

24-6631
No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

FILED
JAN 13 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

pro se Charles Lord — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Heather Lord — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

State of New York Family Court Wayne County Lyons, N.Y.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Charles Lord
(Your Name)

100 Saddle Trail
(Address)

Anderson, SC. 29621
(City, State, Zip Code)

409-934-4845
(Phone Number)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Can a Family Court use an unsigned and undated child support order to make another child support order without a hearing?
2. Can a Family Court use an unsigned and undated child support order to make another child support order without Neither parties nor their attorneys present?
3. Is a child support order that is unsigned and undated order Enforceable in another court for a Judgement of child support in divorce court and then take that ruling to Enforce said order in the court by a council Misconduct?
4. Is an unsigned undated child support order null or void?
5. Is it law that the Family Court use federal, state and local agencies to find non-custodial parents for child support.?
6. Can the courts enforce any part of a child support/divorce Judgement without proof of service?
7. Is it the law for persons who are incarcerated to be served Notices with proof of service?
8. Is it law to show proof of service for child support matters?
9. Can a court demand 150% more then what child support and arrears to get your license?
10. Did the magistrate judge allow misconduct, false claims and perjury?
11. Did the Magistrate Fail to remove herself from the trial?
12. Can a Magistrate Judge request other Material from proceeding that had nothing to do with Child Support as to prosecute in the hearing for child support for other "allegations"?
13. Was Charles ever "notified" and was there any Fair hearings or trials?
14. Is it Constitutional That no other court in the state of New York to not rehear a case?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Sean D. Lair esq.
Respondents' Counsel

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW and JURISDICTION.....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE And.....	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT AND CONCLUSION.....	
NOTIRORIZIED AFFIRMATION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendices are listed to follow Statement of the Case to the Best of my Ability and are my Charles Lord true copies received from the Wayne County Courts, my Council, DSS council or sent to me.

APPENDIX 1A-State of New York Court of Appeals (Highest NY Court).....	Pages 1A-7A
APPENDIX 2A-Correspondance from YOUR Court the Supreme Court of The United States	Page 2A
APPENDIX A-Supreme Court of New York Appellant Division 4 th Department Rochester, NY Denial	Pages 1-4
APPENDIX B-State of New York Family Court Judge Decision.....	5-6
APPENDIX C-Attorney Objections to Decision and Order of Dismissal.....	7-10
APPENDIX D- Findings of Fact("Decision?").....	11-16
APPENDIX E- Decision on Motion.....	17-19
APPENDIX F-Memorandums of Law.....	20-25
APPENDIX G-Order to Show Cause and Attorney Affirmation.....	26-32
APPENDIX H-Divorce Judgement (Child Support) Supreme Court Wayne County.....	33-36
APPENDIX I-Unsigned and Undated Order(Void or Null?).....	37-42
APPENDIX J-2010 Child Support Order.....	43-44
APPENDIX K-Proof of "NO NOTICE".....	45-47
APPENDIX L-Charles Lord Family Court Affidavit.....	48-50
APPENDIX M-Magistrate Subpoena and Lair Esq Letter.....	51-53
APPENDIX N-State of New York Family Court 7 th Judicial (DISTRICT).....	54

APPENDIX O- State of New York Court of Appeals LIMITED JURISDICTION.....	55
APPENDIX P- Other Communications with New York State Supreme Court Appellant Division, 4 th Department.....	56-58

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

STATUES AND RULES

Rule 60 Relief From Judgment

Title IV-D

18 U.S.C 1621-Perjury Generally

28 U.S.C 455-Disqualification of Justice, Judge, or Magistrate Judge

Rule 8.4(e)

18 U.S.C 1623(a) False Declarations

31 U.S.C False Claims

18 U.S.C 1503-Influencing or injuring an officer or juror generally.

AMENDMENTS

14th Amendment

Fourth Amendment

Fifth Amendment

Sixth Amendment

Procedural Due Process

OTHER as I interpret them

Civil Financial Fraud

Dishonest Conduct

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix IA to the petition and is

[] reported at Not sure; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix N to the petition and is

[] reported at Not sure; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix O to the petition and is IA

[] reported at Not sure; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the State of N.Y. Family Court Wayne County court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at Not sure; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Oct. 22, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix 1A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Oct. 31st 2022. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
"In Re (Charles Lord)"

Charles Lord respectfully petitions for a writ of certiorari for review of Child Support and Child Support Arrears, and ALL orders and judgements herein this Petition and Appendix's. I Charles Lord have not been trained in law and am without counsel and I wrote all below and followed the rules of this court to the best of my ability.

