

FILED

JAN - 6 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. 24-6434

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Justin Martinez — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Superior Court of Pennsylvania RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The United States Court of Appeals for the 7th circuit, IL
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Justin Martinez
(Your Name)

502 N 12th Ave
(Address)

Albany, IL 61230
(City, State, Zip Code)

909-851-3776
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) As petitioners cannot pay court fees due to Appeals court being in form pauperis, should the Government of Pennsylvania be allowed to proceed and carry on case and stress to the particular of fellow petitioners Aneesa Martinez, Zoe Martinez, Holly Martinez and Caleb Martinez, of which Zoe, Holly and Caleb are minors?
- 2) Is a Government of the People allowed to send undue, unjust Bills to A Citizen's Residue?
- 3) Is a Government of Another State allowed to care on another citizen's financial distress?
- 4) Do not the poor/poorish have a right to have Complaints/Appellate hearing for a court of law in America?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Katherine B. Emery, Judge
Bobbi Jo Wagoner, Deputy Commissioner
Sylvia Card-

RELATED CASES

24-2551, 24-2520, 24-2521, 24-2522, 24-2523
24-2524-24-2525, 24-2526, 24-2527, 24-2528, 24-2529
24-2530, 24-2531, 24-2532, 24-2533, 25-2534, 25-2535
24-2536, 24-2537, 24-2538, 24-2509 24-2521




TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER *left margin*

Various cases all over the country will show
that by Appeals Court decision pattern is poor.

STATUTES AND RULES

This pattern argues that the purpose of former ~~lawyers~~
is that those that can't afford to argue cases/complaints/
Appeals in can't be afford the filing costs which ~~pattern~~
Court can Deny. This pattern simply bears
the pattern is poor. The Rule 11 of the pattern
from the Civil Right to Appeal

OTHER

This pattern argues Court should have Garrison
All of the cases to argue but Refuse to do so
in spite of Court own argument.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix 8 to the petition and is

reported at Attala number; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix 8 to the petition and is

reported at Attala number; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 11/16/65.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 11/16/65. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix .

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

This Petition argues that the United States Constitution allows / affords / grants this Petition as a United States citizen. The Civil Right to sue without complaints heard in a Court of Law in spite of the Reservation of Courts pertaining to the Petition's favored status. Which is the foundation for former Petition's which the former Appeals court in Illinois is abusing at the for greater expense of Civil Right.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

His petition allowed the court
Court to transfer all cases to the
Appeals Court in Illinois as the
... Questionable Judge for the District
Court would not act on his own
or grant that jurisdiction
pertaining to harassment of his petition
at W.H. Petition's Residue in Illinois
was better filed in Another State
of when this case of these attacks came to the
Court of Appeals, many was order of the poor
petitioner in spite of financial status -

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This Petitioners argues to the
Court that Civil Rights
of All Americans are
(being) Trampled one American
at a time, with Prejudice.

This Petitioners Complaint has Merit
This Petition is Poor.


This Petition has been Granted
Formerly paying fine of five of five
against. All the way up to 12/23/23

Then Judge Katherine B. Enye held all of any Judge has
followed her Conscience in some in spite of evidence -

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,


Date: January 6th of 2025