

No. 24-6563

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ARTHUR TOPLUCCI PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA RESPONDENT(S) et al.
Trial Judge Robert Jerry Perry, PRISONER IN 1996 DAVID AUGA NOW D.A. OF
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE LA. 1st DISTRICT
THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT. R-34.1(D), JUNE 17, 2024 #24-2605 DIVISION 1
US. DISTRICT COURT - CENTRAL #2:22 cv-09490 RBK(MAK)
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ARTHUR TOPLUCCI - COURT
(Your Name)

SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON
(Address) P.O. Box 1020 31625 Hwy 101

Soledad, CA 93960-1020
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

1) WHETHER A SB1437 DENIAL IS A
NEW JUDGEMENT FOR ALL PURPOSES ~~★~~
(see Fed. Pub. D.F. Amicus curiae brief page 2.)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

5) BECAUSE THE SOLE KEY PROSECUTIONS WITNESS AND HIS ACCOMPLICE; WERE THE ACTUAL KILLERS, THE POLICE & D.A.'S OFFICE PROSECUTION & TRIAL JUDGE ALONG WITH PRELIMINARY & TRIAL COUNSELS USED TO FRAME ME FOR THEIR 3RD CRIMES MURDER — DOES TORLUCCI'S SB1437 CASE QUALIFY AS ACCOMPLICE LIABILITY / MURDER LIABILITY.

2) AS A MATTER OF SUBSTANTIVE STATE LAW WAS MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO HAVE MY MURDER CONVICTION 187A(2) ^{2ND} ~~187A(2)~~ VACATED; — COGNIZABLE AS 187A(2) ^{2ND} ~~187A(2)~~ WAS AMENDED BY PENAL CODE 1884(b)(1) & 1884(c) (see page 4 of Fed. Pub. D.F. Amicus curiae brief).

3) WAS THE ARBITRARILY CONCLUSORILY DENIAL OF SB1437 "AS THE ACTUAL" KILLER A UNREASONABLE APPLICATION OF CLEARLY ESTABLISHED LAW & DUE PROCESS VIOLATION VIA INSUFFICIENT GROUNDS. (see Amicus curiae of F.P.D. Pg. 5)

4) WAS/IS THE DENIAL WITH PREJUDICE OF MY "FREESTANDING" CLAIM ARBITRARY WHEN LIFTED OUT OF THE CONTEXT OF SB1437 NEW & ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE FROMS ACCORDING TO McQUINN V. PERKINS 569 U.S. 383 (2013). ?

The Decision of USA9 No. 24-2605 6/17/24 was before the USDC.
Magistrate Paul Fung; But after Respondent Nancy Lii Lauen's motion to OLSMUS
1/3/24. And to answer her question on Pg #11, lines 243. "I did not have my ~~explanatory~~
evidence during my first ~~foreign~~ ~~Hanson~~ QUESTION(S) PRESENTED in 2004-2010 because trial
Judge claimed Count lost it in 1999 to deny all my claims ~~arbitrarily~~ & then I re-
possessed it. Did SB1437 create a New Judgement in 2004/13 via
CACSC. Archives. IN & OF ITSELF AS A AMALIORATIVE LEGISLATIVE
PROVISION FOR ALL ELIGIBLE SECOND DEGREE
MURDER CONVICTS (i.e. ACTUAL KILLERS & ACCOMPLICES
THAT JUDGES CAN'T OVERRIDE SENATORS, ASSEMBLY
MEN/WOMEN, CONGRESS, THAT SB 775 CLARIFIED &
EXPANDED. THAT BY PASSES AEDPA. via "DeHuff 2021"
63 cal. app. 5th 428, 437-438 # B305374

7) Did Senate Bill 1437 & SB 775 Abolish the Judiciary
created "UNCONSTITUTIONAL" Second Degree Murder in
CALIFORNIA. 2nd 187(A) via PC 188(b)(3) & (b)(c) & (d) via DeHuff (2021)
63 cal. app. 5th 428, 437-438 # B305374.

A) Did SB 775 make it so a lawyer must be appointed
to present for the inmate a argument for a judge
to decide whether s/he made a prima facie
showing. AND

B) If not is that specific structural error a Automatic
Reversal - THAT CONSTITUTE is generally via Lewis (2021) 43 cal. app. 5th 108,
257 cal. # 5260598, 5295998.

8) IS A FREESTANDING ACTUAL INBOURSE CLAIM EVER NECESSARY
FOR MR CANTO 187(A) CONVICT - FOUND GUILTY BY PERJURY OF
THE SOLE KEY PROSECUTION WITNESS WHO WAS ONE OF THE
TWO ACTUAL KILLERS, TRYING TO KILL ME?

9) If so is New & Additional Exculpatory Evidence Allowed At
THE PRIMA FACIE STAGE TO PROVE I AM NOT THE ACTUAL KILLER
TO SATISFY THE ARBITRARY ASSUMPTION IT IS NOT TO BECOME ELIGIBLE.

AM I (OR IS ANYONE) ATTACKING THE CONVICTION

① PER SE (OF BAR 6357 AND 187A) IS TO LIFE PLUS
1 YEAR (FOR MY PLOTTER, MY EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE WITH
BULLET HOLE IN IT) ONE W THE SAME ALLEGED CRIME
USED AGAINST ME AT THE ACTUAL GUN THE ACTUAL
HIT & RUNNER USED THAT HIS CRIME WAS SHOOTING AT ME
KILLING VICTIM WAS COVERED UP WITH GSR TAKEN OFF
MY BODY AND STITCHED GLASS FROM BULLETS, BALLISTICS
COVERED UP. - AND MY PLOTTER REALLY INCORPORATING
EVIDENCE AGAINST THE SOLE KEY PROSECUTOR WITH ME)

OR

AM I TRYING TO GET THE COURTS TO HONOR
SENATE BILLS SB 437 & SB 775.

IN ESSENCE.

