


24-5976

ORIGINAL

No. \_\_\_\_\_



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In re James Franklin Snyder PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

In re Al Ramirez — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

James Franklin Snyder  
(Your Name)

PO BOX 70010, ISCC E1-21 #133593  
(Address)

Boise, ID 83707  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A  
(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1 Can the State of Idaho attorneys change Washington states court and public records and punish Snyder from what they created?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

#1 related case is Snyder v ~~Armstrong~~ 9<sup>th</sup> 24-590  
Snyder v Little 1:23-cv-00176-BLW  
Snyder v United States et al 1:23-cv-00176 BLW  
Snyder v City of Coeur d'Alene 1:23-cv-00176-BLW  
Snyder v Ross, 1:24-cv-00336-BLW

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1-11
JURISDICTION.....	12-13
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	14
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	15-20
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	21
CONCLUSION.....	22

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A

United States Court of Appeals 9th cir. Order

### APPENDIX B

"Relevant case" United States Court Idaho, Snyder v. Little

### APPENDIX C

"Relevant case to understand" United States Court Idaho Snyder v. <sup>Coverdell</sup>

### APPENDIX D

"Relevant case to see what Idaho officials did  
Snyder v. ~~Bumgarner~~ <sup>Armstrong</sup>

### APPENDIX E

### APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
U.S. v Cronic 1984	Pg 1
Strickland v Washington	1, 4
James v Cain (2012)	1
Brown v United States (1998)	1
Snyder v Armstrong 2023	1
Snyder v Little 2024	1, 2, 5
Snyder v Ross 2024	2
Lorada v. Deeds 1991	2
Tucker v State 2021	2
State v Reichenbach 2004	2
United States v Hensley 1985	2
Stone v Powell 1976	2, 5
Donald v Spencer 2011	3
Coleman v Thompson 1991	3, 4
Murray v Carrier 1986	3, 4
Trest v Cain 1997	3
Bennard v Dretke 2004	3
Miller-El v. Cockrell 2003	3
STATUTES AND RULES Snyder v Stevens 2018	1,

### STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMES COMMITTED AGAINST SNYDER.

Prosecutorial Misconduct

Judicial Misconduct

State and federal constitution violations

1st, 4th, 6th, 5th, 8th, 14th constitutional rights

Due process and complete disregard for our human rights  
to be free from persecution from a belief they created

### OTHER

DOMESTIC TERRORISM, HATE CRIMES

(see police beating me in video for Idaho  
falsifying my records, contact Judge Winnell)  
U.S court

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was August 23, 2024.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

illegal stop, arrest on private property  
separation of church and state once I  
handed officer my pastors business card

Unlawful arrest

Unlawful search and seizure

Profiling, labeling, falsifying records

Ineffective assistance of counsel

Prosecutorial Misconduct

Judicial Misconduct

Collateral estoppel double Jeopardy

Persecution

premeditated and beat me up in hospital  
(from what Idaho created and falsified)

excessive force

cruel and unusual Punishment  
1<sup>st</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> Amend. Violations

Idaho State & federal laws, rules and  
Constitution almost same court rules



WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
PROCEDURAL ERROR  
**STATEMENT OF CASE**

Procedural Error in the due process against Snyder that has been run over at speeds over 65 mph and Snyder being robbed of his mental capacity at such a young age of 12 and age at age 39, (See Snyder v. Stevens, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 204168, D.Or. September 19, 2018) and (See Snyder v. Armstrong, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 232603, 2023 WL 9183344 W.D. Wash. November 20, 2023).

Thus, your Honorable Court traces Armstrong case back to the roots. The court will see that at the time I was run over I had to relearn everything in a class room by myself for years to catch back up with fellow schoolmates. I didn't graduate until 2007, while incarcerated for Distribution with no drugs to charge Snyder with, then Idaho went into Snyder's records and falsified them. Snyder filed the Armstrong case originally within Snyder v. ~~Stevens~~ <sup>Ramirez</sup> in Boise Idaho unknowing and confused of how to fix this miscarriage of justice. So the court state procedural error. How can Snyder properly defend himself after he became aware that the state of Idaho committed the ultimate crime against him and since has vindictively terminates all Snyder's access to courts and moves Snyder over 30 times throughout five (5) institutions to stop, hinder and take away all his Constitutional Rights to defend and expose to the United State Courts what this state has done? (See United States v. Cronin, 466 U.S. 648, 104 S.Ct. 2039, 80 L.Ed. 2d 657, 1984 U.S. LEXIS 78, 52 U.S.L.W. 4560 U.S. May 14, 1984). (See Strickland's two prong test under James v. Cain, 2012 U.S. LEXIS 7973, 568 U.S. 945, 133 S.Ct. 431, 184 L.Ed. 2d 264, 2012 WL 3113868 U.S. October 9, 2012).

