

24-6223
No. _____

ORIGINAL

FILED

AUG 20 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Ernest Edwards — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Lafayette County Circuit Court — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Supreme Court of Mississippi
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Ernest Edwards
(Your Name)

1121 1/2 39th Ave.
(Address)

Meridian, MS 39301
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

DEC 27 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. # why did judge Andrew, not Dismiss charges, when Detective McKay did not testify to finding a Bullet and quoted that he would not Declare a mistrial.
2. Doctor testified from Anderson Hospital, but could not answer the question, Do you have any other way to prove your testimony?
3. why did Lawyer Eric Hessler visit me at Lauderdale County, ms Detention facility, And quoted on Attorney Client phone, that there is no-medical record?
4. why is it No-911 call on file; to support incident of Judge Smith being shot.

e.g. (1-4)

Question(s) Presented

5. McIntire for Gun Analyst quoted, I cannot say that the Bullet was fired from a 30.06 that had D.N.A. on it, because of mutilation and rust, but was convicted?
6. Why did D.N.A. Analyst testify to say, that he can not prove his D.N.A. test sample of D.N.A. that was found on Bullet?
7. At the time of incident, Anthony Evans was in jail, but was given immunity from jail to testify as a I witness, who seen or heard nothing?
8. Why did subpoena witnesses, not testify: U.M.M.C. from Jackson, Ms. and Airlift-Crew and Det. McKay and etc.?
9. Why did Judge Smith state, Bullet knocked off his femoral-cap, but he walk with out a limp?
10. Why did Attorney George T. Holmes for Appellant-Brief state, See Femoral through medical Investigation?

Question(s) Presented

11. Why District Attorney Kassie State, in Appellate Brief, she lack Audio and Video of Crime Scene?
12. Why did D.A. Kassie show pending charge of Gun Possession Audio and Video to jury at trial?
13. Why did local Attorney Jim Williams testify to calling 911, but there is no 911 call on file?
14. Why is Judge Buck Robertson at waiver hearing and want state his name for record, instead of the Assigned Supreme Court Judge, Andrew Howorth?
15. Why did Detective Willis, testify to not witnessing a Bullet that was Allegely found by a Detective McKay?
16. Why did M.B.I. Edmondson state at trial, that I do not have a warrant to seizure or search car?

Question(s) Presented

17. why did State not return Car to family but sent it to a Impound, and Impound quote to Shirley Edwards (Mother) on arrival, "we do not have that Car listed (Honda)?"
18. why did Judge Charles Wright, testify to that I never threaten him?
19. why did Major Picken state, he arrested and charge me with Capitol murder doing trial on Feb. 12, 2021, but record should at jail bookings, I was charged bout a month later?
21. why did the Appellate Court of Mississippi look over Attorney George T. Holme new discovered-evidence; with medical-investigation and all call?
22. why did the Supreme Court of Mississippi, deny my rehearing and mandate case?
23. why did Christopher Collins (Attny.) and D.A. Kassie call a Special-hearing (limine)?
24. why did Attorney Collin Seal-case?

Question(s) Presented

25. why did judge Smith state, I cannot prove I was shot, and never showed photo of body wound?
26. why was judge Smith allowed to sit and listen to the remainder of trial?
27. why did D.A. Kassie not show Audio and video for medical records of Judge Smith to the jury at U.M.M.C. in Jackson Ms. and Anderson Hospital of Meridian Ms. for Evidence?
28. why did judge Bailey off Bond, and gave NO- explanation on why, he was offing bond for the record?

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Barnes, C.J., Carlton, P.J., Greenlee, Westbrook,
McDonald, McCarty, Smith and Emfinger, JJ.,
Concur. Lawrence, J., Concur written opinion;
In The Court of Appeals of the State of Mississi-
ppi v. Ernest Edwards, NO. 2022-KA-00719-COA,
Judgement entered 01/23/2024

RELATED CASES

1. Ernest Edwards v. Court of Appeals of the State of Missi-
ssippi, NO. 2022-KA-00719-COA / Trial Court case #
LK22-156A, Judgement entered May 14, 2024
2. Ernest Edwards v. The Supreme Court of Mississi-
ppi, NO. 2022-CT-00719-SCT / Judgement enter-
ed 07/31/2024
3. If there is no parent or Publicly held
company owning 10% or more of the cor-
poration's stock, a notation to this
effect shall be included in the document
Rule 29.6) N/A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Decision of state court of Appeals
APPENDIX B	Decision of state Trial Court
APPENDIX C	Decision of state Supreme Court Denying Re- view
APPENDIX D	order of state Supreme Court Denying Rehearing.
APPENDIX E	A corporate disclosure statement,
APPENDIX F	Not Available.