ARE THE JUDGES ATTACKING THE LEGISLATORS
VICARIOUSLY BY & THROUGH WRITING FILMS
HAW SUTTS / UNDER SB 437 & SB 775 -

AM I ONLY TRYING TO GET A JUDGE
TO ADMIT THAT SB 437 / 775 CREATED A NEW
JUDGMENT - BECAUSE IT IS SUPPOSED TO BE A NO
BRAVER - THE SB 437 / 775 FORMS HAVE BOXES WE CHECK
OFF & IF NOT ELIGIBLE NO FILING - REJECTED - AND IF
ELIGIBLE A AUTOMATIC RESENTENCING HEARING IS DONE & THAT
CREATES A NEW JUDGMENT. IT IS A DONE DEAL - NOTHING TO ARGUE ABOUT.

The "Question" Presented

(10)

SB 1437/775 Penal Code 1170.95 now 1170.6.

Does NOT allow for a error at trial to be litigated. AND IT PUT THE BURDEN OF PROOF ON THE PROSECUTION TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT I AM THE ACTUAL KILLER. AT A RESENTENCING HEARING & THAT I AM ALLOWED TO PRESENT NEW & ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE TO PROVE IT AT ANY STAGE OF THE LITIGATION. De Hoff of my case are almost exactly the same - only I AM ACTUALLY INNOCENT.

THAT WOULD EXAGGERATE ME RATHER THAN THERE AT A SENTENCING HEARING BY THE WAY I WAS FRAMED BY THE ENTIRE "SYSTEM" AT ELECTION TIME, WITH AMMUNITION FROM TOWN OF CON STAYS & A DEATH ON XANAX & CLORALHYDRATE AS A Actor WITH STAGE FRIGHT - AND SUPPOSED TO MAKE A MOVIE THE NEXT MORNING 1/15/96 UNDER PSYCH CARE of THE JUDGE CONCEALED ALL THAT FROM THE JURY JUST FOR ONE MORE MURDER CONVICTION AT ELECTION TIME FOR THE PHONY WAR on DRUGS crime THAT police Gangs like CRASH & BAMPART Feuded to Trump up statistics on the TAKE & NOW JUST RECENTLY THE CIA ADMITS TO BRINGING DRUGS INTO THIS COUNTRY STARTING AT VIETNAM TO PRESENT NOW FBI HAS INTERNATIONAL JURISDICTION & WHEN THEY INVESTIGATE A police corruption RING - THEY WANT IN ON A piece OF THE "ACTION" THESE UNITS ARE FORMED AS MERCENARY MISSION SOLDIERS IN A PARAMILITARY OPERATION FOR THE PROSECUTOR WHAT ABOUT THE REASON MY CASE IF EXAGGERATED WOULD SHAKE A COUNTY CORRUPTION LIKE A "FANTASY" AND PUT MY LIFE AT STAKE WITHOUT PROTECTION!

THEY FIRST & I WOULD NOT BE SAFE THERE EITHER.

611 Galletti, DA 1996 of LA CTF Gabriel Bland, ~~scott~~
Gavin Newsum.

LIST OF PARTIES

LA county chief of Police

LA CTF'S DA. (convicted) Deputy CTF.

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows.

Personally

The Honorable — ~~MASTRADE~~ Robert N. Block ^{1/ CU-04-9878 GAF (RMS)}
MASTRADE Margo A. Rocconi of USDC CEN.
w 2122-CU-09490 RGT (MAN)

Rob Bonta Attorney General, Nancy Lii Linder & Jonathan

— M. Krauss Deputy of Attorney General / Lawyers for

Respondents of Anne B. Waters, Susan Sullivan Pitzky, Stephanie

C. Brennan. Trial Judge RELATED CASES Robert J. Perry & H. Clay Jack II

of LACSC case etc; David Ausit prosecution of BA16357 (1996) no DA. of M. L. L. L.

Appellate Division. Prelim Attorney, John J. Murphy cohen of

cohen & cohen, Monte Reynolds, Trial Counsel Eric Groer Ballantyne.

Appellate Counsel Christine C. Staver. Judges ^{Chief Justice} Cantil-Sapaya

Second District Court of Appeal Division 2-4 Guerrero

Waters susp. C. Aker (A).

LA. County Sheriff's Dept. on Jan. 14, 1996 at Hollywood P.D.

Detectives. Alan Hamilton, Lambkin & McDonough, Sid. Fung & Holloman

& Det. Daniel (Victim's James Edward Daniel's blood relative) & The Two

Actual Killers working for the Cops. Ammer Shanti (sole key prosecution

witness & Barbara Thomas) Racketeers w/ FBI Rm Street mile-lap.

B305374

Cases - See - People v. De Huffer (2021) 63 Cal. App. 5th 428 437-438.

Remanded - Reversed - 2nd (1970) Unsettled - as Actual Killer.

See People v. Lewis 7/26/2021 #5260598/3295998 Second App. Div. - Div. 1

43 Cal. App. 5th 1128, 1257 Cal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Holland v. Florida, 560 U.S. 631 (2010) [409]

Colman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722 Id., at 750, 111 S. Ct. 2546, 115 L. Ed. 2d 640.

VIA PACE IN MY CASE CV-04-9878-GJK-RWB (2006) [26]
USDC - CENTRAL
McQuigg v. Perkins 560 U.S. 383, 391 (2013)

STATUTES AND RULES

AEDPA, OF 1996, 110 STAT. 1214.

MISCHANCE OF JUSTICE EXCEPTION (NEWLY PRESENTED EVID.)
OF MENTAL ILLNESS 28 U.S.C. § 2244(c)(1)(D)
EQUITABLE TOLLING - DILIGENCE OF "FUNDAMENTAL
MISCHANCE OF JUSTICE EXCEPTION"

OTHER Jones v. Steel Drums Co. v. Director, Office of Workers
Compensation Programs, 133 F.3d 683. (HN1)

When Congress Amends A Statute, The Law Changes
& There is A PRESUMPTION AGAINST THE RETROACTIVE effect
OF SUCH A CHANGE. BUT WHEN A COURT DELIVERS A RULING
EVEN IF IT IS UNFORSEEN "THE-LAW-HAS-NOT-CHANGED"
RATHER THE COURT IS EXPLAINING WHAT THE LAW ALWAYS WAS.

