


24-6624
No. _____



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FREDERICK STAMPONE — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

BRITTAN AMANN "et al." — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT, REHEARING
AUG. 7, 2024 (NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

FREDERICK STAMPONE 713769
(Your Name)

BARAGA
PELONCEAU CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
(Address)

13924 WADAGE ROAD
13924 PELONCEAU ROAD

(City, State, Zip Code)

BARAGA, MI 49908
JACKSON, MI 49201

(Phone Number)

NONE

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED (CONTINUE ON NEXT)
PAGE

1. IS MY WIFE MARTA JO LIFE MORE IMPORTANT THEN JURISDICTION ?

- (A) PETITIONER STAMPONE SAYS NO.
- (B) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF NEWARK, NJ SAYS YES.
- (C) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS THIRD CIRCUIT SAYS YES.
- (D) U.S COURT OF APPEALS 3RD CIRCUIT REHEARING SAYS YES.

2. DOES THIS CASE HAVE MERIT ?

- (A) PETITIONER STAMPONE SAYS YES.
- (B) U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF NEWARK, NJ MAGISTRATE JUDGE SAYS THIS CASE HAS MERIT DURNING THE FIRST PHONE CONFERENCE. (SEE RECORDING OF FIRST PHONE CONFERENCE.)

3. WAS MARTA JO COMPETITIVE, ALERT, ORIENTED AND ABLE TO MAKE HER OWN DECISIONS ON AND AFTER AUGUST 29, 2019?

- (A) PETITIONER STAMPONE SAYS YES.
- (B) DEFENDANT JUDGE DAVID M. MURKOWSKI IN COMPLAINT SAYS NO.
- (C) SLOAN KETTERING (ONE OF THE BEST HOSPITAL IN THE WORLD) SAYS YES. (SEE MARTA'S MEDICAL REPORT IN COMPLAINT.)
- (D) MAYO CLINIC (ONE OF THE BEST HOSPITAL IN THE WORLD) SAYS YES. (SEE MARTA'S MEDICAL RECORDS IN EXHIBITS OF COMPLAINT AND EXHIBIT 'A' IN THIS PETITION.)
- (E) STATE JUDGE JOSEPH R. KLEIN SAID BOTH MARTA JO AND I ARE COMPETITIVE ENOUGH TO BE MARRIED ON SEPTEMBER 9, 2019. (SEE MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE/LICENSE IN COMPLAINT EXHIBITS.)

4. WAS MARTA JO AND PETITION STAMPONE DEPRIVED OF THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS PROTECTED BY UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ? (A) PETITIONER SAYS YES.
("CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE")

- (B) U.S. DISTRICT COURT DID NOT MAKE A DECISION ON THIS.
- (C) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT MAKE A DECISION ON THIS.
- (D) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ^{REHEARING} DID NOT MAKE A DECISION ON THIS.

5. WHAT DEFENDANTS HAVE A MORAL OBLIGATION AND/OR FIDUCIARY DUTY TO HELP MARTA FINISH HER CANCER?

- (A) PETITIONER SAYS ALL.
- (B) U.S. DISTRICT COURT DID NOT MAKE A DECISION ON THIS ISSUE.
- (C) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT MAKE A DECISION ON THIS.
- (D) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS REHEARING DID NOT MAKE A DECISION.

6. WHAT DEFENDANTS ARE DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE NEGLIGENT DISREGARD OF EXTREME CRULITY, ELDER ABUSE CRULE AND UNUSAL PUNISHMENT THAT LED TO THE WRONGFULL DEATH OF MY WIFE MARTA JO STAMPONE?

- (A) BOTH PLAINTIFFS MARTA JO AND FREDERICK STAMPONE CLEARLY DESCRIBED IN DETAIL IN THEIR COMPLAINT HOW EACH DEFENDANT WAS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE.
- (B) U.S. DISTRICT COURT DID NOT MAKE A DECISION ON THIS.
- (C) U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT MAKE A DISISION.
- (D) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS REHEARING DID NOT MAKE A DECISION.