e.g. i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
6. Gandy v. State, 2001, 788 SO.2d 812, rehearing denied. Criminal Law (key) 1158.13, Pg. 2	
1. Alexander v. State (Miss. 1922) 610 SO.2d 320 Criminal law (key) 650 Pg. 36	
2. Anthony	
3. Blue v. State, 2002, 825 SO.2d 709, habeas corpus dismissed 2005 WL 1312439. Criminal law (key) 663, Pg. 23	

STATUTES AND RULES

Const. 1890, Pg. 39

1. U.S. Const. Amendment VI, Confront witnesses Pg. 14-18, 20-25, 32-38
2. U.S. Const. Amendment V. Pg. 1-3, 5-11, 25-28, Due Process
3. U.S. Const. Amendment V. pg. 28-32, Self-incrimination
4. U.S. Const. Amendment IV, Unreasonable search and seizure, Pg. 38-39

En Banc - order

e.g. ii

Table of Authorities

Cases

4. Buckley v. State, 2009, 19 SO 3d 832, Criminal law (key) 1119(1), Pg 11
5. Davis v. State, 2002, 818 SO.2d 1260, dismissal of habeas corpus vacated 116 Fed. Appx. 530, 2004 WL 2699652, habeas corpus denied 2006 WL 2943166, affirmed in part, appeal dismissed in Part 270 Fed. Appx. 355, 2008 WL 793785, Criminal Law (key) 339.8(2.1) Pg. 3
7. Harper v. State, 2003, 853 SO.2d 1286. Criminal law (key) 627.6(5), Pg. 7
8. Huckaby v. State, 1999, 743 SO.2d 413 Criminal law (key) 1155 Pg. 27
9. Millette v. State (Miss. 1933) 167 Miss. 172, 148 SO. 788. Searches And Seizures (key) 60.1, Pg. 39
10. Morris v. State, 2001, 798 SO.2d 603, rehearing denied Criminal Law (key) 1158.13 Criminal law (key) 1169.12, Pg. 6

e.g. iii

Table of Authorities

Cases

11. Parker v. State (Miss. 1984) 454 So. 2d 910 Constitution law (key) 4601 Criminal law (key) 648. Criminal law (key) 1852, Pg. 27
12. Polk v. State (Miss. 1992) 612 So. 2d 320 Criminal law (key) 494, Pg. 37
13. Bateliff v. State (Miss. 1975) 308 So. 2d 225. Criminal law (key) 419 (10), Pg. 17
14. Robert v. State, 2009, 2009 WL 3587785, withdrawn and superseded on denial of rehearing 52 So. 3d 1233. Criminal law (key) 1891 Criminal law (key) 1923, Pg. 9
15. Russell v. State (Miss. 2003) 849 So. 2d 95. Criminal law (key) 318, Pg. 8
16. Shorter v. State, 2009, 33 So. 3d 512 rehearing denied. Criminal law (key) 662.8, Pg. 37
17. Smith v. State (Miss. 2002) 835 So. 2d 927 rehearing denied. Criminal law (key) 438-11, Pg. 8

e.g. iv

Table of Authorities

Cases

18. Stubbs v. State, 2004, 878 So. 2d 130, rehearing denied certiorari denied 878 So. 2d 67. Criminal law 662.5 Pg. 18
19. Wright v. McAdory (Miss. 1988) 536 So. 2d 897. witnesses (key) 304(3), Pg. 32

Statutes

1. Ms. Const. Art. 3, sec 26, Confront witnesses, Pg. 15-18, 21-25, 33-38
2. Ms. Const. Art. 3, 14, Due Process, Pg. 2-3, 6-11, 26-28
3. Ms. Const. Art. 3, 26, Self-Incrimination, Pg. 29-32
4. Ms Const. Art. 3, 23, unreasonable Search and seizure, Pg. 39

e.g. v

Table of Authorities

State Statues / Rights

1. Ms Const. Art. 3, sec. 26, Confront witnesses...Pg. 15-18, 21-25, 33-38
 2. Ms. Const. Art. 3, 14, Due process, Pg. 2-3, 6-11, 26-28
 3. Ms. Const. Art. 3, 26, Self-incrimination, pg. 29-32
 4. Ms. Const. Art. 3, 23, Unreasonable search and seizure, Pg. 39
-

United State Statutes / Rights

1. U.S. Const. Amendment VI, Confront witnesses, pg. 14-18, 20-25, 32-38
2. U.S. Const. Amendment V, Due Process, Pg. 1-3, 5-11, 25-28
3. U.S. Const. Amendment V, Self-incrimination...Pg. 28-32
4. U.S. Const. Amendment IV unreasonable, search and seizure Pg. 38-39

e.g. vi

Table of Authorities Cited

Constitute and Rules

1. Constitute (const. 1890)

United States Rules

Rule 10, (c) 7-8 = pages and 18, 27

Rule 11. Pg. 7-8, 27

Rule 34. 7, (b)

Rule 42. Pg. 39

Rule 46. 2, (A), 3.

