

No. 24-6688

2000-1

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
DEC 20 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

LAWRENCE LOMBARDI — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

LAWRENCE LOMBARDI/#11378-017
(Your Name)

FCI GILMER - P.O. BOX 6000
(Address)

Glenville, W.VA 26351
(City, State, Zip Code)

—
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
DEC 27 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

DID THE 11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ERR by NOT
COMPLYING WITH THIS COURT'S OPINION IN "LOPER BRIGHT
ENTERPRISES, ET AL., V. GINA RAIMONDO, ET AL."
603 U.S. — 2024. DECIDED ON JUNE 28, 2024

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

U.S. V. LAWRENCE LOMBARDI NO. 4:99 CRT1 - U.S. DIST COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA. 9/15/2000. DE 101.

U.S. V. LAWRENCE LOMBARDI NO. 0015074-5/11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. RESULT: AFFIRMED. 5/16/2001.

U.S. V. LAWRENCE LOMBARDI NO. 19-13706-C/11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. LEAVE TO FILE A SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE 2255 MOTION. 10/15/2019.

U.S. V. LAWRENCE LOMBARDI NO. 4:99 CRT1 - U.S. DIST COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA - 10/15/2020. DE. 238.

U.S. V. LAWRENCE LOMBARDI NO. 4:99 CRT1 - U.S. DIST COURT NORTHERN DIST OF FLA. 11/19/2020. DE. 251.

U.S. V. LOMBARDI NO. 20-14386. 11TH CIR COURT OF APP. 3/23/2022. MANDATE ISSUED ON 5/18/2022.

U.S. V. LOMBARDI 4:99 CRT1. MOTION TO RECALL THE MANDATE. DE. 57. 20-14386. U.S. V. LOMBARDI NO. 20-14386. 11TH CIR. COURT OF APP. DE. 70. DENIED.

OCT 25, 2024

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was OCT 25, 2024.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS.

STATUTE: 18 U.S.C 3584.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE HISTORY OF THIS CASE DOCUMENT WISE, IS VOLUMINOUS. IN BRIEF, THE PETITIONER WAS SENTENCED ON 9/15/2000 TO 4 COUNTS OF 108 MONTHS TO BE SERVED SEPARATE & CONCURRENT WITH EACH OTHER. (DE. 101). 2 COUNTS OF 924(C) TO BE SERVED CONSECUTIVELY TO THE 4 CONCURRENT COUNTS.

ON 10/15/2020, THE DISTRICT COURT VACATED BOTH 924(C) COUNTS IN LIGHT OF "DAVIS." (DE. 238). THE COURT RESENTENCED THE PETITIONER TO 648 MONTHS ON THE 4 CONCURRENT COUNTS. (DE. 251). THE 11TH CIR. COURT OF APPEALS AFFIRMED THE RESENTENCE. (DE. 271). ON 7/23/2024 THE PETITIONER FILED A MOTION TO RECALL THE MANDATE ISSUED BY THE 11TH CIRCUIT. NO. 20-14386. (DE. 57). SEE: APPENDIX A, B, C. THE 11TH CIRCUIT DENIED PETITIONER'S MOTION (DE. 70). 10/25/2024