McQuiggin v. Perkins, 569 U.S. 383, 392 May 28, 2013.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

HURRICAN CARTER - RUBEN CARTER

born from Patterson, NJ. I TOWN OVER FROM MY HUSBAND WIFE - Black framed by Italian cop for murder in 1960 or so - ME ITALIAN FAMILY.

framed by a all black ex-con/cop crime ring for money - blackening's human spirit & brutal threat for crimes hiding under the color of the law men acting "above the law" inflicting havoc on Hollywood

STATUTES AND RULES

CALIFORNIA - TWISTED NOST TO BEHOLD APPROPRIATE ACTUAL IMPROBANCE EXCEPTION - PERKINS RULE (11/13)

McQuiggin v. Perkins - SD 1437 - SB 775 - P.C. 1170.6. MURDER LIABILITY.

ANALOGOUS LEGISLATIVE PROVISION.

LA. CIV. DA - GEORGE CARSON SPECIAL DIRECTIVE 20-14.

P.C. 147 - police phews the police - AMANTIS TO PSYCHOLOGICAL & PHYSIOLOGICAL TORTURE HELD CATTLE AS THE INTENDED VICTIM - ACTUALLY INNOCENT, FRAMED BY RABBIT MAYER, IN CONTACT WITH DIRTY COP, DA, SUBJECT OF LA CIVIL DA CASE CATTLE (WHO IS THE COP) - EQUIVOCAL EXEMPTION FOR 2244(D)(1).

OTHER

I invoked P.C. 1473.7 & HAD SB 467 IN LEMBO FROM SYRACUSE COURT XEN-PAL 6357-1 THAT I CAN ENTER IN SB 1437 2:22 CV-09490-KG(MAX) DISMISSED PERHAPS MY OBJECTION BY 9/14/14. INTO MY "FREE STANDING" CLAIM THAT SB 1437 DOES NOT ALLOW - ALL I WANT TO DO IS BYPASS THE COURT'S WRONG STANDARDS THAT 2ND DEGREE MURDER DOES NOT REQUIRE ACCOMPLICE LIABILITY.

Arthur J. Lucci
22-6608
CERTORARI

P. 12 #3

In the U.S. Supreme Court
Clerk, please excuse present.

Rule Application to Justice
KETANI Brown Jackson

original

Rule 25 (6) ①
INTERVIEWING WITHIN
NO SENT. SCRIPTS AVAILABLE.
WITHIN CASE
STARTED.
GOT IT 9/12/23.

Rule 33 (d) ② EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES

I AM MENTALLY ILL & WAS UNDER PSYCHIATRIC
CARE IN ND. 1991 TO 1994 & CALIFORNIA 1994-1996
BEFORE MY FALSE ARREST & FRAME ILLEGAL CONVICTION.

I AM STILL UNDER COURT ORDER DELINQUENT PSYCH—
NO CARE - DENIED BENZOPRIMINE FOR 27 3/4 YEARS
BUT FOR 2007-2010 PRESCRIPTION FOR ANXIETY/DEPRESSION

AN ANXIETY OF SOCIAL PHOBIA, PTSD, FROM CHILDHOOD, TENDENCY
PARANOID, PARANOIDAL & HALLUCINATIONS & BEING VICTIM OF A
ATTEMPTED MURDER & FRAMED BY COPS, THE TWO KILLERS /

DEPUTY DA. DAVID AUSTIN NOW DA. OF LA COUNTY APPELLATE
DIVISION, JUDGE ROBERT S. BERRY, TRIAL COUNSEL, PROSECUTOR,

APPELLATE COUNSEL & FED. PUB. DEF. TANKA (COURT 9/12/23 WITH KNO)

of A.G. CONY & RUBINS ET. ① ASKING LEAVE TO FILE VARIOUS MOTIONS FOR NORTON/
AB 3234 - EVIDENTLY PAROLE DATE OF 4/31/22 NOT HONORED BY CDCR. 62 YEARS 27 3/4 YEARS

DENIED ACCESS TO LAW LIBRARY ON PLY STATUS ON A
LOCKDOWN OF ALL PASTING SERVICES. ADMW. MPRAT 5050 329879 & 12

H.C. MONTAGUE SUPER. CT. RCTORARI 23HC000022 SHOWING A OBSTRUCTING ANTI-TRIAL

ACCESS TO COURTS ② ASKING EXCUSE FOR 1 ORIGINAL, EXCESS WORD LIMITS, NO
WORD COUNT & WHO FILINGS. CHANGING VERBS & NO SENTENCES SCRIPTS ON RECORD TO MERITS
OF THE ERRONEOUS SENTENCE, MISUSE & DISCRETION, DUE PROCESS VIOLATION & MORE TO START
SENTENCE

1 When A Org. Restore Justice came to talk
2 to prisoners in 2019, they made it clear that
3 SB1437 does not necessarily have to have a
4 accomplice with 2nd degree murder. Actual killer
5 can be resentenced under the eligibility criteria.
6 No rape, capital, Against ...

7 And that the forms they drafted up are
8 simple. You just check off which ones that
9 apply to you. Then the court looks at it and
10 decides if you qualify or not and if so you go
11 to court for a resentencing hearing. ... SB1437
12 will not meet to be hashed out on paper back &
13 forth of the court system. And the LA county
14 & 2nd App. Dist. is doing just that to all of us in a
15 circle jerk, coming back with wrong penal codes or
16 first creating a smoke screen to evade the real
17 issues. No body is getting relief. And all these new
18 laws are to keep creating new judgments just to
19 give a innocent man "hope" to be sent off once
20 again like the voter who thinks a new president is
21 going to change his life & lower taxes for the working
22 stiff & pay school teachers high wages.

