

24-6484

No. 24-6679

1
of
32

5:24-cv-03047-m

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

~~North Carolina~~
Washington, DC

FILED
DEC 26 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Steven Kelly — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

American Bar — RESPONDENT(S)
Association

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE) Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI


Steven Kelly # 26340-104
(Your Name)

Butner - FMC
P.O. Box 1600
(Address)

Butner, NC, 27509
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED



~~1. At what point ^{does} loose the responsibility~~

1. What is the Ratification date of U.S.C. (United States Code) IF it was never ratified how can it supersede the Constitution of the United States?

2. How can Butner Medical Facility or any medical facility be a medical facility with NO Accreditation.

3. What is the reason there is know minimum standard's in the law library as specified By Congress.

4. How come when the American Bar Association allows unratified law to supersede the United States, Supreme Court & Congress it is not Tyranny.

5. On what grounds can the chief Judge U.S. District Court over rule or change verdict's (Orders of Protection 1922 & 1924) wrote or signed By the chief Justice United States Supreme Court,

1 First St 1.1.11.11 na

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Steven Kelly -v- American Bar Association;
Case NO. 5:24-cv-3047-m

Judgment entered; June 28, 2024

United States District Court, Eastern District of
North Carolina, Western Division

Steven Kelly -v- American Bar Association
United States Court of Appeals for the
Fourth Circuit

Case NO; 24-6679 (5:24-cv-3047-m)

Judgment entered; ~~August 7, 2024~~

November 18, 2024

4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

5

CASES Parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page, on civil cover sheet.

PAGE NUMBER

Plaintiff

Steven Kelly & Class

Steven Kelly 26340-104

P.O. Box 1600

Butner, N.C.

27509

Defendant's

American Bar Association

Do Not Have Nation wide
Office Address.

American Bar Association

P.O. Box 3688

Cary, N.C.

27519

See Page 1-A wrong address

STATUTES AND RULES

New address for the American
Bar Association? I don't have
Nation wide address

American Bar Association

800 weston Pkwy

Cary, N.C.

27513

OTHER

1-A

A case is (directly related) if it arises from the same trial court case as the case in this Court (Including the proceedings directly on review in this case,) or if it challenges the same criminal conviction or sentence as challenged in this Court, — Case sitting in this case. Because of where I'm at I can't get all the information as "directly specified".

I don't have all information Because of Incarceration + Mental Hospital (Butner).

I am not allowed to have contact with my family, It is a criminal act for staff at Butner medical Facility to help me.

1. "Steven Kelly - v - Att. Generals office" Family Court, (Don't Know CO) Cherry Creek, New York, Case NO. ?-? Date: 1969 (Civil Commitment) Exhibit, (D) 7 (59)

Case Sighting Pg NO. (5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16) "B" (32)

2. "Steven Kelly - v - Att. Generals office" Family Court "Don't Know CO." Kennedy, New York, Date: 1972 Case NO: ?-?

Exhibit; (D) 8 (59)

Case Sighting; (5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 16, 22) "B" (32)

3. "Steven Kelly - v - Att. Generals office" United States Supreme Court, Chief Justices Courtroom 2 First St. Wash. DC. Date: 1972, Case NO. ?-?

② - A

7

Exhibit, (D) 9 (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 22) "B" (32)

4. "Steven Kelly - v - Att. Generals office" Family Court,
Cattaraugus Co., New York, Date; 1974, Case NO. ?-?
Exhibit; (D) 10 (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 14, 15) "B" (32)

5. "Steven Kelly - v - Att. Generals office" United States
Supreme Court, Chief Justice Courtroom, 1 First St.
West. DC. Date; 1974, Case NO. ?-?

Exhibit; (D) 11 (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 22) "B" (32)

6. "Steven Kelly - v - United States", United States District
Court, Buffalo, New York, Date, 1988, Case NO. ?-?
(Right to file claim)

Exhibit; (D) "12" (59)

Case Setting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 14, 15, 16) "B" (32)

7. "Joy Lyn Dood - v - State of Texas" State Court, San Angelo,
Texas, Date; 1988, Case NO. ?-?


