

Appendix  
A

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

JUN 17 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: ARTHUR TORLUCCI.

No. 24-2605

ARTHUR TORLUCCI,

D.C. No. 2:22-cv-09490-RGK-MAR  
Central District of California,  
Los Angeles

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES,

Respondent,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: SILVERMAN, BUMATAY, and SANCHEZ, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated a clear and indisputable right to the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See In re Mersho*, 6 F.4th 891, 897 (9th Cir. 2021) (“To determine whether a writ of mandamus should be granted, we weigh the five factors outlined in *Bauman v. United States District Court.*”); *Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DENIED.**

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**