23 So Mensho V. U.S. Dist. Ct. U.S. Ct. of Appeal
24 9th Cir. 6 F.4th 891 2021 U.S. App. should make my case
25 a lead precedent case. with new & add. exculpatory evidence
26 by Davis Acosta & all Red Team. cf. PAVMAN V. U.S. Dist. Ct. 1st
27 9th Cir. 557 F.2d 650 1977 U.S. App. like ME experienced a
28 DETERMINED DISCREPANCY OF FACTS DUE TO INDISPUTABLE RIGHTS AS INNOCENT

USA P-
91290-24265
MINE
D

INTRODUCTION

THE PURPOSE OF THIS MANDATE ON
CERTIORARI IS TO PREVENT THE 9TH CIR
APPEALS COURT BY 28 U.S.C. § 451

FROM DENYING ME NOT ONLY PERMISSION
TO FILE A "FREESTANDING" CLAIM IN THE
USDC CENTRAL ("IF EVEN NEEDING PERMISSION")?

BUT TO ENSURE IT IS TREATED AS A
FENABLE (F) ACTUALLY INNOCENT FREESTANDING
CLAIM PURSUANT TO McQUINN V. PERKINS
369 U.S. 383. BASED ON THE NEWLY
PRESENTED NEW & ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OR (e) NEWLY
AVAILABLE EVIDENCE THAT (I SUBMITTED) ^① OR PREVIOUSLY
SUBMITTED, ON PAGES 31 ~~40~~ 35 PAGES OF ~~INTD~~ ~~SECRET~~
290 TO 294. OF DOCKET #5 OF USDC CEN. RECORD
IN #2122 CV-09490-RGK MAR. EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE, (e.g.)
IN FULL DOCKET #5 ID. PAGES 235 TO 268. AND A IMMEDIATE
RELEASE (EXONERATION) FROM COCR PRISON, IF NOT A PRESIDENTIAL
PARDON FOR THE CALIFORNIA STATE OF THE UNION USING 1 OF THE
2 ACTUAL KILLERS TO GIVE PERSONAL TESTIMONY "VOICINGS" TO FRAME ME.
PURSUANT TO RULE 45 OF THE COURT.

FOOTNOTE ^① DONE IN SB1437 DECLARATORY STYLE. & THE
FORGONE INTRODUCTION IS ALSO A "AFFIDAVIT" OF MY TENABLE INNOCENCE
WELL BEYOND "VIALE" IT PUTS THE STATE ON CRIMINAL DEFENSIVE GROUND.

Intro
USAP 9th Cir
man
24-2605

WHETHER THIS COURT DEEMS MY FREESTANDING
CLAIM FALLS UNDER CASE # 2:21 CV 09490 Rbk MAR
OR UNDER FIRST HABEAS FILINGS IN FEDS — JURY
(C9) CV-04-9878-GHK RNB BECAUSE 'FREESTANDING'
CLAIMS REVERT BACK TO A FIRST HABEAS CORPUS
FEDERAL PROCEEDING PRE AEDPA CRITERIA. (C9)
THE McQUIGG GATEWAY/PARKS RULE.

OR UNDER MY CONVERTED CASE # OUT OF LOS ANGELES
COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLARK SHADLER FITZ, CRIMINAL
JUSTICE CENTER W 1996 BAIL 357 (C9) 11/14/96
CONVICTION DATE, NOV. 21, 1996 SENTENCING DATE
4 GIVEN HABEAS PSB # XCN-BAIL 357 - 01

AND THEN GIVE MY FREESTANDING CLAIM
A HITHERTO INDEPENDENT CASE # & PACKET
OTHER THAN 22-155 OR EVEN 24-2605 & A
INDEPENDANT 'FREESTANDING' USDC CENTRAL OF CA #.

BECAUSE THE MAGISTRATE FINDING IS DEEMING MY
SB 1437 NEW & ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE AS A FREESTANDING
CLAIM AS WELL AS SB 1437 PROOF OF ELIGIBILITY USING THE
COURT OPINION & WRONG STANDARD USE OF ELIGIBILITY
AND FREESTANDING CLAIM ON ITS OWN ACCORD
AS A SECOND/SUCCESSIVE PETITION THAT CONTRADICT
McQUIGG V. PARKS 569 U.S. 383. OR
THAT THIS COURT DEEM FIT WHATEVER OTHER BELIEF
THIS MARCHANUS PETITION CONSTRUCTS WITH THE WRIT OF
JUSTICE & SOCIAL ECONOMY TO EXONERATE ME!

1-2

USAGM #
 1605
 1/10/00

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3

regarding Mary Lillian Deputy Attorney General
 has limited the frequency of claim site first

brought to my attention to on about 1/3/00

at what not/rate of such a claim. Site limits

of Hansen to my S/ly. And evidence (none)

without assistance may recall that started

it. So this introduction is a hypothetical

it creates rotation to correct error

med. Oct. 2, 1994. at steps 1 New West

of the wrong standards of Dismal/ of WWS

by the entire by cost central distal system

trial court to get a

Nancy L. Lillian Contradict herself by

claiming I said no reason for me (raising her/ which

in 2004-2005 from Hansen. It she later that my friend

Public Defector only first heard of it in July via

his response to my self Hansen/ mention "Hansen"

of shift at "Hansen", a small that was the first

time he heard of it. So why I did not believe it

with because of Hansen (retrograde) of 1990 and

evidence at Michael Hansen stated. As a result could

refused to get the Hansen book. He told her

I called 911 to report what I thought was a shift

of convictions of victim being run over under the

can believe me (not scolding into victim as the way

Hansen on my side even jumping in at me like friendship

1-28 All about Hansen & what his wife until 2009
 at my shift with Hansen the 400 car days in
 1990/1991 of 1991 when I began beyond that past
 1 with Hansen & Hansen were guilty of Hansen Hansen

USAP 9
N-24-2605
4

The freestanding claim Respondent is arguing is
is secondary to my McQuinn Gateway/Pentecost
Rule claim.