OPINIONS BELOW

The Highest Court, State of New York Court of Appeals (please see Appendix O and 1A has denied or dismissed me twice and once on Motion to reargue for a new decision in my Child Support Case. I am sending this Petition for writ of certiorari within 90 days of the decision on Motion to Reargue. The Supreme Court of New York appellant division 4th judicial department dated November 29, 2023 order denying extension of time in motion denying notice of appeal for timely notice in (Appendix A). It is my opinion it was timely filed by Rule 60 because The State of New York Family Court decision was sent out by mail 11/4/2022 as in (Appendix B). I have included an Affidavit of Service to the Supreme Court of New York in (Appendix A). Further with no counsel appointed to advise me of my rights and procedures on a child support case that can constitute jail time that "No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case" to the New York District Court. 2007 Divorce Judgment Wayne County State of New York Supreme Court (Appendix H) and order where 2006 Unsigned "void or null"? (Appendix I) order was used in those proceedings for a order in the divorce, There is no signed, stamped or dated Child Support order until 2010 (Appendix J) from Wayne County Family Courts. Judgment of Divorce could not be according to New York law could not be appealed and because of time to file. (please take note Charles had no due process of law or notice (please see appendix k) Magistrate Sonali R. Suvvaru Support Magistrate in her "Findings of Fact" (Appendix D) at the end of my hearing raised judicial question and passed it on to the Wayne County Supreme Court where my divorce took place, BUT in my opinion, that's just saying you can't do anything about this.

1. All material in Appendix's 1A through Appendix P are Material for Review

JURISDICTION

This Court has Jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C 1254(l), 28 U.S.C. 1257(a), if this court finds applicable to my case also 28 U.S.C 1651(a), United States Constitution Article III Section 2 which is the discretion of this court. This is the Court of my last Resort.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure VII Rule 60-Relief from Judgement or order

- (a) **Clerical Mistakes.** Clerical mistakes in judgments, Orders or other parts of the record and errors therein arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time of its own initiative or on the motion of any party and after such notice, if any, as the court orders. During the pendency of an appeal, such mistakes may be so corrected before the appeal is docketed in the appellant court, and thereafter while the appeals pending may be so corrected with leave of the appellate court.
- (b) **Mistakes; Inadvertence, Excusable Neglect; Newly Discovered Evidence; Fraud, etc.** On motion and upon such terms as are just, the court may relieve a party or a party's legal representation from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons:
 - (1) Mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect;
 - (2) Newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under rule 59(b)
 - (3) Fraud, (whether heretofore denominated intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party.
 - (4) The judgment is void
 - (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged, or a prior judgment upon which it is based has been reversed or otherwise vacated, or it is no longer equitable that the judgment should have prospective application; or
 - (6) any other reason justifying relief from the operation of the judgment.

The motion shall be made within a reasonable time, and for reasons (1),(2) and (3) not more than one year after the judgment, order, or preceding was entered or taken. A motion under this subdivision(b) does not affect the finality of a judgment or suspend its operation. This rule does not limit the power of a court to entertain an independent action to relieve a party from judgment, order, or proceeding, or to grant relief to a defendant not actually personally notified as in Title 28 U.S C 1655, or to set aside a judgment for fraud upon the court. Writs of coram nobis, coram vobis, audita quereia, and bills of review and bills in nature of a bill of review, are abolished, and the procedure for obtaining any relief from a judgement shall be by motion as prescribed in these rules or by an independent action.

Title IV-D of the Social Security act was established in 1975 and also refers to The Child Support program which requires every state to use federal, state and local authorities to locate non-custodial parents.