7. DID ALL DEFENDANTS KNOW THAT MY WIFE MARTA JO WOULD DIE IF SHE DID NOT FINISH HER CANCER TREATMENTS?

- (A) PLAINTIFFS SENT CERTIFIED LETTERS TO ALL DEFENDANTS INFORMING THEM WITH MEDICAL REPORTS. ALL LETTERS WERE IGNORED THEN PLAINTIFFS FILED COMPLAINT AND STILL NO HELP THEN PLAINTIFFS FILED A NUMBER OF MEDICAL EMERGENCY MOTIONS AND STILL NO HELP.
- (B) U.S. DISTRICT COURT DENIED ALL PLAINTIFFS MEDICAL EMERGENCY MOTIONS.
- (C) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT MAKE A DECISION ON THIS.
- (D) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS REHEARING DID NOT MAKE A DECISION.

8. WERE ALL DEFENDANTS PROPERLY SERVED COMPLAINT AND SUMMONS?

- (A) PETITIONER SAYS YES, AND ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT ALLIE

SAWYER ANSWERED THE COMPLAINT AND MOTIONS. PETITIONER DISMISSED HER FROM COMPLAINT BECAUSE HER HUSBAND VISIT PETITIONER AND TOLD HIM THAT HE WOULD TRY TO HELP MARTA.

(B) THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP BECAUSE PETITIONER DID NOT SERVE NEW JERSEY ATTORNEY GENERAL. COURT RULES STATE THAT SOMEONE ~~SO~~ FILING A COMPLAINT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES AND/OR PRESIDENT MUST SERVE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL AND NOTHING ABOUT SERVING A STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL. PETITIONER PROPERLY SERVED PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL WILLIAM BARR AND THE UNITED STATES. NEW JERSEY ATTORNEY GENERAL RECEIVED THE COMPLAINT AND FILED AN ANSWER. THEREFORE HE PARTICIPATED AND THE ~~COURT~~ SHOULD CONSIDER PRESIDENT TRUMP SERVED.

(C) THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT MAKE A RULING ON THIS.
(D) U.S. COURT OF APPEALS REHEARING DID NOT MAKE A DECISION.

THIS IS A MAJOR ISSUE THAT THIS COURT MUST MAKE A DECISION AND PUBLISH IT FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL U.S. CITIZENS.

9. DID PLAINTIFFS SUFFER AS MUCH MENTAL ANGUISH AND SEVER EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AS TERRY GEN BOLLEA IN THE CASE TERRY GEN BOLLEA V. GAWKER MEDIA, LLC, et al. CASE NO. 8:12-cv-02348-T-ZTT BM.

