

# App 1.a

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 24-7157****September Term, 2024****1:24-cv-02707-UNA****Filed On: December 20, 2024**

In re: Washington Windsor,

Petitioner

**BEFORE:** Wilkins, Childs, and Garcia, Circuit Judges

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the petition for writ of mandamus and the supplements thereto; and the motion to proceed in forma pauperis, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion to proceed in forma pauperis be granted. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the petition for writ of mandamus be dismissed as moot in part and denied in part. To the extent that petitioner seeks to compel the district court to rule on his original motion in that court to proceed in forma pauperis, his mandamus petition is moot because the district court has already decided that motion. As for petitioner's effort to compel the district court to rule on his motion for electronic filing, he has failed to demonstrate any delay "so egregious as to warrant mandamus." Telecomm. Rsch. & Action Ctr. v. FCC, 750 F.2d 70, 79 (D.C. Cir. 1984). We are confident that the district court will act as promptly as its docket permits on petitioner's motion for leave to file submissions electronically and his amended motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Erica Thorner  
Deputy Clerk