Respondent Panetti v. Quarterman, 551 #
947. Allen v. Colson, 953 F. Supp. 2d 870
877 (M.D. Tenn. 2013) ACTUALLY, SOLSTEN
BOTH FREESTANDING CLAIM OF Respondent a Magistrate

Because I am relying on the Honorable
Prior Rulings of SB1437, THAT RE OPENS
CV-04-9878 GTR RMB on MERITS of create a
new judgment on the spot" no Remand to Trial
Court and NOT Relitigate THE SAME IAC CLAIM
a IMPERFECT SELF DEFENSE BUT JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT of Trial Judge concealing my
MENTAL illness of Diminished Capacity from the Jury
in a FRAUD on court. AS Prior CLAIM of Newly ADM L.
CLAIM of Augmented Record on Appeal 1-67 MAR. of
March 22, 2023 Fall upon the "MISCARriage of JUSTICE
EXCEPTION" in COLEMAN v. THOMPSON, 501 U.S. 722 (1991)
(See) SUPRA AT 392-393, 185 L. Ed. 2d AT 1030-1032.

Footnote ② See Exhibit D herein

JUDICIAL ACTIONS of Newly Presented evidence
on SB1467 P.C. 1473 Amended MAR. 1, 2023 FOR
in custody ACTUAL INNOCENTS TO FILE MOTION
TO VACATE CONVICTION AT HARBERS CORPUS POUNDS.

AS I EXHAUSTED ALL MY CLAIMS PERPETRATED IN
STATE COURTS on SB1473 & SB1775 a 1999 HARBOAT
TO PRESENT on other CLAIMS THAT EXCUP. RUL. IS LYING WITH A RULING AFFECT

BY

USAP 9
No. 2017-65
(5)

MANDAMUS AS A PREREQUISITE
TO ASKING PERMISSION TO FILE A
FREESTANDING CLAIM IN U.S.D.C. TO
9TH CIRCUIT APPELLATE COURT AS A NON-
CAPITAL CASE.

BECAUSE OF THE PATTERN & PRACTICE OF THE
CENTRAL DISTRICT / 9TH CIR — LACOUNTY STATE
COURTS OF ARBITRARY DENIALS, WHICH IS
A DUE PROCESS VIOLATION (i.e.) WRONG STANDARD USES,
(e.g.) COGNIZABLE — AND THE 9TH CIRCUIT
RULING IN *Jones v. TX* on 763 F.3d 1242 OF
Aug. 19, 2014 No. 13-3620 L. *1245; 2014 U.S.

APP. LEXIS 15968, **9 PAGE 6 & 10 HNST [**13]
TAKING THE STANCE OF A NON-CAPITAL FREESTANDING CLAIM
STILL UNRESOLVED IN THEIR COURT, AS COGNIZABLE — BUT
IS "Viable"; AFTER THE *McQuinn v. Perkins* 569 U.S.
383 FAVORABLE RULING AS NON-CAPITAL — IN "2013" IS
DISTURBING & USING OSBORNE (2008) REVERSED ONLY ON
OTHER GROUNDS — AS *PERKINS* RULE EXAGGERATED HIM.

MAKES IT EQUIVALENT TO THE
"SCHUP STANDARD WHERE THEY CAN SIMPLY SAY
THEY DON'T THINK THE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE WOULD
SWAY THE NEW JURY (OR WOULD HAVE SWAYED THE
TRIAL JURY) TO A DIFFERENT VERDICT OTHER THAN GUILTY (87A)
WHICH IS ANOTHER "CUSTOM & HABIT" OF THE "SYSTEM".

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at No. 24-2605 for MANDAMUS; or, JUNE 17, 2024
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished. ON THE U.S.D.C. DENIED

The opinion of the United States ^{CERT} district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at 2:21 CV 09490-REK-MAR; or, AUG. 13, 2024
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**: SUPREME COURT KEEPS SKIRTING MY CITING
A CLAIM OF NEW EVIDENCE ACTUALLY INSTEAD THAT I FILE UNDER

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at SB467 Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or, AMENDMENT P.C. 1473.7
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, ON 1/1/2023 TO
☐ is unpublished. EXERCISE IN
CUSTODY CONFLICTS.
A H-CHY INJECT

The opinion of the SUPREME CT court appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or, SECTION 745
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, INTO IT BEING
☐ is unpublished.

1.

SECT. 745 WAS PUT INTO
THE RACIAL JUSTICE ACT TOO
AND MY MOTION TO RECONSIDER
SECT. 745 WAS NOW WITH DIFFERENT NEW AVAIL CLERK

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JUNE 17th, 2024.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

SB1437 & SB775 AMMUNITION
LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF CALIFORNIA
2019 & 2022 RESPECTIVELY.

EQUITABLE EXCEPTION TO 224411(D) -
PARKS RIA MCHUGH GATEWAY

HABEAS CORPUS § 112.5 STATUTES 176 LIMITS
OF ACTION & PERIOD 220 - TOLLING - EXPRESS PROVISION
- EQUITY. HOLLAND V. FL. 62 CHAN 563 & 573.

NON JURISDICTIONAL FED. STAT. OF LIMITS...
TRADITIONALLY GOVERN THE "SUBSTANTIVE LAW
OF HABEAS CORPUS". UPON CLEAR COMMAND

THE SPECIAL NEED FOR AN EXPRESS PROVISION
UNDERMINES THE INTERPRETIVE "MAXIM
INCLUSIO UNIUS EST. EXCLUSIO ALTERIUS (I.E.
TO INCLUDE ONE IS TO EXCLUDE THE OTHER).

(BREYER, J., JOINED BY ROBERTS, CH. J.,
AND STEVENS, KENNEDY, GINSBURG & SOTOMAYOR, JJ.)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

SB 1437453775 PC. 1170.6 AMALORATIVE LEGISLATIVE PROVISION CA. STATE. 2019
RETROACTIVE. MY RIGHT TO FREEDOM, JUSTICE, LIBERTY

