

No. 24-6434

FILED

JAN - 6 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Justin Martinez — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Superior Court of Pennsylvania RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The United States Court of Appeals for the 7th circuit, IL  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Justin Martinez  
(Your Name)

502 N 12th Ave  
(Address)

Albany, IL 61230  
(City, State, Zip Code)

909-851-3776  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1) As petitioner cannot pay court fees due to  
appears court being form pauperis, should  
the Government of Pennsylvania be allowed  
to cross and array of case and stress  
to the petitioner of fellow petitioners

Aneesa martinez, Zoe martinez, Holly martinez  
of Caleb martinez, of which Zoe, Holly &  
Caleb are minors?

2) Is a Government of the people allowed to  
send under, unjust Bills to a citizen's residence?

3) Is a Government of another State allowed to cause  
an another citizen financial distress?

4) do not the poor/povish have a right to  
have complaints / Appeals heard in a court  
of law in America?

## LIST OF PARTIES


[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

✓ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Katherine B. Emery, Judge  
Bobbi Jo Wagon, Deputy  
Superior Court.

## RELATED CASES

24-2551, 24-2520, 24-2521, 24-2522, 24-2523  
24-2524, 24-2525, 24-2526, 24-2527, 24-2528, 24-2529  
24-2530, 24-2531, 24-2532, 24-2533, 25-2534, 25-2535  
24-2536, 24-2537, 24-2538, 24-2509 24-2521



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

PAGE NUMBER *caption*

*Maximum cause all already coming with one owner  
withheld by Appellate Court because petition is poor.*

### STATUTES AND RULES

*This petition argues that the purpose of former paragraph  
is that those that cannot afford to argue cases / complaints /  
appeals in court be spared the filing costs which petitioner  
courts have denied This petition simply bears  
the petition is poor. It pulls out the petition  
has the Civil Right to Appeal*

### OTHER

*This petition argues Court Court could have granted  
All at the cases to Appellate Court but refused to do so  
in spite of Court Court argument.*

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at Appendix A; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at Appendix A; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 11/16/82.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 11/18/84.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix 2.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

This petitioner argues that the United States  
Constitution allows / offers / grants this  
petitioner as a United States citizen  
the Civil Right to have maintain  
complaints heard in a Court of Law  
in spite of the prejudice of Courts  
pertaining to this petitioner's financial status.  
which is the foundation for former Pennypacker's  
where the former appears court in Illinois  
is abusing at the for Greater  
expense of Civil Right.



STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This petition Allowed the lower  
Court to transfer all cases to The  
Appellate Court IN Illinois as the  
... Questionable Judge for the District  
Court would not act on his own  
opinion that Jurisdiction  
pertained to harrassment of this petition  
at this petitioner's Residence IN Illinois  
was better filed in Another State  
if when this case of these attacks came to the  
Court of Appeals, many was order of this poor  
petitions in spite of financial struts "

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This Petitioner argues to the  
Court that Civil Rights  
of All Americans are  
being Trampled on Americans  
at a time, with prejudice.

This Petitioner Complaint has Merit  
This Petition is poor.

This Petition has been Granted


Forma Papyrus Time of Time of Time  
argant. All The way up to 12/23/23


Then Judge Hesterine B. Emy said All of my Judge has  
followed for Condemn Eim since in spite of evidence.

### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Justin R. Williams

Date: January 6th of 2025