

IN THE

**Supreme Court Of The United States**

---

Charles Lord, pro se  
Petitioner  
v.  
Heather Lord  
Respondent

---

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE STATE OF NEW YORK FAMILY COURTS  
WAYNE COUNTY LYONS, NY  
(AS THE ONLY COURT THAT HAS ACTUALLY HAD HEARING)  
BUT LAST MOTION DENIED COURT IS  
STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS HIGHEST COURT  
DECIDED AND ENTERED OCT 22, 2024  
(The above adds correction and to be construed liberally)


---

**PETITION FOR REHEARING**

pro se Charles Lord,  
Petitioner  
100 Saddle Trail  
Anderson, SC 29621  
409-934-4845

Heather Lord,  
Respondent  
6430 VanSlyck Rd.  
Sodus, NY 14551

1.



**IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

Charles Lord

V.

Heather Lord

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS  
ON THE MERITS LAST DECIDED IN  
THE WAYNE COUNTY FAMILY COURTS LYONS, NY

**RULE 44.2 CERTIFICATE**

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, the undersigned hereby certifies that the attached petition for rehearing of an order denying writ of certiorari is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule 44.2: it is limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented. Petitioner further certifies that the attached petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.



Charles Lord  
100 Saddle Trail  
Anderson, SC 29621  
(409)934-4845

## **PETITION FOR REHEARING**

Pursuant to rule 44.2 of the rules of the United States Supreme Court, petitioner respectfully petitions for rehearing of this court's order Dated April 28,2025. Order Denying petition for writ of certiorari with no reason.

## **REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION FOR REHEARING**

Rule 44.2 of the rules of the Supreme Court of the United States allows petitioners to file petitions for rehearing of the denial of a petition for certiorari and permits rehearing on the basis of "intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented."

Substantial grounds not previously presented is the NY Court of Appeal Decision to Deny without reason Motion for reargument is Unconstitutional. The merits which were in the Motion were for Fundamental Fairness, Due Process and my Constitutional Rights presented.

Not one New York Court has heard my case other than the Wayne County Family Court, and Not one court has examined my Transcripts or CSU File. Question How does due deliberation occur without requesting files.?

Heather Lord the Respondent in this case has Abandoned her Claim for Child Support by Not Responding to Served Notice of Suit. Heather Lord also Abandoned her claim for Child Support for Approx. 8 years by not reporting Substantial Changes like the emancipation of the Children before age 18 and her Knowledge of Charles Location to the Child Support Unit. Ms. Lord was not present at the 2010 Hearing for Child Support Judgement. The child Support unit errored by not dismissing or vacating the claim. Ms. Lord Abandoned her claim by Never holding Charles Lord Contempt of Court. Heather Lord Knew these things would have changed her Claim for Child Support Calculations Substantially.

Which Leads to the question of Way is the federal government Garnishing my wages and placing lien on inheritance when the CSU and the Courts have not proved Equal Protection, Fundamental Fairness of a rehearing, Constitutional Rights and DUE PROCESS? Why is the government funding the child support unit when they are not utilizing the Federal Locating service to find Non-Custodial Parents? They are not conforming with federal requirements

Petitioner Charles Lord has had no Attorney Representation. Why is the federal government funding legal aid organizations when they can deny you for not living in the state where the case is or because your case is out of State then where you reside?

This Certiorari is warranted because If the United States Supreme Court Clerks of Court or The Justices deny this petition It would Leave this case a Grievous Injustice and Would deprive the petitioner of life, liberty, or property,(14<sup>th</sup> Amendment) without due process of law.' Says the constitution annotated and found in section 1 of the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment, also the clause for equal protection. This case needs the Intervention of The United States Supreme Court Justices.

Petitioner prays for this petition to be granted and Further Relief and Remedy as The Justices Deem proper.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the forgoing is true and correct.

Respectfully submitted,

  
CHARLES LORD Date: 5-17-25

Sworn to before me this 17<sup>th</sup> Day of May 2025

Notary Public

  
My Notary Expires Sept 4 2029

Word Count 536 Petition only

## **STATEMENT OF FEES**

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS** has already been Submitted with Proof Of Service to the Clerk of Court from the original Petition for writ of Certiorari.