(A) PETITIONER SAYS YES AND EVEN MORE, BECAUSE TERRY DID NOT ~~COULD~~ SUFFER THE MENTAL ANGUISH AND SEVER EMOTIONAL DISTRESS KNOWING THAT MY WIFE MARTA WILL DIE IF SHE DOES NOT FINISH HER CANCER TREATMENTS. AND NO MATTER HOW HARD PLAINTIFFS TRY IT IS NOT ENOUGH. POOR MARTA WAS LOCKED UP WAITING TO DIE KNOWING THERE IS NO HELP ON THE WAY AND ~~SO~~ KNOWING SHE CAN BE CURED. MY WIFE MARTA DIED ON OUR WEDDING ANNIVERSARY SEPTEMBER 9, 2021 WHILE I WAS LOCKED UP IN KENT COUNTY MICHIGAN JAIL BY DEFENDANTS FOR FILING THIS COMPLAINT. SEE COURT OF APPEALS 6TH CIRCUIT CASE FREDERICK STAMPONE V. MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT ET AL. CASE NO. 24-1252 AND SOON TO BE APPEALED IN THIS COURT. THIS CASE NEEDS TO BE PUBLISHED SO NO OTHER CITIZEN GO THROUGH THIS.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: BRITTAN AMANN, BENJAMIN AMANN, GRAND RAPIDS FOAM TECHNOLOGIES, JORDAN KRAMER, MR. PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP, US DISTRICT JUDGE PAUL MALONEY, US ATTORNEY GENERAL WILLIAM BAR, MICHIGAN GOVERNOR GRETCHEN WHITMER, STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL DANA NESSAL, MICHIGAN SOLICITOR GENERAL FADWA HAMMOUD, JUDGE DAVID M. MURKOWSKI, CHIEF PROSECUTOR CHRISTOPHER BECKER, ROBERT GORDON DIRECTOR OF HUMAN SERVICES, ATTORNEY MICHAEL TOMICH, ATTORNEY CHARLES CLAPP, ATTORNEY PAMEL J. CROSS, ALLIE C. SAWYER, GOVERNOR OF NEW YORK ANDREW CUOMO, THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW YORK ~~RELATED CASES~~ LETITIA JAMES, CRAIG B. THOMPSON CEO, MEMORIAL SLOAN KETTERING CANCER CENTER, CEO ROBERT CAHILL, HOPICE, CEO CHRIS WINKLE, DEBORAH ROAST, SUNRISE LIVING INC., CEO TINA FREESE DECKER, SPECTRUM HEALTH, DR. MARK CAMPBELL, CEO LOU ANDRIOTTI, VISTA SPRINGS SENIOR LIVING, CHIEF DAVE ROBINSON, ROCKFORD POLICE DEPARTMENT

RELATED CASES

- STAMPONE et al v. AMANN et al, NO. 2:20-CV-03874, U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF NEW JERSEY. JUDGMENT ENTERED ON MARCH 13, 2023
- STAMPONE et al v. AMANN et al, NO. 23-1617, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT. JUDGMENT ENTERED ON MAY 21, 2024
- STAMPONE et al v. AMANN et al, NO. 23-1617, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT REHEARING. DENIED ENTERED ON AUGUST 7, 2024.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

{ EXHIBIT 'A' MDHHS- ADULT INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
TRANSCRIPTS

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A DECISION OF UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APPENDIX B DECISION OF UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX C DECISION OF UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS REHEARING

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

EXHIBIT 'A'

EXHIBIT 'B' TRANSCRIPTS

EXHIBIT 'C' ORDER - DENIED MOTION TO INVESTIGATE
THE MURDER OF MY WIFE MARTA JO.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
TERRY GEN BOLLEA V. GAWKER MEDIA LLC et al. CASE NO. 8:12-CV-02348-T-2TT BM,	3RD PAGE IN QUESTIONS PRESENTED
STAMPONE et al. V. AMANN et al CASE NO. 2:20-CV-3874	3, 5
HAINES V. KERNER 404 U.S. 519 520 (1972)	3
STATE OF MICHIGAN V. FREDERICK STAMPONE NO. 20-006877-FC	4, 6
MICHIGAN KENT COUNTY PROBATE COURT No. 19-205 703-CA	6
FREDERICK STAMPONE V. MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT et al STATUTES AND RULES NO. 24-1252	7
28 U.S.C. § 1254 (1)	2
28 U.S.C. § 1361	3
4 TH , 5 TH , 8 TH , 13 TH AND 14 TH AMENDMENTS	3
KIDNAPPING, WRONGFULL, DEATH, ASSULTING A SENIOR CITIZEN	3
COLOR OF LAW	3
DIVERSITY AND 440 FEDERAL QUESTION	3
6 TH AMENDMENT	6
28 U.S.C. § 1746	3, 7
RULE 29	3, 7
OTHER	
MICHIGAN 180 DAY SPEEDY TRIAL COURT RULE MCR 6.004	6

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at THIRD CIRCUIT; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 21, 2024.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: AUGUST 7, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

PLEASE SEE THE COMPLAINT FILED IN THE U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF NEWARK NEW JERSEY CASE STAMPONE ET AL V. AMANN ET AL CASE NO. 2:20-CV-03874 FOR ALL CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.