Fifth Amendment "no person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in

cases arising in the land or naval forces or in the militia when in actual service in time of war or public danger nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself nor be deprived of life liberty or property without due process of law nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation."

Fourteenth Amendment Section 1 "no state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States, nor shall any state deprive any person of life liberty or property without due process of law nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

Sixth Amendment" guarantees the rights of criminal defendants including the right to a public trial without unnecessary delay the right to a lawyer the right to an impartial jury and the right to know who you are accusers are and the nature of the charges and evidence against you.

18 U.S Code 1621 – Perjury Generally

- (1) having taken an oath before a competent tribunal officer or person in any case in which a law the United States authorities and oath to be administered that he will testify declare depose or certify truly or that any written testimony declaration deposition or certificate by him subscribed is true willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material matter which he does not believe to be true or
- (2) in any declaration certificate verification or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 of title 28 United States Code willfully subscribes as true in any material matter which he does not believe to be true;

28 U.S.C 455-disqualification of justice, judge, or Magistrate Judge

- (a) any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.
- (b) He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances:
 - (1) where he has personal biases or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;

Rule 8.4-(e)-state or imply inability to influence improperly a government agency or official or two achieve results by means that violate the rules of professional conduct or other law:

18 U.S.C 1623(a) False declarations before grand jury or court-whoever's under oath or in any declaration, certificate, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 of title 28 United States Code in any proceeding before or ancillary to any court or grand jury of the United states knowingly makes any false material declaration or makes or uses any other information, including any book, paper, document, record, recording, or other material, knowing the same to contain any false material declaration, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

Procedural due process refers to the constitutional requirement that when the federal government acts in such a way that denies a citizen of life, liberty, or property in interest, the person must be given notice, the opportunity to be heard, ended decision by a neutral decision maker.

Dishonest Conduct -a deliberate act or failure to act with the intention of obtaining an unauthorized benefit.

Civil Financial Fraud- To receive more then what would have been.

31 U.S.C 3729- False Claims

(A) knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval.

(B) knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim.

18 U.S.C 1503-Influencing or injuring officer or juror generally(a) whoever corruptly, or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, endeavors to influence, intimidate, or impede any grand or petit juror, or officer in or of any court of the United states, or officer who may be serving any examination or other proceeding before any United States magistrate judge or other committing magistrate, in the discharge of his duty, or injures any such grand or petit juror in his person or property on account of any verdict or indictment assented to by him, or on account of his being or having then such juror, or injures any such officer, Magistrate Judge, or other committing magistrate in his person or property on the account of the performance of his official duties, or by corruptly or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, influences, obstructs, or impedes, or endeavors to influence obstruct, or impede, the do it ministration of justice, shall be punished as provided in subsection(b)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Brief Introduction

I Charles Lord was Married to Heather Lord from Aug 29, 1998, to May 18, 2007. (Almost 9 yrs.) I was incarcerated (under duress) from Oct. 5, 2005, until Sep 2009. I'm unsure when I got out for a brief time inbetween those dates. I was in a halfway house for a brief time, maybe a couple months I'm unsure, until I went back to finish my sentence ending in 2009. Before I was Incarcerated the courts were garnishing me for the birth of my Eldest Daughter Cassandra. I've never objected to that, It was a family decision for me to move to Texas to my mother's upon release. My Case is not just an ordinary Child Support Dispute between Divorced Parties. I would have gladly paid Child Support for my children had Heather or the courts reached out to me and I was able to have a fair hearing. Heather Lord has received what I would have paid in Child Support and zero more dollars should be owed.

I Charles Lord Have written all Below.

In late 2018 Charles Lord sought out to check his credit report and found out his ex-wife Heather Lord had been seeking child support through the courts. He then started requesting paperwork from the Wayne County Family Court Lyons, NY child support unit. Charles then borrowed 3 or for \$4000 from his mother for an attorney. When he did not have enough to hire an attorney, he sent that money straight to the CSU. In the meantime, his eldest daughter Cassandra Lord told him that she had moved out of her mom's at age 16 or 17. Charles was to have no contact with his Children until they were of age so that was of surprise. Charles reached out again to the family court about an appointed attorney he was approved for DSS council Alex Cameron esq.

