

24-6845

No. _____

ORIGINAL

FILED

MAR 06 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

David C. Lytheen — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Second circuit of appeals

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David Collethorpe

(Your Name)

P. O. Box 874

(Address)

aylor M U 01432

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

Questions
24-cv-95

1. Does a plaintiff have a right to amend?
2. Does a prosecutor have absolute immunity to create false evidence?
3. Does failure for mail to be made as a violation of due process or notice clause?
4. Does a magistrate judge have the authority to transfer a case to another district or does district judge only have that authority?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B Letter from Department of Justice et al, 2024 U.S.
Dist. Lexis 87526

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)

OTHER

pre process
Notice clause

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at 2024 U.S. LEXIS 87826; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was December 11, 2003

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved
24-cv-95

1. Due process
2. Federal Rule of Civil procedure 15(a)
3. Notice Clause

Statement of case
24-cv-95

This was from the Northern District of New York in which because of not being mailed properly it was moved to the western district of New York which didn't have much jurisdiction since the events happened in the northern district of New York.

Then the problem comes to be about amending the complaint since the western district of New York, district judge Lawrence Joseph Vilardo knows the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Randall E. Garver had altered the interrogation video in which became fabrication of evidence in which shows a great deal of prejudice that Lawrence Joseph Vilardo has towards the petitioner by making any form of justice possible.

Reason to Grant Writ
24-cv-95

Do to the violation of the notice clause and due process clause of the consitution the case should be vacated of the judgment and done in the northern district of new york

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Alv

Date: March 2, 2025