

24-6070

No. \_\_\_\_\_

FILED  
OCT 29 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

David C. Lettier — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

James Quinn Gagliardi RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Second circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David C. Lettier  
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 879  
(Address)

Ayer, MA 01432  
(City, State, Zip Code)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Phone Number)

wuestions to 23-cv-1121

1. Is title 28 United States Code 19159g) designed for a government suit or any type which includes private parties?
2. Could a forged signtaure be construed as an imminante danger reason?
3. What is counted as a strike?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Docket 22,1 case 23-8094

APPENDIX B Decision 23-cv-1121

APPENDIX C Statute Title 28 United States code 144

APPENDIX D Statute Title 28 United States code 137

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

#### STATUTES AND RULES

- 7, title 28 United States code 1915
- 7, the 28 United States code 1915A
- 7, title 28 United States code 144
- 7, title 28 United States code 137

#### OTHER

overprocess

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at 2073 U.S. D. St. Lexis 232076; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was August 23, 2024

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions  
Involved  
23-cv-1121

1. Title 28 United States Code 1915
2. Title 28 United States Code 1915A
3. Due Process'
4. Title 28 United States Code 144
5. Title 28 United States Code 137

Statement of Case  
23-cv-1121

The petitioner had filed the complaint in which a retained lawyer for a criminal case had forged the petitioner name. Of course the only way to file a complaint was by the complaint form since if had handwritten it the courts would have stated it doesn't comply with the forms they use, which the petitioner been having much problems with that court.

But the issue is does a private party have the effect of what Title 42 United States Code 1983 has for the government since it was design to filter out lawsuits that were against the government not private parties.

The other quest is "Immident Danger," if a forgery can be construed as so, since forgering a name can be at anytmoment.

There also is a problem with the selection of judges assignment since everytime a new case is filed it gets assigned to the same judge that is prejudice. It can be three cases at once and in number order were its case one, two, three and the judge will be assigned to all three without it being divided between the district judges.

Reasons to grant petition  
23-cv-1121

This is to know if such considered as to an "Imment Danger," and to know if a private party is covered under Title 28 United States Code 1915(g).

That for "Imment Danger" Can fall under a person name being forged.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A. L. C.

Date: October 25, 2024