Exhibit, (D) 13 (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16) "B" (32)

8. "Steven Kelly - v - Stuebner Co. Sheriff" United
State Supreme Court, Bath, New York, Date; 1981
Case NO. ?-? (Justice; Broad Street)

Exhibit: (D) "14" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16) "B" (32)



9. "Steven Kelly - v - New York State", Town of Freemont, New York, Date; 2004, case NO. ?-? Justice, Girechen Wilson, Charge; Obstruction of Governmental Administration.

Exhibit; (D) "15" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 7, 8, 15) "B" (32)

10. "Bonnie Padgett - v - Brenda James" Family Court Stoeber Co., New York, Date; 2010, case No. ?-? Custody.

Exhibit; (D) 18 (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 15, 19) "B" (32)

11. "Steven Kelly - v - New York State", Town of Freemont, New York State, Date; 2006, case NO. ?-? Justice Carver, (Criminal, "Possession of a Weapon")

Exhibit; (D) "16" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 7, 8, 15) "B" (32)

12. "Steven Kelly - v - New York State" Horne II, New York, Arraigned; Bath, NY, Date, 2011, case No. ?-? Criminal, Possession of a Controlled Substance

Exhibit; (D) "12" (51)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

13. "United States of Americas Concentration Camp - v - Steven Kelly" United States Supren Court, Chief Justice Courtroom, 1 First St. Wash. DC. Date, 2015 case No. ?-?

Exhibit; (D) "19" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 12, 15, 18) "B" (32)

4-A



14. "Steven Kelly -v- State of Florida" State Court
Monroe Co., Florida, Date; 2016 Case No. ?-?
Criminal, Resist Arrest, Failure of a convicted
felon to properly register.

Exhibit; (D) "20" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

15. "United State Supreme Court -v- Steven Kelly"
United States Supreme Court, 4 First St., Wash, DC.
Date; 2018, Case No. ?-? Chief Justices Courtroom
Exhibit; (D) "22" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No. (5, 6, 8, 12, 15) "B" (32)

16. "Steven Kelly -v- State of Florida" Local Court,
West Palm Beach, Florida, Date; 5-20-2020
Case No: Acct. # 20091618775, J. F. K. ~~High~~ North
Campus, West Palm Beach, Florida, (Civil commitment)
Exhibit; (D) "24" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

17. "Steven Kelly -v- United States of America"
United States District Court, Southern District
of Florida, Date; 2020, Case No.; 21-80092-CR-
Rosenberg, Judge; Rosenberg

Exhibit; (D) "25" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

18. "Steven Kelly -v- United States" Navy Yard,
Wash, DC., Date, 2020, Case No. ?-?
Judge Advocate General's office, Habeas Corpus

5-A




Exhibit: (D) "26" (59)
Case Sighting Pg. No.: (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

19. "Steven Kelly -v- United States" United States
Court of Appeals for the armed forces, 450
E. St. N.W., Wash. D.C., Date: 2021, Case No.
?-?, Write of Habeas Corpus.

Exhibit: (D) "25" (59)
Case Sighting Pg. No. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

20. "Steven Kelly -v- United States", Judge Advocate
General's office, 911 Cuba St., Parris Island,
N.C., Date: 2021, Case No. (Write of Habeas Corpus)
Exhibit: (D) "28" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

21. "United States of America -v- Steven Kelly"
United States District Court for the Eastern Dist.
of North Carolina (Western Div.) Date: June, 29,
2023, Case No. 5:22-HC-2025-B0, Judge:
Terrence W. Boyle.

Exhibit: (D) "29" (59)
Case Pg. No. (3, 6, 8, 9, 15) "B" (32)

22. "United States of America -v- Harold Wayne
Montgomery II" United States District Court for
the Western District of Oklahoma, Date
Feb. 27, 2020, Case No. 5:20-MJ-00091-Stc
Judge: Hon. T. Erwin.

6-A




Exhibit: (D) "30" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO.: (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

23. "United States of America - v - Montgomery"

United States District Court, Eastern District of

North Carolina (Western Division) Date: 06-09-2021

Case No.: 5:21-HC-02125-BG, Judge: Terrence

W. Boyle

Exhibit: (D) "31" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)

24. "United State of America - v - Timothy Leek"

United States District Court for the Eastern Dist.

of North Carolina, Date: May 15, 2022

Case No.: 5:22-CR-00263-D-RN, Judge:

James C. Dever II

Exhibit: (D) "32" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15) "B" (32)

25. Immigration "Deportation Proceedings"

Mexico, Date: 2019, Case No.: ?-?

Exhibit: (D) "23" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO. (5, 6, 8, 15) "B" (32)


26. Sergei Magnitsky; Rule of Law

Accountability Act. (Magnitsky Act.)

Exhibit: (D) "33" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. NO.: (5, 6, 7) "B" (32)

7-A



27. "Republic of Texas - v - United States"

Date: _____, Case No.: ?-?

Exhibit: (D) "34" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No. (6, 8, 15, 22) "B" (32)

28. This is not a case it is cert. side Job Log's with the New York State Dept. 1930s.

Company: AAA Environmental

Address: Mattydale, New York

Date: 2009

Job location: Ticonderoga, New York

Company Job was for: International Paper

Project Planner: Peter Brown

Asbestos Sup.: Edward John's

Demolition Sup.: Steven Kelly

Asbestos log's per code rule 56, must be kept for a min. of 30 years.

The log Book is needed for this case.

Exhibit: (D) "59" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No.: (22) "B" (32)

29. Certificate of Mental Disease or Defect & Dangerousness, Eastern District of North Carolina (Western Div.) Date: 02-07-2022, Case No. 5:22-

HC-2023-130, Judge: Terrence W. Boyle

Exhibit: (D) "35" (59)

Case Sighting Pg. No. (6, 8, 14, 15) "B" (32)


8-A

13


30. "United States District Court Eastern Dist. of
North Carolina, (Western Div.)" Date; 5-25-2021
Case No.; 5:21-Ct-3148-P1, Judge; Louise W. Flanagan
Exhibit; (D) "36" (59)
Case Sighting Pg. No. (6, 8, 14, 15) "15" (32)

31. United States District Court Eastern District of
North Carolina, (Western, Div.) Date; 6/09/2021
Case No.; 5:21-HC-02125-Ba, Certificate of
Mental Disease or Defect and Dangerousness
Judge; Terrence W. Boyle
Exhibit; (D) "38" (59)
Case Sighting Pg. No. (6, 8, 14, 15) "15" (32)

Steven Kelly



Date, Dec. 6, 2024





IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

NO. 24-6679

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at United States District Court Eastern Division of North Carolina; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

CASE NO. 24-CT-3047
S. 24-CT-3047
Western Division

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

15

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Nov. 18, 2024.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Nov. 18, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix NO. 24-6679

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Ratification of U.S.C. 1 Date proposed
to Congress. United States Codes Ratification Date.
Pg. (17) - B - (32)

Butner medical facility Accredited
(Accreditation). Pg. (17) - B - (32)

Minimum Standards in all Institutions.
Pg. (17) - B - (32)

Laws of Man, (2) - B - (32) - "B" - "A" - "C"

Constitution of the United States of America
Pg. (2) B (32) - "C" First 10 Amendments
Pg. (2) B (32) - (4) - B (32)

On the cover page it states "Plaintiff"
Steven James Kelly, Class members - v - "Defendant"
American Bar Association, with Case No.