DIGNITY, THE GREAT WRIT — TO PROVE MY
ACTUAL INNOCENCE & EXPOSE CRIMINALS

Hiding UNDER THE COLOR OF THE LAW, RUINING
LIVES, THREATENING ME & MY FAMILY & FRIENDS
UNDER THE SCHULP GATEWAY WITH

NEWLY DISCOVERED EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE "Newly Discovered"
END. INCRIMINATING THE SOLE KEY PROSECUTOR
WITNESS AT ONE OF THE TWO ACTUAL KILLINGS
AND ALL OPERATION AT WILCOX DIVISION HOLLYWOOD
POLICE STATION IN ON THE CRIME SCENE. NO MURDER
REPORT GIVEN, MY TRUCK WAS NEVER NOT ALLOWED
TO EVEN BE MENTIONED, DESTROYING TO REPORT
PRELIM NO TRANSPORTATION/VEHICLE MOTION TO PROVE
AT MURDER. DESTROYING EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE ON
MY END & INCRIMINATING EVIDENCE ON THE TWO
KILLER CASES USED AS FALSE WITNESS — CONCEALING

911 CALLS — (AND MY 911 CALL FOR HELP FOR ME & THE
VICTIM — BEFORE SHE TOLD ME HE WAS NOT HURT AND ON STREET
OR RUN AWAY) FREEDOM OF INFO. ACT, WON'T GET ME
MY 911 CALL AT ABOUT 9 PM 1/14/96 FROM 1616 N. PASEO
APT. # 224, HOLLYWOOD CA. 18 YEARS OF ARBITRARY DENIAL
AS THE PEOPLE WHO FRAMED ME ARE STILL IN OFFICE, ON
THE BENCH, GOING TO COURT & ME GOING INTO THE LIONS DEN.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE ARBITRARY DENIAL OF RECOMMENDING TO
DISMISS MY SB/457 CASE IS A CUSTOM &
HABIT OF THE COURTS I HAVE DEALT WITH FOR
28/29 YEARS NOW. & THESE SB/AB'S
RESENTING LAWS ARE BEING THE EJECTMENT
OF SEPARATION OF POWERS IN A NEGATIVE WAY

SO MUCH SO AB600 IS A CLASS ACTION
TO STOP IT & GIVE INMATES WHAT THEY HAVE
COMING BY LEGISLATORS. & GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM.

FURTHERMORE THE DUE PROCESS VIOLATION
IS BEING COMPOUNDED BY "PREJUDICE" & MY FREESTANDING
CLAIM IS JUST THAT VIA COURT ORDER & NO
PERMISSION IS NEEDED BY ANY CR. APP. CT & FREESTAND
WILL NOT BE CONNECTED TO SB1457 TO VITIATE OF
WHETHER IT IS CAN BE GIVEN A SEPARATE NUMBER AT
A WHOLE PETITION w/o DENIAL w/o PREJUDICE & IS
DENYING ME RIGHTS TO FREEDOM, LIBERTY, REAL PROPERTY, FAMILY, JUSTICE!!

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE CENTRAL DISTRICT - From Sepulchre
to other can have a long custom of HAST &
USING wrong standards of law & even with
LAWYERS representing prisoner with NO money
to pay the \$100,000.00 BRIBE to do the right
THING. (in 1996 it was \$100,000.00) - now 2.2
Now they just DISMISS A CASE WHEN
you can despite overcoming their wrong standards
LEWIS my case was held on for over a year.
Then 1 week before it was when my case got
dismissed. MY CASE IS ALL ABOUT GETTING A
PUBLICITY HEARING (with a day present on TV
NATION wide not just court TV) AND SATISFYING THEIR wrong
STANDARD THAT I CAN GET PUNISHMENT BEING CONVICTED
AS THE ACTUAL KILLER. IF I WAS THE REAL MURDERER
IT'S THAT I CAN I BE CONVICTED OF 1st & 2nd degree MURDER
AND WITH CHARGE / P.C. 188, 93 & 18900 re made on P.C. 1870
THE PRISON IS NO 1 - THAT IS A NEW JUDGMENT BY JUDGES
APPLYING MY P.T. HANDED IN FEB. 01-9878-64K (PUB) - IF I WAS THE
REAL KILLER - IT'S UP TO THE PROSECUTION TO PROVE I WAS STILL GUILTY
ACCORDING TO NEW P.C. 188 & 189 (SEE) - AND I HAVE ACTUALLY INVOICED
NO BREAKER w/ NEW EVIDENCE
of VICTIM

See Two Amicus Curiae Briefs in Exhibit A
From Ph.D. & P.D.O. & Ex-B George Gascon Ph.D. & LA, Special Director.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Appendix & Blakely were held Applicable to US
Sentences Guidelines as Advisory rather than mandatory (ie
"Review of Sentences Subject to a 'Unreasonable Standard'")

BROWN V. LOUISIANA, 113 S.Ct. 886, 218 L.Ed.2d
404, 2023 U.S. LEXIS 1493, 91 U.S. LW 3250, 29 Fla. weekly Fed
S.715 2023 WL 2744925. Corey J. Robins & my Fed. PR
Def. MICHAEL TANIKAI failed to disclose my ACTUAL (uncovered)
exculpatory evidence & kept it in LA city super. Ct. Records
in (2006) AT 000-9878-GHK RNB evidentiary hearings
& suppress the XANITA & CHLOE/HYPNOSIS prescription
I WAS ON AT TIME OF INTERVIEW 1/14/96/15/96.
THAT IS A MEMORY LOSS PRES. KNOWING I HAD RETROSPECTIVE
AMNESIA IN SOME FORM & CONCEALED IT IN COURT WITH
COURT APPOINTED PSYCH. DR. PAOLO STEWART, & SAID THAT
MY MENTAL CAPACITY WOULD BE BYPASSED AND PA.

WILLIAM V. TAYLOR, 529 U.S. 362 My prior favorable
Rulings in 000-9878-GHK RNB on SB1437 PRONS & ELIGIBILITY OPEN
UP MY FIRST HC. W. Fed. on JAC & MONITS - TO RAISE & ARGUE
THE GRIFFIN ERROR RAISED BY FED. PR. DEF. BUT NOT EVEN
INITIATED LYING DOCUMENT & WHY I DID NOT TESTIFY AT TRIAL
IS BECAUSE I DID NOT REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED.

BARRY V. MARYLAND, 373 U.S. 83. Now additional evidence
(exculpatory) in SB1437 goes to punishment issue not GUILT issue. per SCOT
(ie) creating a new judgment to later on attack GUILT issue to BROWNE.