I DO NOT HAVE A COPY OF OUR COMPLAINT BECAUSE I AM LOCKED UP IN PARNALL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY AND BEING DENIED ACCESS TO COMPUTERS, COPY MACHINES, LAW LIBRARY, I AM OVER 71 YEARS OLD AND HAVE NO ONE ON THE OUT SIDE TO HELP ME. I DO REMEMBER A FEW THAT ARE; KIDNAPPING, WRONGFULL DEATH, ASSAULTING A SENIOR CITIZEN (MARTA JO WAS 67 YEARS OLD WHEN SHE WAS ASSAULTED BY BENJAMIN AMANN) VIOLATION OF MARTA AND PETITIONER CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS PROTECTED BY 4TH, 5TH, 8TH, 13TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

THE DEFENDANTS THAT ARE ACTORS OF THE STATE CAN BE SUED UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW.

PLAINTIFFS HAVE JURISDICTION IN THE FEDERAL COURT UNDER DIVERSITY AND 440 FEDERAL QUESTION. SEE COMPLAINT FOR ALL REASONS FOR JURISDICTION. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER ALL STATES AND COURTS.

PETITIONER PETITIONS THE COURT TO ENTER AN ORDER TO COMPEL A UNITED STATES EMPLOYEE TO INVESTIGATE THE WRONGFULL DEATH KIDNAPPING OF MARTA JO UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

PETITIONER IS PRO SE AND THE COURT HOLDS THEM LESS STANDARD THAN THOSE FILED BY AN ATTORNEY. HAINES V. KERNER 404 U.S. 519 520 (1972)

28 U.S.C. § 1746

RULE 29

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I FREDERICK STAMPONE PETITIONER AM LOCKED UP IN PARNALL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY AND I DO NOT HAVE A COPY OF OF THE COMPLAINT FILED IN THE U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF NEWARK NEW JERSEY. THERE FOR, I RELIE ON ALL FACTS STATED IN THE COMPLAINT IN SUPPORT OF THIS STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

MY WIFE MARTA JO STAMPONE HAD THROAT CANCER THAT CAN BE CURED. (SEE MEDICAL RECORDS FROM MAYO CLINIC AND SLOAN KETTERING IN EXHIBITS OF COMPLAINT.) MARTA WAS TREATED WITH RADIATION AND CHEMO AT SLOAN KETTERING IN NEW YORK CITY. MARTA LARGE TUMOR IN HER THROAT WAS ALMOST GONE.

ON DECEMBER 21, 2019 MARTA WAS KIDNAPPED, TAKEN BY FORCE AGAINST HER WILL RIGHT FROM HER HOSPITAL BED IN THE MIDDLE OF HER CANCER TREATMENTS BY BENJMAN AMANN, JORDAN KRAMER AND BRITTAN AMANN. BENJMAN AMANN ASULTED AND DAMAGED MARTA KINNEY BY JUMPING ON HER WITH HIS KNEES LANDING IN HER RIBS RIPPING HER CELL PHONE OUT OF HER HANDS. (SEE MARTA'S CERTIFIED AFFIDAVITS IN EXHIBITS OF COMPLAINT.)

BRITTAN AMANN TESTIFIED IN OPEN COURT THAT SHE, JORDAN KRAMER AND BENJMAN AMANN BOARDED PLANES, FLEW TO NEW YORK CITY, TOOK MARTA FROM SLOAN KETTERING TO GRAND RAPIDS MICHIGAN, LOCKED MARTA UP IN A VERY SECURE FACILITY, DENIED MARTA OF ALL MEDICAL TREATMENT, VISITORS, ALL PHONE SERVICE, MAIL SERVICE AND WAITED FOR HER TO DIE. (SEE BRITTAN AMANN TESTIMONY, STATE OF MICHIGAN V. FREDERICK STAMPONE, CASE NUMBER 20-006877-FC, DAY 2 TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF MICHIGAN 17 CIRCUIT JUDICAL COURT ON MARCH 17, 2022 AND EXHIBIT 'B' IN THIS PETITION.)

