

APPENDICES

A-E

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JONDREW LACHAUX,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
DAVID S. GIBSON, JR., DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY
SERVICES,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 88047

FILED

MAY 13 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

***AMENDED ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION***

This pro se original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges the district court's jurisdiction over a termination of parental rights proceeding. Having considered the petition, we are not convinced that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted for several reasons. *See* NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (writ relief is proper only when there is not a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law and the petitioner bears the burden to demonstrate that extraordinary relief is warranted). To begin, petitioner has not submitted an appendix with the materials essential to understanding the petition. *See* NRAP 21(a)(4). Further, petitioner has failed to show the lack of a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy. Indeed, petitioner previously challenged the district court's jurisdiction in Docket No. 86436, and an appeal is generally

a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy precluding writ relief. *See Pan*, 120 Nev. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹

Cadish, C.J.
Cadish

Stiglich, J.
Stiglich

cc: Hon. David S. Gibson, Jr., District Judge
Jondrew LaChaux
Clark County District Attorney/Juvenile Division
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹On March 29, 2024, this court entered an order denying the petition for writ of mandamus or prohibition that included Justice Douglas Herndon's signature. His signature was included in error as he is disqualified in this matter and did not participate in the decision.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JONDREW LACHAUX,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
DAVID S. GIBSON, JR., DISTRICT
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY
SERVICES,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 88047

FILED

MAY 14 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY _____
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING REHEARING

Rehearing denied. NRAP 40(c).

It is so ORDERED.¹

Cadish, C.J.

Cadish

C.J.

Stiglich, J.

Stiglich

J.

¹Douglas Herndon, Justice, did not participate in the decision in this matter.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JONDREW LACHAUX,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;
AND THE HONORABLE DAVID S.
GIBSON, JR., DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

and

DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 88047

FILED

JUN 18 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING EN BANC RECONSIDERATION

Having considered the petition on file herein, we have concluded
that en banc reconsideration is not warranted. NRAP 40A. Accordingly, we
ORDER the petition DENIED.¹

Cadish, C.J.

Cadish

Stiglich, J.

Stiglich

Pickering, J.

Pickering

Pfe, J.

Lee

Parraguirre, J.

Parraguirre

Bell

B, J.

¹The Honorable Douglas Herndon, Justice, did not participate in the
decision in this matter.

APPENDIX D

24-21207

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**