

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

WILLIAM J LEMATTY
Petitioner

v.

Case No.: 24-6095

STATE OF GEORGIA
Respondent


MOTION FOR REHEARING: RULE 44

Comes now Petitioner William J. Lematty
per Rule 44 of the U.S. Supreme Court
requesting a rehearing on this Honorable
Court's 2/24/25 Certiorari denial, for following
grounds, including but not limited to, intervening
circumstances of substantial effect, as this
Honorable Court appears to have overlooked or
misapprehended facts and law in its ruling.

OVERVIEW

This Honorable Court is presented with a
matter of grave Constitutional concern:

Pg 1 of 7



The Petitioner, a 20-year-old U.S.-born citizen, innocent of malice murder, was before age 23 acquitted of malice murder in part due to evidence demonstrating that another individual committed the crime.

The individual who committed the malice murders fatally shot himself upon arrest in front of an officer, and ballistic, fingerprint, and murder weapon bullets found on the killer's personal possession became evidence to confirm that the malice murderer was not the Petitioner.

The killer's girlfriend and witness to the facts before, during, and after the murders, including intimate conversations with the killer, afterward wrote letters affirming the killer and that the Petitioner was not the malice murderer.

Despite the acquittals, the innocent party was still sentenced to life without parole for the crime.

II. Constitutional Violations

The imposition of a life sentence following a lawful acquittal directly contravenes the

fundamental principles of double jeopardy and due process enshrined in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. The equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment mandates that all citizens receive equal treatment under the law.

The current situation demonstrably fails to meet this standard. If this egregious violation does not warrant a hearing to safeguard the Constitutional rights of a United States citizen, then what circumstance would? And who would?

III. QUESTIONS OF COURT CREDIBILITY AS INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES OF SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT

The credibility of the U.S. Supreme Court Justice has been in widespread question since it became predominantly Catholic (with pedophile priests backdrop) and thereby pro homosexuality in its decisions.

Many people know full well the Founders of our Country would not have stood for such publicly disgraceful international sexual buffoonery. Much less ignore a scape goat case to the peril and compounded tragedy of U.S. citizens (family of Petitioner's included).

We the People appoint Catholics in hope of better justice, not for their growth in institutional power to sexually subvert it, and ignore it. Do you believe this?

IV. CONCERNS REGARDING JUDICIAL INTEGRITY

It is argued that the Founders of this nation and U.S. Supreme Court appointed accordingly, would not have condoned the disregard for Constitutional protections in the case of an acquitted citizen, especially when exculpatory evidence points to another party being guilty, other than the Petitioner.

V. CALL FOR JUDICIAL ACTION

A hearing in this matter should not only rectify

a profound injustice but also serve as a re-affirmation of the Supreme Court's commitment to upholding the Constitution. This case presents an opportunity for the Court to demonstrate its independence (of Papacy politics of pro homosexuality) and dedication to the principles upon which the nation was founded.

VI. PLED FOR REPENTANCE AND RECTIFICATION

Petitioner prays this Court repents from its Biblical sins, as Petitioner too first had to do to see better. Petitioner prays this Court in restored Honor hear this case to support and affirm its stand for acquittals, the U.S. Constitutional protections of them, including equal protection of them to U.S. citizens, nationwide resoundingly, since Georgia did not seem to hear you post McElrath (see my brief), or does not care enough. Petitioner prays this Court continues later to right the wrongs of homosexual and other sexual perversion beneath you. It has been masquerading as upright sexual morality in your judicially constructed public squares... in dishonor of the sexual sanity in you from God... and it has

literally paraded itself, with widespread chants, as a coming children predator. And, what better U.S. political climate have you for protection, from God, for upright decisions, to correct the human wrongs declared to be human rights, than now? A call is made for a return to the foundational principles of justice and morality.

VII. CONCLUSION

The integrity of the United States judicial system and Constitutional rights of its citizens are at stake to again deny or support. Hearing this case and supporting the acquittals would not only correct an apparent miscarriage of justice but also reaffirm this Court's commitment to upholding Constitutional protections for all U.S. citizens. Such action would strengthen public confidence in the judiciary and demonstrate consistent fidelity to the principles enshrined in our Constitution.

Petitioner prays this Court will act with this wisdom accordingly and with courage, correcting past errors and upholding its duty to protect the rights of all citizens under our Constitution.

In the Spirit of Jonah to Ninevah, but with an improved, humble, and respectfully helpful Spirit, of Christ, genuinely, William J. Lemm.