PETITIONER SENT LETTERS TO ALL DEFENDANTS BY CERTIFIED MAIL WITH RECEIPTS EXPLAINING EVERYTHING REQUESTING THEM TO HELP MARTA CONTINUE HER CANCER TREATMENT IMMEDIATELY OR I WILL FILE FOR RELIEF AGAINST YOU AFTER 21 DAYS. (SEE COPY OF LETTER WITH GREEN CARD RECEIPTS IN EXHIBITS OF

COMPLAINT.) PETITIONER ALSO STATED IN HIS LETTER THAT MARTA'S THROAT CANCER CAN BE CURED AND SHE WILL DIE IF SHE DOES NOT FINISH HER CANCER TREATMENTS. NO ONE RESPONDED TO THIS SO BOTH PLAINTIFFS FILED THEIR COMPLAINT. PLAINTIFFS INCLUDED A COPY OF MARTA'S MEDICAL RECORDS IN THEIR LETTER AND IN THE EXHIBITS OF THEIR COMPLAINT. (SEE EXHIBITS IN THEIR COMPLAINT FILED IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF NEWARK NEW JERSEY, CASE STAMPONE et al v. BRITTAN AMANN et al, CASE NO. 2:20-CV-03874.) DEFENDANTS ANSWERED THE COMPLAINT, BUT STILL CONTINUED TO REFUSE TO HELP MARTA JO. THEN PLAINTIFFS FILED A NUMBER OF MEDICAL EMERGENCY MOTIONS REQUESTING DEFENDANTS^{ANTS} RETURN MARTA TO SLOAN KETTERING OR SHE WILL DIE. STILL, DEFENDANTS CONTINUED TO REFUSE TO HELP MARTA AND MY WIFE MARTA JO DIED ON OUR WEDING ANERVERSITY SEPTEMBER 9 2021. DEFENDANT ALLIE C. SAWYER HUSBAND KEARY SAWYER CAME FORWARD AND TRIED TO HELP MARTA AND PETITIONER DISMISSED ALLIE FROM THE COMPLAINT HOPEING OTHERS WOULD HELP MARTA. STILL DEFENDANTS CONTINUED TO REFUSE TO HELP MARTA. EVEN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT REFUSED TO HELP BY MARTA DISMISSING ALL PLAINTIFFS MEDICAL EMERGENCY MOTIONS.

IT APPEARS THAT MICHIGAN KENT COUNTY PROBATE COURT JUDGE DAVID M. MURKOWSKI TOOK SOME TYPE OF DRIVE TO ALLOW BRITTAN AMANN TO USE THE COURT SYSTEM TO KILL MARTA JO, INHERIT ALL HER ASSETS OVER 700 THOUSAND DOLLARS AND STEAL ALL PETITIONER'S ASSETS BY ~~BY~~ WRONGFULL EVICTION HIM DURNING THE TIME HE WAS LOCKED UP IN KENT COUNTY JAIL.

ON AUGUST 29, 2029 DEFENDANT JUDGE DAVID M. MURKOWSKI VIOLATED MARTA JO STATE FEDERAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BY RULEING THAT MARTA IS INCOMETENT AND GIVING BRITTAN AMANN CONSERVERTOR AND GUARDIAN OVER MARTA. MARTA DID NOT WANT THIS BECAUSE BRITTAN STOLE ALL MARTA'S MONEY. (SEE BANK STATEMENTS IN COMPLAINT. JUDGE MURKOWSKI DENIED MARTA OF A JURY TRIAL THAT MARTA IS ENTITLED TO. THERE NO DOCTOR OR ANY MEDICAL RECORD AT THIS TRIAL. JUDGE