Rule 39. 4... e.g. i

Rule 29. 5, (c), and (2)

Other

1. En Banc Order, Court of Appeals,
~~2-27-2024~~, NO. 2022-KA-00719-COA,
3, 20, 2024, 2-27-24

e.g. vii

Table of Authorities Cited

Treaties

1. Governmental...pg. 8
2. Constitute, ineffective assistance of Counsel... pg. 10
3. Constitutional-Dimension... pg. 11
4. Hear Say... pg. 17
5. Governmental... Pg. 18
6. Improper prejudice
7. Confrontational-Clause and 6th Amend-ment... pg. 24
8. Discretion... pg. 27
9. Due Process and effective assistance of Counsel... pg. 27
10. Perjury... 32
11. Constitution-(Const. 1890)...Pg. 39
12. Hear-Say... pg. 40
13. Right to confront witness/accuser... pg. 18
14. Confrontational-Clause... pg. 37

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

C The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ~~CAC~~ C to the petition and is.

- ☒ reported at "Public Copy-Seal Materials Redacted"; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the ~~Highest~~ Trial court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at "Public Copy-Seal Materials Redacted"; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Jan. 23, 2024.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: Feb. 27, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix D.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Confront - witnesses = 6th Amendment, U.S. Const., Art 3, 26 = Ms.

Const.

Appendix-A... pg. 24

Appendix-B... pg. 30 and 27

2. Due Process = 5th Amendment

U.S. Const. / Ms. Const. Art. 3, Sec. 14

Appendix-B... pg. 2, 6, 9

Appendix-A... pg. 3, 10, 26 & 27

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Judge Smith confessed, but judge Andrew improper legal standard by forcing the motion limine that allows Andrew to hide false documents or spoil evidence to form bogus case, which is the base of Andrew case; falsified documents. And I argued against the pretrial-motion at limine hearing, so why are the Appellate Courts looking over falsified documents (medical) or covering up trial court fraud by scandalizing records, or using prejudice alibi for conviction of a innocent man. Guilty was force upon Edwards (The united State court will witness fact through-out case).

e.g. 4-7

Statement of the Case

1. I am asking the U.S. Supreme Court to Investigate Case; because of my Due Process of law, has been violated.

2. I was Arrested Feb 12 or 14, 2021 at arraignment hearing on a possession of a 45 caliber handgun, but there was a lawyer there for my representation too (Collins), so Judge Robert Bailey off bond, because I was out on stated charge.

So, I ask Christopher Collins or assign lawyer, why are they offing my bond? Assign lawyer did not respond for the record, nor did Judge Bailey and scene should be on court's record. I am not sure if that was Lawyer Collins at hearing, due to face-mask.

Statement of the Case

Okay, about 15 to 45 days later, I was charge with attempt Capitol murder by Picken and Edmondson with Lauderdale County, Ms. Sheriff Dept. and timing is between Feb.- March of 2021 and I was never question about Smith incident.

3. This the 4th or 5th Lawyer, Eric Hessler is assigned to case or hired and issued my Discovery-Package, but there is no-medical report in Discovery; so doing next attorney-client visit. I ask Hessler, where is medical report? Hessler answered for the recording of phone, "there is no-medical record or report." Doing attorney client visit at Lauderdale County, Ms. 39301, Detention Facility around Oct. 2021-Jan. or Feb. of 2022 and you may find recording of jail con-

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1# The Community of Mississippi stand on the Bill of Rights that reflect the morales of God. And the United States Justice Department enforce that creed. So how can our Courts enforce prejudice (motion to limine) within our Community towards the innocent. This is with the Appellate Courts too. The Court of Appeal and Supreme Court of Mississippi have been issued a En Banc - order for scandalizing records to support the prejudice of Trial (Page 24 of petition) Court that violates our due process of law. Grant certiorari to see falsified records; to free a innocent man.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Ernest Edwards

Date: ~~1-6-2024~~ May 12, 2024