The fact that Kootenai County changed all Snyder's criminal history and the IAC knowingly and intelligently turned their heads and passed Snyder around to over four (4) public defenders and immediately BREACHED PLEA AGREEMENT TWO(2) HOURS AFTER SENTENCING in-of-which THE STATE IS OBLIGATED TO UPHOLD THE FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS DOCTRINE AS THE FOUNDATION OF A PLEA BARGAIN... (See Brown v. United States, 42 F.Supp. 2d 133 D. Puerto 1998). In-of-which applied Strickland's two (2) Prong decision for prejudice also (See Snyder v. Little, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81517, 2024, WL 1975610 D. Idaho May 3, 2024).

From day one Snyder did not stand a chance being mentally disabled and the state going above and beyond to harm Snyder with cause and prejudice unprecedentedly. (See *Lorada v. Deeds*, 498 U.S. 430, 111 S.Ct. 860, 112 L.Ed. 2d 956, 1991 U.S. LEXIS 679, 59 U.S.L.W. 3558, 91 Daily Journal DAR 2049 U.S. February 19, 1991).

Snyder was denied (ALL) appeals and defense due to (See *Tucker v. State*, 168 Idaho 570, 2021 Ida. LEXIS 72, 484 P.3d 851, 2021 WL 1307404 Idaho April 8, 2021). Idaho Public Defenders worked hand and hand with the very same attorneys that altered Snyder's Washington State Criminal History. All IPD office denied Snyder a full and fair hearing. (See *Nell v. James*, 811 F.3d 100 (CA 2 1987) and the fact that suppression was never sought due to the prejudice. (See *State v. Reichenbach*, 153 W.2d 126, 101 P.3d 80 2004 in either case. Thus both cases stem from a wrongful arrest with no procedural due process as to my Right to life, liberty and property that was violated. (See *United States v. Hensly*, 469 U.S. 221, 105 S.Ct. 675, 83 L.Ed. 2d 604, 1085 U.S. LEXIS 34, 53 U.S.L.W. 4053 U.S. January 8, 1085).

There is no reasonable suspicion to stop and question Snyder yet, alone locked in segregation and calling Snyder Mexican Mafia. (See amended *Snyder v. City of Coeur d'Alene et al.* from *Snyder v. Little* and *Snyder v. Ross* 1:24-cv-00336-BLW... Thus, Snyder is not as competent as the average person due to nobody has ever survived being run over at speeds in excess of 65 mph. To put a jacket on a mentally disabled man that has a hard enough time doing his daily tasks to just exist, that in itself is a burden to understand the courts refusal as to Snyder's original plea bargain and falsified all SNYDER'S Washington State records from day one... (See *Williams v. Jones*, 571 F.3d 1086 CA. 10 1009).

Snyder was denied after the states highest court ruling his one year statute of limitations as to Post-Conviction Relief and any full and fair hearing due to prejudicial proceedings. (See *Stone v. Powell*, 428 U.S. 465, 96 S.Ct. 3037, 49 L.Ed. 2d 1067, 1067 U.S. LEXIS 86 U.S. July 6, 1976).

Then once the state says Snyder is to disabled to fullfil any speciality court judgement due to bias, prejudice and unprecedented falsified recordes, the court reimposes the same judgements as the state ~~says~~<sup>ruled</sup> that I am to disabled to fulfill... (U.S. v. Rivera, 384 F.3d. 49 (CA3 2004). Collateral Estoppel Double Jeopardy, 14th and 2th amendment as well as ADA (1990), RA (1973) violations. Denying Snyder a fair and adequate opportunity in court as evey-one else by discrimination from what the state created against a mentally disabled person. When the State of Idaho combined all Snyder's post-convictions to hide, conseal and improperly deny a full and fair evidentiary hearing. (See Donald v. Spencer, 656 F. ed. 14, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 17837 1st. Cir. Mass. August 26, 2011), state denies Snyder all hearings to establish facts. ( Wingo v. Wedding, 418 U.S. 461, 94 S.Ct. 2842, 41 L.Ed. 2d 879, 1074 U.S. LEXIS 5 U.S. June 26, 1974). State won't address their unlawful falsification to my records because this has never happened before to this extent... (See Murry v. Carrier, 477 U.S. 478, 106 S.Ct. 2639, 91 L.Ed. 2d 397, 1086 U.S. LEXIS 66, 54 U.S.L.W. 4820 U.S. June 26, 1986).(See Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 733, 111 S.Ct. 2546, 115 L.Ed. 2d 640, 1991 U.S. LEXIS 3640, 59 U.S.L.W. 4789, 91 Cal. Daily Op. Service 4800, 91 Daily Journal DAR 7428 U.S. June 24, 1991).

