists would find debatable both (1) the merits of an underlying claim, and (2) the procedural issues that he seeks to raise. *See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Because Kenneth Newsome has failed to make the requisite showing, his motion for a certificate of appealability is DENIED, and his motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED AS MOOT.



UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 21-12870

KENNETH KARLSTON NEWSOME,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

SECRETARY FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondents-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 3:19-cv-00007-HES-MCR

Before BRANCH and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

2

Order of the Court

21-12870

BY THE COURT:

Kenneth Newsome has filed a motion for reconsideration, pursuant to 11th Cir. R. 22-1(c) and 27-2, of this Court's order dated December 6, 2023, denying his motion for a certificate of appealability to appeal the district court's denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition and his motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Upon review, his motion for reconsideration is DENIED because he has not alleged any points of law or fact that this Court overlooked or misapprehended in denying his motions.

A-4