

No. 24-6471

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL
FILED
DEC 06 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Douglas Manning — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

St. Paul, et al vs. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeal for Third Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Douglas Manning
(Your Name)

8 Production Way P.O. Box 905
(Address)

Avenel, N.J. 07001
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I. Plaintiff claims that Defendant's action or Failure To Act amounted to a deprivation of Mr. Manning right to reasonable Protection from assault as Provided by the Eighth Amendment to the United State Constitution.

II. Whether the Plaintiff's factual allegation of an unprovoked beating by Prison Staff Department of Correction raised a Material issue under the Eighth Amendment.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Sgt. St Paul; SCO. MR. Santoro; SCO. Ms Rivera; Terry. NJ Doc Transportation Officer, Doctor Abu Ahson, MD; Ahmar Shakir, DO

Correction Officer Richardson

Matthew J. Platkin, Atty General of N.J

[REDACTED]

RELATED CASES

I. Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, No. 2:23-cv-01623 United State District Court of N.J. Judgement entered 6-05-2024

II. Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, et al. [REDACTED] U.S. Court of Appeal For the third circuit Judgement entered [REDACTED]

III. Manning V. St. Paul, et al. No. 24-2494, U.S. Court of Appeal For the third circuit Judgement entered 11-15-2024

IV. Manning V. St. Paul, et al. No. 2:20-cv-14240 United State District Court of N.J. Judgement entered June 05, 2024

V. Manning V. Governor Philip D. Murphy, No. Pending, U.S. District Court of N.J. Judgement entered Pending

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	<i>e. G</i> 3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, No. 24-2494, Third Circuit Court of Appeal Judgment entered. 11-15-2024

APPENDIX B Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, No. 2:20-cv-14240, United States District Court of N.J. Judgment entered 6-05-2024

APPENDIX C ~~Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul~~

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
I. Manning V. St. Paul, No. 2:20-cv-14240, U.S. District Court of N.J. l.o.g. 46 Memorandum, Opinion Order entered 6-05-2024	Pg 1 of 3 ID 309
II. Manning V. St. Paul, No. 2:20-cv-14240, U.S. District Court of N.J. Pg 1 of 1. Order Dismissing, Third Amended, entered 6-05, 2024 e.g. 6 ID 312	
III. Manning V. St. Paul, No. 2:20-cv-14240, U.S. District Court of N.J. Document 48 Notice of Appeal, entered 8-13-2024 At June 05, 2024	e.g. 5 Pg 1
IV. Manning V. St. Paul, No. 24-2494, Third Circuit Court of Appeal Motion For leave to Appeal in forma pauperis, 10-11-2024	e.g. 3 Pg 1
V. Manning V. St. Paul, No. 24-2494, Third Circuit Court of Appeal Notice, 9-14-2024	e.g. 3 Pg 1
STATUTES AND RULES	
VI. 28.U.S.C. Section 1915(e)(2) Third Circuit L.A.R. 27.4 and I.O.P. 10.6	e.g. 3
VII. Third Circuit L.A.R. 26.1 and Fed.R.App.P. 25(a)(2)(A)(III)	e.g. 3
VIII. 28.U.S.C. 1915(A)	e.g. 4
IX. Manning V. St. Paul, et al. No. 2:20-cv-14240 U.S. District Court of N.J. Judgement entered 6-05-2024	Pg 1 of 3
X. Manning V. St. Paul, et al. No. 24-2494 U.S. Court of Appeal for the third circuit Judgement entered 11-15-2024	Pg 1 of 2
XI. Manning V. Governor Philip D. Murphy. No. Pending. United States District Court of N.J. Judgement entered Pending	Pg 1
OTHER	
XII. Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, et al. No. 2:23-cv-01623 U.S. District. Pg 1 Court of N.J. Judgement entered JUNE 05, 2024. Document 7	
XIII. Manning V. Sgt. St. Paul, et al. No. ██████████ U.S. Court of Appeal for the third circuit, Judgement entered ██████████	Pg 1, 2

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was November 15, 2024

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 12-17-2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including 12-06-2024 (date) on 11-15-2024 (date) in Application No. CA 24-2494

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

This case raise a question of interpretation of the Due Process clause of the XIV. Amend to the U.S. Const. The district court had Jurisdiction under the general federal question Jurisdiction by 28.U.S.C.1331

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 1) This case involves Amendment XIV to the United State Constitution which provides Section 1 Rule 58(c) Fed R Cir P.
- 2) The Amendment is enforced by Title 42, section 1983, United State Codes.
- 3) U.S. Constitution, Amendment, VIII 42 U.S.C. 1983

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Finding common law the wrong law by first law of nature discretion reverberated throughout the system statutory law follow up toughness law against vice, criminal Justice make dangerous Psychological violence holelessly on array of well known Biases not reporting Procedure written notice Substantive Due Process Lengthy Prison term. More [AIUSA] Amnesty source applied standard extending the Protection of constitutional right to Prisoner yet to be resolved, accountability of unforeseeable inspired attack not hate excessive anonymity on account of race color religion sex or national origin taken holding in confinement without the force of law. Psychological pressure cause unsanitary and inhumane upon rehabilitation, Sentenced to endure along list of other hardships including permanent loss of right to Privacy made clear that fourteenth amendment due process clause incorporated the cruel-and-unusual punishment clause, thereby make it applicable to the state as well as to the federal Government organization received inadequate treatment for suffering inadequate medical prescription for pain any willfull and unlawful use of force of violence provision for mandatory report by professional. This is the first round collateral motion or under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) possible summary action, or whether a certificate of appealability should be granted. Unprovoked and injurious beating alleged in complaint Amend; VIII. violation. This case raise a question of interpretation of the Due Process clause of the XIV. amend to the U.S. const. The district court had jurisdiction under the general federal question jurisdiction conferred by 28 U.S.C. 1331

Rule 60, Fed. R. Civ. P

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Will Warrant reversal

A. Conflicts with
Decisions of other courts

Applied Standard Motion Appellant has failed to prosecute
The Appeal Notion of Appeal, not appealable in this Appellate
Court Must be appealed in Federal Court, the Appeal File in
This District Court, the civil Disobedience violate eighth
amendment right and Fourteenth amendment right Must
be withdrawn Motion on own Motion you included
is addressed to the court, order Dismissing Appeal
burden of proof is placed on the court Administration
of law that do not violate the very foundation of
Civil Liberties extending the Protection of Constitutional
right to prisoner. Amnesty Prove inhumane Adversary
System in Declaration of right (1689) was enacted to
Affirm Specific Privileges guaranteed to the People such
as the right to Petition Citizen under our law need
right to survived condition in confinement or corporal
Punishment in Prison Appellate Court Motion have to
be appealable in Federal Court. This is the first round
Collateral Motion. complaint with Prejudice for failure
to state a claim. Dismissed for lacks of Appellate Jurisdiction
Will Warrant reversal

The District court This case raise a question of interpretation of the Due Process clause of the XIV. Amend to the U.S. Const
The district court had Jurisdiction under the general Federal question Jurisdiction conferred by 28.U.S.C.1331

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted, Douglas Manning

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Douglas Manning", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is somewhat stylized and includes a small circle and a cross-like stroke.

Date: November 25, 2024