The court cannot raise procedural default or error (See Trest v. Cain, 522 U.S. 87, 118 S.Ct. 478, 139 L.Ed. 2d 444, 1997 U.S. LEXIS 7495, 66 U.S.L.W. 4023, 97 Cal. Daily Op. Service 9176, 97 Daily Journal DAR 14781, 1997 Colo. J.C.A.R. 3224, 11 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. S. 257 U.S. December 9, 1007). Rennard v. Dretke (2004), Miller-El v. Cockrell, (2003).

STATEMENT OF  
Case Summary  
~~Best ~~State~~ ~~Summary~~ ~~of ~~State~~ ~~Summary~~~~~~

See: Villaverde v. Hutching, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 229963, 2023 WL 8993611 (D. Nev. December 28, 2023).

I gave the Idaho Supreme Court the chance under Murry v. Carrier to fix the states Fundamental Miscarriage of Justice for "Falsifying my Court Records"... Making every judicial and administrative hearing unconstitutional. Due to the fact that they have all reiterated the fundamental untruthfulness as to what Idaho has added into my records and court records... Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 111 S.Ct. 2546, 115 L. Ed. 2d 640, 1991 U.S. LEXIS 3640, 59 U.S.L.W. 4789, 91 Cal. Daily Op. Service 4800, 91 Daily Journal DAR 7428 (U.S. June 24, 1991).

I asked for all my attorneys to appeal, (to give me the same opportunity to get justice as everone else). I plead and begged for help in the courts, begging the courts, please Your Honor... This stuff is not real and yet, I am persecuted for it still... Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674, 1984 U.S. LEXIS 79, 52 U.S.L.W. 4565 (U.S. May 14, 1984).

Over the last five(5) years I have been taken out of college, as I was trying to learn law to fix what Washington State had done by falsifying my records on all state computers. To only be intercepted at my church and have every law broken to keep me incarcerated and punish Snyder further... Then misdiagnosised and given Snyder medications that made me incompetent... Weisner v. Salinas, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2877, 2024 WL 695668 (N.D. Cal. February 20, 2024).

The state would see once I was back on Prozac and given my legal documents back, that NICI and Orofino prison took... Snyder v. United States, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 138120, 2023 WL 5000736 (D. Idaho August 4, 2023).


Then I was moved over and over and finally amended after the Ninth Circuit closed and mandated and SCOTUS 23-5841 decision was reopened as Snyder v. Little, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81517, 2024 WL 197510 (D. Idaho May 3, 2024). Then amended to Snyder v. City of Coeur d'alene...

Snyder has brought all his claims to the best of his disabled abilities to a conservative bias and prejudice state. That persecuted Snyder to the point of Snyder being hospitalized over and over, and Idaho ranking for mental health is almost dead last in American States... See: Snyder v. Little, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81517, 2024 WL 1975610 (D. Idaho May 3, 2024)...

James Snyder was run over at 67 mph, See: Snyder v. Stevens, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 204168 (D. Or. September 19, 2018). Needless to say the retaliation and being called a pathological liar by IDOC in North Idaho, all because Snyder is and has been just trying to right all the wrongs by fixing records the City of Coeur d'alene changed, altered and created. See: Stone v. Powell, 428 U.S. 465, 96 S. Ct. 3037, 49 L. Ed. 2d 1067, 1076 U.S. LEXIS 86 (U.S. July 6, 1976)...

When Idaho began this practice Snyder was unaware and mentally disabled, but was being persecuted by civilians in public and Idaho officials and Snyder then began trying to piece together what Idaho was doing by not following State and/or Federal Court rulings. See: Westhoff Vertriebsges mbH v. Berg, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 192705, 2023 WL 7095112 (S.D. Cal. October 26, 2023).

James Franklin Snyder

 07-21-2024

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

There is no other case in the history of our great nation of such misconduct. To Alter, falsify and resurrect what never was to cause significant mental and physical harm and to use state bad character 404 laws to Not let out on parole


Run Snyders Washington State Patrol Arrest and Conviction record please.

Then goto Online/local court record and see the night and day difference. Please help me fix this. No other courts will help because I do not know exact procedure or how to fix my record. Thank you

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James Franklin Snyder", written over a horizontal line.

Date: 10-09-2024