

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SER 13 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

TRACEY R. GODFREY,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

UNKNOWN PARTY,

Respondent - Appellee.

No. 24-2406

D.C. No. 9:24-cv-00040-DLC
District of Montana,
Missoula

ORDER

Before: CALLAHAN and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry Nos. 6, 11) is denied because appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED.

RULE 20 PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

Myrstol, Andrea

From: ACMS@ca9.fedcourts.us
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2024 11:24 AM
To: COR MSP Fed Court Filings
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Godfrey v. Unknown Party 24-2406 - 012 - Dispositive Order - COA Denied

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 09/13/2024 10:18:14 AM PDT and filed on 09/13/2024

Case Name: Godfrey v. Unknown Party

Case Number: 24-2406 [ca9-showdoc.azurewebsites.us]

Docket Text:

ORDER FILED. Consuelo M. CALLAHAN, Milan D. SMITH, Jr. The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry Nos. 6, 11) is denied because appellant has not shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see also 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012). Any pending motions are denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

DENIED. [Entered: 09/13/2024 10:21 AM]

Document: Order [ca9-showdoc.azurewebsites.us]

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Tracey R. Godfrey ; Prisoner ID: 41852; cormspnrf@mt.gov
Clerk USDC Missoula ; appeals@mtd.uscourts.gov

Case participants listed below will not receive this electronic notice: