

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jones v. California

No: 24-6483

Schelton Jones

326 S. Normandie Ave., no. 500

Los Angeles, CA 90020

THIS PETITION FOR REHEARING IS
PRESENTED IN FAITH AND NOT FOR
DELAY.

This letter advises the court, substantial
documentation in the form of transcripts that
are presented in case 24-6483 of the U.S.
Supreme Court were not made accessible
and weren't presented in the case #23-55746,
with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the ninth
circuit, or case no. 2:23-cv-02769 of the U.S.
District Courts Central District of CA.



Case 24-6483 is based in the state of California. California State law prohibits the purchase of a firearm by any individual who had a seventy-two hour consecutive stay in a psychiatric building. I will explain distinctively how and why this unintended situation came about into existence. Over twenty years ago in year 2000, my mother said to me that I seemed to be somewhat of depressed. I knew that I wasn't depressed in any way shape or form. But my mother would continue to ask me questions hinting at depression symptoms just about every other day when we had discussions. Eventually, to satisfy her curiosity, I agreed to speak with a specialist. So upon arrival at a the treatment center, I recall that it was a Friday. After asking to speak to a therapist as I was situated inside what seem to be a public waiting room, the staff assistant replied back to me, mentioning that no who was qualified at that moment to further assist me with any helpful information, and Monday would be when the certified personnel would be present. I then stated that I would return home, and a check back again on Monday. The employee then replied I could stay at this establishment and be given a placed to rest and relax, with service until Monday morning. Which was when the clinical staff that was seeking would then be available. After much debate, I did choose to stay because I beleived that the business of my visit would be prioritized by first come, and first serve. I believe that

one decision is where myself and in-state law have can be repaired and placed back together. I believe that seventy-two hour stay back in year 2000 is looked upon as a 5150. In the state of California, a 5150 refers to a Lanterman-Petris-Short (LPS) Act hold, which is a temporary, involuntary psychiatric commitment for adults experiencing a mental health crisis. The 5150 hold allows designated professionals to detain an individual for up to 72 hours for evaluation and treatment if they are deemed a danger to themselves, others, or gravely disabled. Appendix C of the writ of certiorari in case 24-6483 documented court official legal testimony that supports myself the petitioner, can be read on page 3, line 20-25, pg. 4 line 1-25, page 5 line 1-20, and the question asked in appendix c on page 3 line 9 through 10, the definite answer is no. I have applied with the LASD, and have passed the written exam, and physical ability test (vpat). I understand to continue my quest to become a deputy, I must be licenced to carry a firearm.

The words spoken by my mother to the judge in appendix c of the writ of certiorari pertaining to a close friend were true. I made a decision not to attend the burial because it was an emotional for me. I focused my attention on the present and upcoming events to keep my spirit in a good happy place far from sadness. My mother also didn't

mention that she was given those ideas from her sibling who had experienced with mental health with her son who passed away fighting addiction.

And finally, I didn't include any transcripts when I filed with the United States District Court Central District of California, or The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Shelter Jones