MURKOWSKI IS NOT A DOCTOR, HAS NO MEDICAL BACKGROUND, BUT, IN HIS OPINION MARTA IS IN COMPETENT. ONLY A FEW DAYS LATER ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 ONE OF THE BEST HOSPITALS IN THE WORLD, THE MAYO CLINIC AND SLOAN KETTERING DIANOSED MARTA BEING ALERT ORIENTED WELL CARED FOR AND ABLE TO MAKE HER OWN DECISIONS. (SEE MARTA'S MEDICAL RECORDS IN COMPLAINT, AND EXHIBIT 'A' IN THIS PETITION MD HHS ADULT INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.) ON SEPTEMBER 9, 2019, STATE COURT JUDGE JOSEPH R. KLEIN ASKED BOTH PLAINTIFFS QUESTIONS AND RULED THE MARTA JO AND I ARE COMPETENT ENOUGH TO BE MARRIED. (SEE MARRIAGE LICENSE IN COMPLAINT EXHIBITS) ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2020 JUDGE MURKOWSKI WAS DISQUALIFIED FROM MARTA JO CASE, BUT 10 DAYS LATER ON SEPTEMBER 28, 2020 JUDGE MURKOWSKI SIGN AN ORDER ALLOWING BRITTAN AMANN 47,000 DOLLARS OF MARTA'S MONEY TO PAY FOR BRITTAN LEGAL FEES. (SEE JUDGE MUSKOWSKI ORDERS IN MICHIGAN KENT COUNTY PROBATE COURT CASE NO. 19-205703-CA.)

DEFENDANTS RETALIATED AGAINST PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT INSTEAD OF HELPING MARTA JO BY ARRESTING PETITIONER FREDERICK STAMPONE ON AUGUST 23, 2020 AND CHARGING HIM KIDNAPPING HIS OWN WIFE. FREDERICK DID NOT GO TO TRIAL UNTIL MARCH 17, 2022. THEY VIOLATED FREDERICK 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL WHILE BEING DETAINED IN KENT COUNTY JAIL PROTECTED BY OUR UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. THEY ALSO VIOLATED MICHIGAN 180 DAY SPEEDY TRIAL COURT RULE MCR 6.004. (SEE MICHIGAN 17TH CIRCUIT COURT CASE THE PEOPLE OF MICHIGAN V. FREDERICK STAMPONE CASE NO. 20-06877-FC. AND EXHIBIT 'B'.) THIS CASE IS DEPENDANT ON THE CASE PETITIONER CASE. PETITIONER IS REQUESTING THE COURT TO COMBINE THESE 2 CASES AND SEND

HIM THE APPROPRIATE FORMS TO FILE PETITION APPEAL OF THIS CASE FROM JUDGEMENT ORDER OF UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIX CIRCUIT REHEARING CASE FREDERICK STAMPONE V. MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT ET. AL. CASE NO. 24-1252 ENTERED ON OR ABOUT AUGUST 15 2024.

PLAINTIFFS PROPERLY SERVED ALL DEFENDANTS INCLUDING PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP UNITED STATES UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL SOLICITOR GENERAL PURSUANT TO RULE 28 U.S.C. § 1746 AND RULE 29. NO WHERE DO IT STATE THAT ~~PLAINTIFFS~~ HAS TO SERVE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT ENTERED AN ORDER GIVING MARTA JO ESTATE TIME TO FILE A CLAIM WHEN SHE PASSED AWAY ON SEPTEMBER 9, 2021 AND THEY FAILED TO DO SO. THIS COURT THEN ENTERED ANOTHER ORDER THAT THIS CASE SHALL GO ON WITH OUT MARTA JO AND WITH FREDERICK STAMPONE ONLY AND LET THE RECORD REFLECT THIS.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THIS PETITION SHOULD BE GRANTED AS A MATTER OF LAW FOR ALL OF THE REASONS STATED THROUGH OUT THIS PETITIONS, PETITIONER'S COMPLAINT AND WITH EXHIBITS AND ALL MOTIONS FILED IN THE U. S. DISTRICT COURT, AND BRIEF FILED IN U. S. COURT OF APPEALS.