PLEASE TAKE Note that Heather Lords attorney throughout the hearing mentioned Charles Lord "Charge" that nobody objected to but 1 time, which it should have been objected by my attorney or the magistrate.. It was used multiple times throughout the hearing as if to influence the Magistrate. 18 U.S.C.1503

PLEASE SEE APPENDIX M Pages 51 and 52 Magistrate Suvvaru Judicial Subpoena Duces Tecum where she was requesting other material for the interest of justice, not for the interest of Child Support Monies and as to "PROSECUTE" Charles Lord. The other Material were ALIGATIONS of injury to Heather Lord and My two Daughters. This is outrages of a Magistrate Judges Misconduct. I just discovered this copy sent to me by my Attorney or DSS Alex Cameron esq. I'm not sure. This was done as double or triple jeopardy. I was already charged with something that has nothing to do with Heather or my children.

Dec 29, 2020 Charles filed for modification of an adjusted order of support "downward modification" (please see Appendix F). During this time Alex Cameron Esq. Sent Charles copies of his documents that he didn't have from the Wayne County family court. Charles discovered there were many mistakes, due process of law and his constitutional rights had been violated. Since the mistakes were brought to

Charles attention, he asked Alex Cameron Esq. if they could do a traverse hearing. Cameron Esq. said he was not able to help Charles with that, but it was his constitutional right to do so if he hired an attorney. He then hired an attorney Nathan VanLoon esq. and he took over the case please see (Appendix G). Heather Lord was represented by Sean Lair Esq.

(please read Appendix G 26-30) In modification of adjusted order of support downward modification because of emancipation on his eldest daughter and Traverse. Cassandra Lord testified that she had moved out of her mom Heather's house at age 17. Please see (appendix D) in Magistrate Suvvaru "Findings of Fact". The courts were very focused on a bill for the birth of his eldest daughter that was not paid. It was a marital debt that Charles Lord was unaware wasn't paid off, nor did he object to paying it. He knew he was being garnished before his incarceration for the birth of Cassandra. Charles is unable to pay on that debt now because any money he sends, the courts say it has to go to Heather and not that debt. That is not what the hearing was about and the emancipation age of Cassandra for modification was totally ignored. (please see also (Appendix E.) Heather Lord testified before his daughter testified, and she said Cassandra was 19 when she moved out. Ms. Lord also testified that she did not know where Charles was but earlier, she testified that she "guessed" he was in Texas at his mother's. It was also made to mention that Heather tried to file for violations on Mr. Lord, but the family court put the ONUS on her to find him. It is my opinion that she perjured herself in that court hearing withheld information from the courts on Mr. Lord's whereabouts after 2009 and the courts failed to use what the Child Support Enforcement Program that was established in 1975 for using federal, state, and local agencies to find non-custodial parents. Please take notice that there are no findings that Charles Lord ever had due process of law, notice, or had an opportunity for a fair hearing between the years 2005 to 2018 please see (Appendix k) The only thing on record is Mr. Lord being served for action of divorce, there is no record that divorce decree was ever sent to him nor did he consent to any child support obligations. (Appendix E and H) in appendix E page 18 paragraph 5 magistrate decision, States

"Attorney Lair represented Heather Lord in the action for divorce. However, his answering affirmation duly affirmed (October 11, 2021) does not indicate whether or not the copy of the judgment was mailed to Charles A. Lord, upon entry. No notice of entry was attached to the responding papers."

In these proceedings Mr. Lair had absolutely no proof of service and had absolutely no proof of anything in Divorce or Child Support Matters. In (please see appendix H) of the Divorce Judgment page 34 paragraph 3 it shows that Charles Lord was not present. Page 35 of the same appendix H of the divorce judgment. It shows that Heather Lords attorney Lair Esq. Had made motion for child support using the August 30, 2006 (Appendix I) unsigned undated "void or null" child support order from the Wayne County family courts. All proceedings or Child Support Income was unjust because Charles' income was zero. Again, Charles Lords constitutional rights had been violated, No due process of law, and the right for a fair hearing. The only

child support order that is actually signed dated and filed in the Wayne County family courts was in 2010. Charles Lord had left New York after getting out of prison in 2009. Somewhere in the middle of these hearings it went into the Traverse Hearing and decision was reserved. Charles Lord however did prove the emancipation age that his daughter moved out at age 17. He Never mentioned anything about his Youngest Daughter not living at home because he had no proof of it. Throughout the entire hearing Both Attorneys and Magistrate Suvvaru keep changing Charles Daughters emancipation age when the correct age that Cassandra testified to was 17.