My case is like DeHopp's for Eligibility & The case
The turning it into a fraction's claim that I can win on
paper with extraordinary evidence. When I already qualify for a retrial
hearing. And then they try to deny me - without a hearing to ^{with my} ^{wrongfully} ^{is being} ^{appeal}

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Every person that got arrested by the
cops who framed me, every convict that ^{framed}
David AUST HAD NOW DA OF LA County Appellate
Division Trial Judge Robert Jerry Peckly
SIDNEY Fung of Holloman (Debi Daniels too even
thought she was taken off the case on paper at least)
THAT got convicted during THAT Period of Term

1996 at least to 2023 as judge the Honorable Judge

Robert Jerry Peckly left his bench, & David AUST
climbs the political ladder now in Sacramento

Will have to be retried, Reversals etc.

& will cost work for Attorney of Court, etc

only to be convict most of them (probably for less

crimes as MURDER was the favor of false convictions

And just like all these new Senate Bills at Assembly

Bills a new panel comes have stipulations that Public Defender

if a person must be appointed if we persons are not allowed to

do so 'not conviction appeals will feel as grease the wheels

of the person industrial system for time - No such
thing as Publicity. And they if all were for a murder etc.

Argument

BECAUSE THE 9TH CIRCUIT FREESTANDING CASES
IN MOST OTHER DISTRICTS & CIRCUITS ARE RIDING
ON THE COAT TAILS OF "PERKINS" (WHICH
I STATED & STATE A SAW IS WEAK COMPARED TO
MY CASE); AND ONLY BASES THEIR CLAIMS ON
RECENTED WITNESS TESTIMONY; IN WHICH MOST
CASES GET DENIED AND/OR GRANTED IN DISTRICT
COURTS ONLY FOR 9TH CIR. TO DENY & REVERSE
THE TEMPORARY EXONERATION. VIA CONFLICTING
EVIDENCE OF RECORD. AND THE TRIAL COURT HAS

USED THE FALSE RECORD OF CONVICTION & DIRECT APPEAL
AS "EVIDENCE" PER SE, WHEN NO EVIDENCE WAS
PRESENTED BY TRIAL COURT OR APPELLATE COURT OF ALL
SO CALLED EVIDENCE BY THE PROSECUTION WAS FALSE.
AND BASED ON A "THEORY". AND IS A DUE PROCESS VIOLATION

BY NOT REVIEWING IT HOLISTICALLY BY REVIEWING & WEIGHING
MY NEW & ADD. EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE AGAINST THE
DIRECT APPEAL & TRIAL COURT. AND THEN IT WOULD MAKE
INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE VIA PERJURY & SOLE KEY PROS WITH
SHIRT BEING THE ACTUAL KILLER & WHY HE WAS CHANGING
HIS TESTIMONY FROM PARKER TO PARKING TO BEING IN THE PASSENGER

9TH SEAT, BECAUSE HE WAS THE HIT & RUN DRIVER & HIS PASSENGER WAS THE SPOILER
THAT SAY HE WAS IN THE PASSENGER WITH REGARD TO DENY MY CLAIM & APPEAL.

AND AS I ALREADY STATED MY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
MICHAEL TANAKA CIRCUMVENTED MY MISCHANCE OF JUSTICE
EXCEPTION CLAIM IN MY FIRST FEDERAL HABEAS CU-04-9878 ~~CHANCE~~
ONLY TO DRAG OUT A 6 YEAR AEDM LITIGATION, THAT
MAGISTRATE IS MISCONSTRUCTING A EVIDENTIARY HEARING FOR,
AND WAS ON THE MERITS OF MY CLAIM OF IAC TRIAL COUNSEL
FOR NO IMPROPER SELF DEFENSE, AS TANAKA HAD NO
EVIDENCE HE CLAIMED, BUT UPON MY GETTING IT OUT
OF RECORDS IN 2013, IT HAS THE LOOK^{OF} LOS OF
HIM A DEPUTY AG. RESPONDED (CORY) ROBIN'S
FINDINGS TO 4 JUST KEEPING IT CONCEALED AS IT
WOULD OF EXONERATED ME IN 2004.

THE ~~CASES~~ THAT WAS CIRCUMVENTED, MURRAY
V. CARRIER, 477 U.S. 478, 496, 106 S.Ct. 2639, 2949.
LA GRANE V. STEWART, 132 F.3d 1253, 1261 (9th Cir. 1998).
Also CARRIGER V. STEWART, 132 F.3d 463, 477 (9th
- Cir. 1997) AFFIRMING 4 RULING ON THE "SCHLUP" GATEWAY
THAT JAILHOUSE LAWYER RICHARD CRANE HAD DONE FOR ME IN
HIS/MY FILE 9 MOTION TO EXPAND THE RECORD UNDER RULE 7
THAT I PUT ON THIS RECORD DURING OPPOSITION TO MOTION
TO DISMISS. SO POINT BEING I AM RELUCTANT TO HAVING
ANOTHER FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER APPOINTED IN THIS SUB 1437
CASE AND EVEN MORE SO IN MY FREE STANDING
CLAIM & I HAVE INSISTED ON A INDEPENDENT PROSECUTOR BEING
COURT APPOINTED FROM OUT OF STATE DUE TO ME
BEING FRAMED BY THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY STRONG ARM OF THE LAW.

ORIGINAL

Argument Affidavit

I was DENIED the GREAT WRIT in 1999 by
Sudicial misconduct of Judge Penny, using wrong standard
of STRICKLAND IAC (but newly available sentencing script
of 11/11/96) was made available to me on 9/14/2013 shows Trial Judge
ORCHESTRATED THE IAC claim by DELATY'S INTERROGATION
SCRIPTS OF ME TELLING COPS I WAS ON SSF & UNDER CARE OF
DR. SHAPIRO, and COPS related X that of ethanyl hypnotics
(Memory loss drugs/hypnotics) THAT COERCED MY 2006 HEAR
REMEMBERING I WAS SHOT AT VIA AMNESIA. SO THE JURY
COULD NOT FIND ME GUILTY OF 2nd DEGREE MURDER INSTEAD
OF MURDER IF THEY HEARD I WAS MENTALLY ILL VIA JURY INSTRUCTIONS
BY LAW. SO WHEN JUDGE DENIED CRE PROSECUTION ON DRUG
PROGRAM HE PAVED THE WAY PREMEDITATION TO DO IT IN COLLAB
WITH TRIAL COUNSEL, & D.A. JUST FOR A MURDER CONVICTION.

