

24-6485

No. _____

ORIGINAL

FILED

JAN 08 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

David C. Letteri — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Wyoming County Sheriff's
Bradley McGonigle — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Second Circuit Court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David Letteri
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 879
(Address)

Ayer, MA 01843
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

Questions
23-cv-1303

1. Does an appeal court have the ability to ingrone facts?
2. Does a judge have a right to revoke an informa paupris without notice?
3. Does a revoke informa paupris in the district court denial a review for an appeal?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *second circuit decision*

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

Due process

Notice clause

Title 28 United States code 1915

OTHER

In Proximo pauper's status

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 21, 2009.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Constitutional and provisions involded
23-cv-1303

1. Due process
2. Notice Clause
3. Informa Paupris status
4. Title 28 United states Code 1915

Statement of Case
23-cv-1303

This stems from an issue of a violation of the notice clause in which the district court had never informed the petitioner of the revoke in which the judge had never even given chance to protest. The case stems from the officer illegal going on the petitioner account. The judge had a reconsideration in which the appeals court had issued a stay in which the judge knowing the petitioner had been in transit did the ruling which was months later deem the filing. The petitioner notified the appeal courts in which had not done anything to have the proper matters of the petitioner informed.


Reason to grant writ
23-cv-1303

Since the petitioner due process and the right to be notify had been violated a remand to hear the merits be warned.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: December 30, 2024