

(Phone Number)

N/A

(City, State, Zip Code)

Salisbury, SC 29590

(Address)

Williamsburg FCI, PO Box 340

(Your Name)

Ramone Wright

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

District Court p.o. Box 2317 Florence SC 29503

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

— RESPONDENT(S)

Solicitor General

VS.

(Your Name)

— PETITIONER


Ramone Wright

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE

No.

24-6094



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) If a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. generally challenging prisoner's attacking the computation and execution of [a] sentence and execution rather than the sentence itself - How can a district court state it lack jurisdiction to such claim
- 2) If A petition is challenging the existence or duration of confinement where a clear portion of prisoner's computation is void due to violation of 3D11.2(b) or 3D11.4 - does the Bop lack jurisdiction back jurisdiction to release an inmate due to illegal confinement?
- 3) Should the Bop's department of Doss be responsible for analyzing court's oral pronouncement of sentence then comparing it to the written judgment to ensure accuracy in order ~~can~~ before computing an inmate's release date?
- 4) Does the Bop's have authority to enforce a judgment that was not authorized by law, pursuant to multiple counts 3D11.4 base on the striping provision in USSC 3D11.1 and 3D11.2?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1-8

OPINIONS BELOW *Numbered*

JURISDICTION.....

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....

CONCLUSION.....

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Notice of Appeal (2) Order Ruling on Report and Recommendation
Report and Recommendation

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

OTHER

Appendix A 1-6 ps

STATUTES AND RULES

PAGE NUMBER

~~1-8~~

CASES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is
[] reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

I.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 09-5-2024

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. _____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

Petition seeks review before judgment under Rule 11

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____ A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. _____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1 Grouping Rules for multiple counts 3D11.4 based on the grouping provisions in USSC 3D11.1 and 3D11.2 (b) 3D11.4

2 Completion of sentence pursuant to 2241

3 2255 motion is inadequate or ineffective to test the legality of detention

4 Oral pronouncement overrides written judge pursuant to Bop's DCS (computation)

5 Illegal confinement

6 If the Attorney General, through the Bop is responsible for administering a federal offenders sentence is U.S.C. 3621(c) he thus, have jurisdiction to remove all elements of a defendant sentence to see if it's been authorize by law

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Attorney General, through the BOP is responsible for administering a Federal offender's sentence 18 U.S.C 3621(G)

The BOP errs in execution of petitioner's federal sentence- pursuant to 3D1.1(b) some offenses do not group. The Attorney General misunderstanding of grouping U.S.S.G 3D1.1 provides when a defendant has been convicted of more than one count, the court shall group the counts (groups, by applying the rules specified in 3D1.2 some offenses do not group U.S.S.G 3D1.1(b)

1 and 3 (counts in indictment) were grouped in accordance with United States Sentencing Guideline 2 and 4 (924c) were to run concurrently to count 3 but consecutively to each other - which means 2 and 4 of the indictment is the counts in question of em.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


To have clear path in which inmate's written judgment conform with applicable law in which the oral sentence applies with inmate's imprisonment.

To save taxpayer dollars on frivolous 2241, and to demand that the Attorney General, through the Bop, who is responsible for administering a federal offender's sentence (18 U.S.C. 3621(a)) fully review all elements of a defendant's sentence (The record put submitted to his office) to see if it's been authorized by law i.e. grouping provision

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a series of loops and a long horizontal stroke, positioned above a solid horizontal line.

Date: 10-5-2024