


No. _____

24-6576

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Simaron D. Hill — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Todd Ishee — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4th circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)


PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Simaron Hill
(Your Name)

maury correctional Institute - p.o Box 506
(Address)

maury, NC 28554
(City, State, Zip Code)

252-653-5501
(Phone Number)



No _____ Supreme Court of the United States

maury Correctional Inst.

Simaron Hill - pro se

P.O. BOX 506, Maury, NC 28554

Hill v. Ishee - Petition for Writ of Certiorari

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED Telephone - (252) 653-5501

Rule 10, Considerations governing review on Certiorari

1. Did the US court of appeals for the 4th circuit decide an important federal question in a way that conflicts with Slack v. McDaniel (2000)?

U.S.C.

In Slack v. McDaniel a petition that states a denial of a constitutional right and jurists of reason would find it debatable that the procedural ruling was not correct constitutes the issuing of a certificate of appealability.

The petitioner Simaron D. Hill satisfied both parts of this law by showing his petition states a valid claim of a denial of a constitutional right and by showing the procedural ruling was incorrect, so the court of appeals should have issued the certificate.

2. The court of appeals dismissed the petitioners appeal on the ground that he did not satisfy Slack v. McDaniel. When this court reviews the record it will show that the petitioner satisfied Slack v. McDaniel and it will show that the petitioners petition shows that the petitioner got denied a hearing to enforce his rights under the 5th amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The petitioner has a right to due process because he is deprived of his freedom and the due process clause of the 5th amendment prohibits the government from unfairly or arbitrarily depriving a person of life, liberty, or property, did the court of appeals deny the petitioner a certificate and the right to a fair hearing?

No. _____

Supreme Court of the United States
Hill v. Ishee - Petition for Writ of Certiorari

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

- Hill v. NC - Randolph County Superior Court - Judgement entered April 3, 2023
- Hill v. NC No. 165 P16-4, NC Supreme Court, Judgement entered, June 23, 2023
- Hill v. Ishee No. 1:24cv413 U.S. District Court for the middle district - Judgement entered July 20, 2024
- Hill v. Ishee - No. 24-6810 U.S. Court of Appeals Judgement entered - November 22, 2024.

Simaron Hill - Pro SE
Maury Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 506
Maury, NC 28554
(252) - 653 - 5501

No. _____

Supreme Court of the United States
Hill v. Ishee - Petition for Writ of Certiorari

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Dismissed certificate of appealability - U.S. Court of Appeals denied certificate - November 22, 2024 ^{4th circuit}
APPENDIX B	Middle district in Greensboro, NC dismissed petition for writ of habeas
APPENDIX C	magistrate judge recommended dismissal - failed to get authorized ^{corpus} U.S. Court of appeals denied rehearing on to file second petition
APPENDIX D	December 20, 2024.
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

Simaron Hill - PROSE
Maury Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 506
Maury, NC 28554
(252)-653-5501

No. _____

Supreme Court of the United States
Hill v. Ishee - Petition for Writ of Certiorari

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Slack v. McDaniel (U.S.S.C. 2000)

5

Gonzalez v. Thaler (2012)

STATUTES AND RULES

U.S. Constitution - Amendment V due process of law - 5
Amendment XIV 5

OTHER

Simaron Hill - Pro SE
Maury Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 506
Maury, NC 28554
(252) - 653 - 5501

No. _____

IN THE

Hill v. I Shee

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

1.

Simaron Hill-Prose
Maury Correctional Inst
P.O. Box 506 - 252-653-5501
Maury, NC 28554

Nb. _____
Hill v. Ishee

Supreme Court of the United States
Petition for Writ of Certiorari
JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was November 22, 2024

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: December 20, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

U.S. Hohn (1998) U.S.S.C

The 4th circuit court of appeals denied petitioner a certificate of appealability. By law the certificate should have been issued. The petitioner needs help from this court to decide this case and change the 4th circuit ruling.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

(252) - 653 - 5501
Simaron Hill - ProSE
Maury Correctional Inst.
P.O. Box 566
Maury, NC 28554

No. _____ Hill v Ishee - Supreme Court of the United States
Petition for Writ of Certiorari

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Slack v. McDaniel - (2000) U.S.S.C

Gonzalez v. Thaler (2012) U.S.S.C

U.S. Constitution - Amendment- 5
Amendment-14

Appendix - A page 5 is where the text appears.

All citations appear in the reasons for granting petition.

Simaron Hill - Pro SE
Maury Correctional Inst
P.O. Box 506
Maury INC 28554
(252) - 653 - 5501

No. _____

Hill v Ishee

Supreme Court of the United States
Petition for Writ of Certiorari

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. The petitioner is seeking to file a petition for writ of certiorari to have this court change the ruling of the 4th circuit and issue an certificate of appealability pursuant to Slack v. McDaniel (2000). U.S. the petitioner filed a motion for appropriate that got denied in the Randolph County Superior Court, He then filed a petition in the NC Supreme Court that got denied. After that he filed a petition for habeas corpus in the district court which was dismissed. He then filed a notice of appeal in the district court and then the appeal got dismissed in the 4th circuit court of appeals.

2. In this case the facts is that the 4th circuit court of appeals decided a federal question that conflicts with Slack v. McDaniel (2000) U.S. It ruled that the petitioner did not make a requisite showing, but the petition states a valid claim of a denial of a constitutional right and the 2244 that he filed will demonstrate that the district courts ruling is incorrect. the facts is the petitioner got denied a certificate of appealability and he got deprived of a fair hearing under the 5th amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Simaron Hill - Pro SE
Maury Correctional Inst
P.O. Box 506
Maury, NC 28554
(252) 653-5501

Hill v. Ishee

Supreme Court of the United States
Petition for Writ of Certiorari

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The petitioner Simon D. Hill is petitioning this court in reference to Rule 20-Procedure on a petition for an Extraordinary Writ. This petition should be granted because the 4th circuit court of appeals dismissed the petitioner's appeal on the grounds that he did not satisfy Slack v. McDaniel (.2000). In fact the petitioner did satisfy both parts of ^{that} law and a certificate of appealability should have been issued, the facts are contained in the petitioners habeas corpus petition and in his 2244 motion. It will show that the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right, and it will show that the district courts ruling is incorrect. The granting of this petition will be in aid of this courts jurisdiction because the 4th circuit denied the petitioner a fair hearing and did not issue the certificate. The petitioner has the right to demand a fair hearing under the U.S constitution - Amendment 5, because he is deprived of his freedom. The state made senate bill 1183 on December 1st 2015 which violated his 14th amendment rights. All that is left to do is obtain relief for that injury. The petitioners rights have been violated since December 1st 2015. The petitioner cannot obtain enough relief from any other form or from any other court. When this court reviews the record it will show that he satisfied Slack v. McDaniel and the 4th circuit erred by not issuing a certificate of appealability.

Simon Hill - PRO SE
(252) 653-5501 / P.O. Box 506, Maury, NC

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Simaron Hill

Date: 2-5-2025