

# 24-5918

---

**IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---

**RICKY KAMDEM-OUAFFO**

Petitioner

v.

**BALCHEM CORPORATION, GIDEON OENGA (In Personal capacity and in capacity with Balchem Corporation), BOB MINIGER (In Personal capacity and in capacity with Balchem Corporation), RENEE McCOMB (In Personal capacity and in capacity with Balchem Corporation), THEODORE HARRIS(In Personal capacity and in capacity with Balchem Corporation), JOHN KUEHNER (In Personal capacity and in capacity with Balchem Corporation), TRAVIS LARSEN (In Personal capacity and in capacity with Balchem Corporation), MICHAEL SESTRICK(In Personal capacity and in capacity with Balchem Corporation)**


Respondents

---

**CERTIFICATION OF PETITIONER RICKY KAMDEM-OUAFFO  
(PRO SE) PURSUANT TO THE SUPREME COURT RULE 44(2)**

**Date:** January 21, 2025

**Ricky Kamdem-Ouaffo, Pro Se  
86 Bayard Street No. 381  
New Brunswick, NJ 08903  
Tel: 1 732 763 8622  
E-mail: rickykamer@gmail.com.**



I, Ricky Kamdem-Ouaffo (*Pro Se*), as required by Supreme Court Rule 44(2),  
Certify that:

1) My Petition for Rehearing is *“limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented”* and *“it is presented in good faith and not for delay.”*

2) The *“intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented”* is that on 01/08/2025, the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit docketed a MANDATE in *Lewis v. Crandall Et Al.*, Case No. 24-684-cv, holding *Sua Ponte* that the *“Failure to seek District Court review of the Magistrate Judge's orders means no appellate review is available to him”* under the Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 72(a).

3) However, the Second Circuit neither read nor apply Rule 72(a) during the adjudication of Petitioner's Appeal, although the Petitioner's "QUESTION I" presented on Appeal required a reading of Rule 72(a) (See Appdx Vol. 2, p. 417). The Second Circuit's 01/08/25 reading of Rule 72(a) Confirms Petitioner's argument that the Orders Dismissing his Complaint were unconstitutional and Void *ab initio*.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 21, 2025

Ricky Kamdem-Ouaffo, *Pro Se*  
86 Bayard Street No. 381  
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

**Tel:** 1 732 763 8622. **Email:** Rickykamer@gmail.com

