

24-6820

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

FILED
FEB 25 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

David C. Lettieri — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Browne County Sheriff RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Second Circuit Court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David C. Lettieri
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 874
(Address)

Ayer, MA 01432
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

Questions
24-cv-156

1. Does a case reopen when the issue to the reasons are none have no insurance to such?
2. Does revoke informa paupris case count toward strikes?
3. Does a False charge be consured as "imminent danger" since an officer can contuine to harass a person with false charges?
4. Does bad faith act as an "imminent danger"?

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Second Circuit 24-1392 Docket 34.1

APPENDIX B Docket 37 24-1392

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES
7,41e28 United States Code 1415

OTHER

Due process
In forma paupris
"modicant damages"

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 24 2024

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: January 17, 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Constitutional and Statutory provisions involved
23-cv-156

1. Due process
2. Informa Paupris
3. "Immidiant Danger"
4. Title 28 united states code 1915

Statement of case
24-cv-156

This stems from a matter of the broome county humane society having law enforcement filing a false charge to have them get what they want. The use of "Immident Danger" is a broad matter which can imply any type of danger which includes beeing falsy arrested on a charge they dont know the intented use of what New york state legislature had. The charge they filed was only to be used for impounds or non-for-profits organizations not an individual person, thus there is a showing of "Immident Danger" in which has been over looked. The couirts dont look at the facts of what the core of the lawsuit is just at their goals are.

Also the insurnace of the denial of the informa paupris is stale in which there are no strikes does it mean that the can is reopen to screening and etc.

Reason to grant writ
24-cv-156

To know what revoke informa paupris states and to know if the "imminent danger" exception can apply to an unlawful arrest matter

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

R. M.
Date: January 18, 20d4