Traverse Hearing (Please Read Appendix F)

Please Take note that in all of Orders and Judgement that Charles Lord is not present at any hearings and there is no proof from the courts or any other that Charles received NOTICES of anything but action of divorce. Please take note Charles attorney was not accurate with dates and ages in his documents to the courts.

2006 Child Support Order-Not signed or dated but filed in Aug 2006. (Void or null) order because the courts won't even entertain it. From a petition filed May 5, 2006, Approx 7 mo. After Charles was incarcerated and his income was nothing. Then used that in divorce proceedings Approx 1yr and 7mo. From Charles incarceration. Please see appendix M page 53 Heathers attorney Lair esq. then took Divorce Judgement back to the family court as to enforce the 2006 order. When Charles requested his Child Support orders From Wayne County Family Courts in 2018 they never sent him a 2006 Child Support Oder because it wasn't an order(not signed or dated).

Then there is a 2010 Child Support Order. That order (Appendix J) in that appendix the order is dated August 10, 2006, filed July 1, 2010. The writing of the order is exact as the unsigned order in 2006 no new hearings had been brought in 2010. If there was neither party was there. Wayne County Family courts said they sent out notice, but Heather Lord's was returned and They sent Charles Notice to the Wyoming Correctional facility where Charles was not at, and it wasn't returned. Therefore, Charles again had no notice of due process of law, a fair hearing to be heard, or to present an income and his constitutional rights were violated. That was approximately 4 years, almost five years later and Charles was living in Texas and the Child Support enforcement unit failed again to use federal, state and local agencies to find him. Then there was a Cola (cost of living adjustment) in 2012(Appendix M) which Charles never received and proved that his eldest daughter Cassandra was emancipated and not living in Heather Lord's home at the time of Cola. Heather never notified the courts of the change or Charles. Still Charles did not know this was happening and no Due process of law "notice" He appealed the Magistrate's Decision and Traverse. Please see (Appendix C) Charles thought was the NY Supreme Court like his attorney told him it was, Upon Charles research Hon. Judge Nesbeit was a multi bench judge but signed his decision as family court judge. (please see Appendix B). (Supreme County Judge?) Since Magistrate Suvvaru dismissed his case WITH prejudice that takes away Charles Lords right for remedy on his child support at all through his Divorce/Child Support Judgement In the

Wayne County Supreme Court. Ms. Lord also put a Lien on Mr. Lord in Texas soon after she heard that his mother was not going to live much longer, upon remedy I would like to be removed. The Legality of the Case Procedure ,Fraud, False Claims, Perjury, Charles's Constitutional rights being violated, and the misconduct his Ex-wife Heather Lord and Her Attorney Sean Lair Esq. as to give me a Financial Life Sentence and The Wayne County Family courts to leave me with intentional no remedy for relief as if as to impose some in similarity of double or triple jeopardy because of the courts knowledge of his Charge which has nothing to do with Child Support, Heather or his two daughters. Charles is being Garnished from his job in South Carolina where he resides. Charles needs his driver's license and did a written request to the CSU about it and they want 150% on top what they are garnishing. That is against Charles Lord Constitutional Rights and the courts are holding his License Financially Hostage. When he found out that hi ex-wife was seeking Child Support it was a Child Support Debt of \$100,000 and now 2025 it is Still over \$70,000. Charles is Barely able to pay his taxes, rent, food, way to work, and one day this Judgement or the actions of that court could imprison him. Mr. Lord has appealed to all the courts for remedy and this Court is of very Last Resort.