THIS MUST BE PUBLISHED AS A MATTER OF LAW TO SHOW ALL COURTS THROUGH OUT OUR COUNTRY THAT THIS COURT DOES ENFORCE OUR UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, RESPECT THE RIGHTS OF OUR CITIZENS, RESPECT OUR TROOPS THAT GAVE THEIR LIVES FIGHTING FOR FREEDOM AND CONSTITUTION, AND MARTA JO LIFE IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN GREED, MONEY AND JURISDICTION. ALSO, THIS COURT MUST SHOW THAT THEY WILL NOT TOLERATE SONS AND DAUGHTERS USING THE COURT SYSTEMS TO DEPRIVE THEIR PARENTS OF MEDICAL TREATMENT SO THEY WILL DIE AND INHERIT EVERYTHING THERE THEIR PARENTS OWN. THIS IS NOT AN ISOLATED INCIDENT, BUT AN ON GOING OCURENCE THROUGH OUT MICHIGAN AND OUR UNITED STATES. IT IS THE JUDGES OF THIS COURT RESPONSABLE TO CHANGE AND STOP THIS FROM HAPINNING. DON'T THINK THIS CAN NOT HAPEN TO YOU, ALL JUDGES GET SICK OR OLD ONE DAY.

ALL JUDGES GOVERMENT OFFICALS ENEN OUR PRESIDENT TAKE AN OATH TO OBEY DEFEND AND ENFORCE OUR UNITED STATES CONSTITUTE. WE DO NOT HAVE A CONSTITUTION UNLESS OUR JUDGES ENFORCE IT.

PRESIDENT JOE BIDDEN GOT BRITNEY GRINDER OUT OF RUSSIAN JAIL ENEN THOUGH SHE BROKE THE LAW IN RUSSIA AND PRESIDENT BIDDEN DID NOT HAVE JURISDICTION IN RUSSIA. BUT, MY WIFE MARTA JO WAS A VERY SMART LADY, NEVER BROKE ANY LAWS, ALWAYS ALWAYS PAID HER TAXIES ON TIME WAS ASULTED TAKEN BY FORCED AGAINST HER WILL RIGHT OUT OF HER HOSPITAL BED DURING HER

CANCER TREATMENT AT SLOAN KETTERING IN NEW YORK CITY TO BE LOCKED UP IN A VERY SECURE FACILITY IN GRAND RAPIDS MICHIGAN. IN THIS FACILITY MARTA JO WAS LOCKED UP AGAINST HER WILL DEPRIVED VISTORS DEPRIVED ALL PHONE AND MAIL SERVICE, AND ALL MEDICAL TREATMENT. MARTA JO WAS ABANDONED WAITING TO DIE KNOWING THAT THEIR IS NO HELP ON THE WAY AND KNOWING IT IS HER OWN DAUGHTER DOING THIS TO HER.

ALL DEFENDANTS KNEW MY WIFE WOULD DIE IF SHE DID NOT FINISH HER CANCER TREATMENTS AND THEY ALL KNEW THAT SHE COULD BE CURED INCLUDING U.S. DISTRICT COURT. ALL DEFENDANTS INCLUDING PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP AND U.S. DISTRICT COURT HAD A MORAL OBLIGATION AND OR A FIDUCIARY DUTY TO HELP MY WIFE MARTA JO.


CONCLUSION

PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THE COURT ENTER JUDGEMENT IN FAVOR OF PETITIONER FOR EVERYTHING LISTED IN REQUEST/ DEMAND IN COMPLAINT INCLUDING INVESTIGATING WRONGFULL DEATH OF MARTA JO.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Frederick Stampaone



Date: 9/30/24

SEPTEMBER 30, 2024