

No. 24 - 6734

FILED  
DEC 30 2024  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Christina Taylor-Loper PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.  
Sam's Club/Walmart Assoc. RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Christina Taylor-Loper  
(Your Name)

4916 Shawnee Ct.  
(Address)

Garner N.C. 27529  
(City, State, Zip Code)

919-614-7946  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Why didn't the United States District Court Eastern District of North Carolina Western Division follow Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990?
2. Why didn't the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit follow Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990?
3. Why didn't the United States District Court Eastern District of North Carolina Western Division follow court procedures when ensuring pro se competency in accordance with the VI Amendment despite having evidence of mental illness?
4. Why didn't the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit follow court procedures when ensuring pro se competency in accordance with the VI Amendment despite having evidence of mental illness?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

1. *Indiana v. Edwards* (2008)  
- Patton, C.L. Johnston, E.L., Lillard, C.M., and Vitacco, M.J. (2019). Legal and clinical issues regarding the pro se defendant: Guidance for practitioners and policy makers. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 25(3), 196-211  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000197>
2. *United States v. Turner*, 644 F.3d 713 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2011)
3. *Vogt v. United States*, 88 F.3d 587 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir 1996)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	United States District Court Eastern District of N.C. Western Division Dismissal
APPENDIX B	United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit Dismissal
APPENDIX C	Informal Brief (me explaining my PTSD lead to my errors and dismissal).
APPENDIX D	Page 1 and 2 are referrals to therapy
APPENDIX E	Page 3- is a statement from my therapist.
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

1. 5:22-CV-361-M-RJ (United States District Court Eastern District of North Carolina Western Division)
2. 24-1192 (United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit)

### STATUTES AND RULES

[DE 40], [DE 39], [DE 33], and Dismisses Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice based on her failure to comply with her discovery obligations. The Court Denies as Moot Defendant's motion to compel [DE 31].

### OTHER

n/a

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at United States District Court Eastern District of N.C. Western Division; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 10/03/2024.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 02/02/24.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 10/03/24, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Title II
2. Title II 1990
3. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
4. the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)
5. Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act
6. VI Amendment



### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I Christina Taylor-Loper worked for Sam's Club for years. When I expressed interest in a promotion, I became subject to sexual harassment by my manager (Robert). When I complained to my Store Manager (Jason), I still had to work with Robert. Eventually he was moved to a separate schedule. Due to continuous discrimination, I complained to the EEOC. Robert was fired because of my complaint. Robert then threatened me with unauthorized pictures of me. I filed and was granted a restraining order. Due to trauma and continuous retaliation at work, I was having complications with my pregnancy and had to do more frequent check-ups. My OB referred me to therapy based on knowledge of happenings at my workplace and concern about pre-mature birth. When I went to therapy I was diagnosed with PTSD due to workplace trauma. I was put on a paid Leave of Absence due to my PTSD. During my Leave I was fired despite following procedures. I was granted the Right to Sue. After submitting my complaint to the court in N.C., I applied to be pro se. I didn't realize what it would require or mean. But, since I had no help, I tried my best. I didn't fully understand PTSD. I was having panic attacks from opening mail from this case. I could not navigate through my triggers. All I need is help. I have nothing to hide. This isn't a case to me. This is something awful that happened to me and my work family. This "case" is something happening worldwide to women and needs to be addressed.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. VI Amendment
2. Title II 1990
3. Title II
4. World Wide issues at hand
  - Sexual Harassment
  - Retaliation
  - Discrimination
  - Depression
  - PTSD
5. Failure of courts to recognize and follow procedures when assessing pro se litigators competency despite having evidence of mental disability (PTSD).

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "C. H. S.", written over a horizontal line.

Date: 12/23/24