IN 2006 USDC CV 04 98786 HK RJB THE WRONG STANDARD
WAS RULED ON BY MAGISTRATE, WHO THEN USED AED/A WRONG STANDARD
OF JUDGE V. DI GIACOMO No. 03 9627 Decided 4/27/2005.
Deputy Attorney General CONROY & RUBINS & FED. PROB. DET. MICHAEL TANKA
DISCOVERED MY LOSS IN 1999 BY JUDGE PENNY & HIS CLIENT(S) SHERRI
R. CARTER et al, finding 911 calls, photos of my truck with bullet holes,
NO EXHIBITS ON TRIAL SCRIPTS EITHER, NO PHOTOS OF VICTIM's body in Autopsy Report. KNOWING
OF PAGE 348 AMMON SHAWI TESTIFYING TO DRIVING THE GOLD WINTERY CAR - EYE WITNESSES
CALL IN TO 911 AT 8:54 PM TIME OF DEATH FILED DEPT. NOTED I HAD LATER AS SCENE
THAT PROSECUTOR WOULD NOT STRIKE TO AT TRIAL ADMITTING HE KNEW OF THE 911 CALLS.
SHAWI FILED & GOLD HONDA CAR HIT & RUN SHOOTING ME & KILLING VICTIM. CITING
FROM ME: REMQUIST opinion: "Government interference" 2244(D)(1)(B) AND
"NEW FACTS PRELIMINARY" 2244(d)(1)(D) OF 28 USC. TO REOPEN CV-04-98786 HK RJB

Handwritten signature/initials on the right margin.

Handwritten initials "JTS" in the bottom left corner.


THIS MANCANN'S PETITION CAN BE CONSTRUCTED AS
A APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE A FREESTANDING
CLAIM IN THE COURT OF THE CIR. OR USDC
EVEN TERM COURT, UNDER CV-04-9878 CLK AS
FIRST HEAR, ~~CV 04-9878 CLK~~ MAR -
OR A ALLEGEDLY NEW CASE # - AS A FORTIFIED
FREESTANDING CLAIM PETITION FOR THE COURT WITH
SHOULD BE.

CONCLUSION

PETITION PREVAILS & WWS OR NOT... SB 1437

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date:

October, 2024

THE FEARSOME CRISIS IS ALL JUST THE RESULT OF
THE CORRUPTION. THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKERS & BILL
GATES ARE ABOUT POPULATION CONTROL - IT'S NO SECRET
THE PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW THE TRUTH (JUST LIKE THE PAPER
THAT WE DO NOT WANT US TO KNOW THE TRUTH ABOUT UFOs
& EXTRATERRESTRIALS). A NECESSARY BULL FOR THE CRONKOR GODD
PEOPLE ARE BEING SHUT DOWN WITH TAXES, GAS PRICES ETC
AND SO WHAT IF EVERYBODY KNOWS HOW CORRUPT THE
SYSTEM REALLY IS & EVERY SYSTEM CREATED WAS DESIGNED
TO FAIL. THESE CORRUPT ^{CONCLUSION} SEPARATE HAVE RUINED
THIS COUNTRY & PUT USA ON ABOUT #30 SOMEWHAT OF THE
BEST COUNTRIES TO LIVE IN. IT IS TIME FOR THE SHIT TO
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date:

September 3rd, 2014


THE IRS AUDIT EVERYBODY
WITH THIS MANDATE CEASE
& EXORCISE ME &

LET THIS DOG HAVE
HIS DAY IN THE SUN

AND IT'S TIME FOR THEM TO PAY THE
PIPER - & KARMA BEGS A BITCH - THEY
HAVE ONE LONG DOG DAY AFTERNOON
WITH ALL THEIR BLOOD MONEY CAUSE WHEN
THE SAINTS COME MARCHING IN ARCH ANGEL MICHAEL
& ST. RITA & TERESA & MARY ARE GOING TO TURN MICHAEL INTO A BLOODY W DISGUISE

THE FETTERED CRISIS IS ALL JUST THE RESULT OF
THE CORRUPTION. THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANKERS & BILL
GATES ARE ABOUT POPULATION CONTROL - IT'S NO SECRET
THE PEOPLE NEED TO KNOW THE TRUTH (JUST LIKE THE PAPER
THAT WE DO NOT WANT US TO KNOW THE TRUTH ABOUT CPD
& EXTRAJUDICIAL). A NECESSARY BULL FOR THE CRONKOR GOOD
PEOPLE ARE BEING SHUT DOWN WITH TAXES, GAS PRICES ETC
AND SO WHAT IF EVERYBODY KNOWS HOW CORRUPT THE
SYSTEM REALLY IS & EVERY SYSTEM CREATED WAS DESIGNED
TO FAIL. THESE CORRUPT S&P & BITE/HAVE RUINED
THIS COUNTRY & THE USA IS ABOUT \$30 SOMETHING OF THE
BEST COUNTRIES TO LIVE IN. IT IS TIME FOR THE SHIT TO
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date:

September 3rd, 2014

THE IRS AND EVERYBODY
IN THIS MANDATE COUNTRY
OF EXERCISE ME &

LET THIS DOG HAVE

HU DAY - TO THE SUN

AND IT'S TIME FOR THEM TO PAY THE

PIPE - & KARMA BEING A BITCH - THEY

HAVE ONE LONG DOG DAY AFTERNOON

WITH ALL THEIR BLOOD MONEY CAUSE WHEN

THE S&P COME MARCHING IN ARCH ANGEL MICHAEL
& ST. RITA & JESU & MAX ARE GOING TO TURN MY CASE INTO A BLESSING W/ DISGRACE