In the complaint it specifies the
American Bar Association has committed the
act of Tyranny. IF they do this, How did
they do this. They Superseded the political
Structure & Judicial Structure, United States
Code clearly Supersedes the Constitution of the
United States. Congress By Ratification says
all medical facility's "Hospital's" must Be Accredited.
Congress By Ratification say all Institutions in the
United States must have a copy of minimum
Standard's in the law library, which is specific
a place with Bond Books. By no Accreditation &
NO minimum Standard's they clearly Superseded
Congress

The Harm Done or Injustice, (IV. Damages &
Injuries) Death's, Strokes, Heart Attacks, Amputations,
organ removal, loss of liberty, loss of freedom's, mass
punishment, Detained in Medical facility's, Prison, loss
of financial, mail not going out or copied.

Pg. (25) 13 (32) 7. Relief asked for equitable
Relief, Injunctive Relief, Restitution, disgorgement,
establishment of a constructive trust. Any other
Relief the Judge or Court may see necessary.

Statement of the case Pg 2

18

I am not a member of the Bar Association, I did not do this Nor did class.

I Freely admit, I do not have all the answers, But this is the problem.

Here is the Who, what and Where so to speak. Plus, the remedies.

In layman's terms; I mist something, Honorable Richard E. Myers II in Civil Case NO. 15-24-Ct-3047 & in the Court of Appeals Before Harris, Hay Ten S & Berner, Circuit Judges Case NO. 24-6679 "Lack of Subject matter Jurisdiction, or alternatively, as frivolous and for failure to state a claim on which relief can be granted under 38 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i), (ii).

I might Be a little over the line here but do they understand the difference between Criminal & Civil & class Action Suit's. I've asked on Pg. (28) B(32) ~~13~~ "G" & pg. (30) of (32) "7" to have ratified law used in this case.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Tyranny; unjust use of Absolute governments power.

IS Tyranny; a crime that should interest the United States of America's, Judicial system, Political system.

Has the Judicial system been superseded or is it being used unjustly.

In this case alone 4 Judges Honorable Richard B. Myers II, Harris, Heyler & Berner By verdict & action have said & shown that the United States Supreme Court Chief Justice's Court does not matter. By the blatant violation of the orders of Protection. Hurd & wrote By the chief Justice with the Court Seal, Exhibit (D) "5" (59) - (D) "11" (59) same matter Exhibit; (D) "12" (59) "Right to file claim" (U.S. Dist. Court Buffalo, NY.) The very bases for this is United States Code, 28 frivolous or failure to state a claim. The United States Supreme Court does not matter on that level. When you take the time to go through the whole class action. This is an excuse to violate or break every Amendment in the Constitution legally. When you use United States Code.

I used laws of man to show a person has the right to be safe in person, home & family but doesn't the Constitution of the United States do the something. "The Fourth Amendment"

Does the political structure of the United States matter, Overly not. Congress through Ratification specify's minimum standard's is supposed to be in all Institutions, is it "NO". Know one has due process in these Institutions.

Congress through Ratification specify's all Hospitals must be Accredited. Is Butner "NO", How can it be a Hospital with NO Accreditation.

I've asked for a 100% Sealed Hearing that does involve the United States Senate & Government's Abroad. "THIS does involve medical specific By the Senate." (Denied)

The Quick & Sanitized version is the Supreme Court all the way to the Chief Justices Courtroom, Does not matter. It doesn't matter what Congress does nor the United States Senate.

What is left and does it matter. The very fact I asked for Ratified law to be used, Had to say something. The fact it was denied is a whole nother matter. Exhibit; Pg. 28-B (32) G + Pg. (30) B (32) "7".

When you violate an order of protection, is it a criminal Act?

When you violate policy made By the United States Senate is it a crime?

When you violate ~~congress~~ policy made By the United States Congress is it a crime.

I would like to think any one of these would be enuff for Granting the Petition, But all of them may not be enuff.

To deny this on clerical issues, I
 feel would be wrong, ~~Because~~ Because factule
 bases of this is correct.

The law was wrote by simple men for
 simple men.


The law is clear & defined by nature,
 Congress makes policy, Judges enforce it.
 (28) B (32) "G"

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Kelly



Date: Dec. 1, 2024