As I was out of all Resources for financial help for an attorney and could not get legal aid in my state or New York because I didn't reside there and couldn't get legal Aid in SC because it was out of state case. I appealed to the State of New York Family Courts 7th Judicial District Family Courts. The Courts responded please see (Appendix N) I thenAppealed to State of New York Court of appeals, the court responded please see (Appendix O) That Court Limited appellant jurisdiction and said to appeal to the Appellant Division, 4th Department please again see (Appendix A).

(Please see Appendix 2A) I then sent my case to your court which was received February 22, 2024. Your court sent my papers back to me telling me to have the New York Court of Appeals review it first. (Please see Appendix O) I already had been to that court but So I did again March 15, 2024 (Please see Appendix 1A). Dismissed Decided and Entered on May 23,2024, so I did a Motion to Reargue and that was denied Decided and Entered October 22,2024. During this time, I received notice Mr. Sean Lair is no longer representing Heather Lord. Ms. Lord also still never responds to courts on our case. I also received her new address from Mr. Lair.

Leaving me with one choice left but to Petition for writ of Certiorari to this court The Supreme Court Of The United States again. I am sending this amended to your court within 90 days. Please I'm asking for remedy in this court from the Judgement that holds the Child Support Judgement from Hon. Judge Kehoe in the Wayne County Supreme Court and in the Wayne County Family Courts Decision for Child Support. Heather Lord is owed 0 more money and am asking for relief as this court deems just and proper.

CONCLUSION

I hope my case will raise these issues to Congress for a remedy for others that may have this issue, also this truly is an issue around the United States. Custodial parents can live off government programs to support their Children, by not reporting where the non-custodial parent is when they do know like my case, as to accrue much more debt owed to them for Child Support. Custodial parents then have nontaxable money coming in when their children are grown almost like a "child support retirement plan". Eventually the non-custodial parent who was never running from paying child support finds out like me, and the debt on them is so high, that it becomes a criminal and financial life sentence. I feel there needs to be more mandates for the Child Support Unit to show they have in fact used the local, state and federal agencies to locate the non-custodial parents. In my case the court admitted they put the "ONUS" on my Ex-Wife to locate me when she knew how too. So, the Child Support Unit never used Local, State or Federal agencies to find me. I should not owe one cent for arrears because of that! My ex-wife Heather Lord has received a very excessive amount of Child Support Money from my garnishment based on an income I NEVER had a chance to provide to the courts. If this court deems necessary, I would like overpayment to Heather Lord be paid back to me. My case when I found out about it was over \$100,000 for only 7yrs of child support. My child support was for the years in the early 2000 when it absolutely didn't have a cost of living even that close to owing that much and I never had a chance to a fair hearing. I would also like congress to acknowledge that absolutely EVERY non-custodial parent in state and OUT of state should have a right to Legal Aid and to Have an appointed attorney. That to also include in Every single court to have representation. I do not know for a fact but either she was also receiving child support for her other 2 children or was living with her ex-husband because my children said he was like a father figure to them. To many noncustodial parents have other financial help but know not to remarry because then it will mess up their governmental help and their other child support. Custodial parents are taking advantage of the court system but yet the courts and government are calling noncustodial parent's deadbeats. Well don't you think the courts are MAKING the noncustodial parents criminal because the Child Support Unit is not doing what they are there for and the noncustodial parent might not even know about their child support like myself. When I left New York, I had not one order saying anything about child support. I thought Heather Lord would just reach out to me. NY alone has 90,000 unpaid child support cases that is huge, and I feel this is why. I think maybe Congress should take attention to mine and many other cases like mine in maybe five years previous to mine to have them reheard and this might reduce the unpaid Child Support cases. Also to not apply arrears on those cases.

Upon Granting of this Petition of writ of certiorari petitioner prays for council in assisting the crucial next steps if possible. Upon Granting of this petition, petitioner prays that this court will find applicable a "stop" on garnishment if possible until a decision is made, also protection from retaliation from Wayne County Family Courts and the Parties Listed. Praying or a remedy on my case!

Petitioner Prays that this Court will Grant Petition for Writ of Certiorari

I declare under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct.

Respectfully Submitted,



Charles Lord

Date: 1-10-25

Sworn to before me this 10th

Day of January 2025

Notary Public



Charles R.

Commission expires Sept. 4 2029