

No. 24-5908

---

In The  
**Supreme Court Of The United States**

---

TANYA SPURBECK,

*Petitioner,*

v.

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION, ET AL.,

*Respondents.*

---

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari  
To The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Ninth Circuit

---

**Petition For Rehearing**

---

Tanya Spurbeck  
5970 Belcastro Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113  
702-840-8286

## **PETITION FOR REHEARING**

Pursuant to Sup. Ct. R. 44.2, petitioner Tanya Spurbeck ("petitioner" or "Spurbeck") respectfully petitions this Court for an order (1) granting panel rehearing and (2) vacating the Court's December 16, 2024, order denying certiorari.

Rule 44.2 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States allows petitioners to file petitions for rehearing of the denial of a petition for writ of certiorari and permits rehearing on the basis of "intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented."

Spurbeck submits that, on the same day of the conference dated December 13, 2024, Spurbeck was battling a cease and desist relating to Spurbeck's identity being misrepresented in the public domain.

The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, **"No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation."**

Spurbeck's perception of the Court's reason for denial to Spurbeck's writ of certiorari was due to defamation. Spurbeck is petitioning today for the Court to allow Spurbeck to redeem her identity.

The defamatory statement by the realty ("Key Realty LTD in Ohio") was made public on the web at an unknown date with intentions and motivations to sabotage Spurbeck's writ of certiorari. The defamatory statement by the investing company ("Boxabl INC") was created in conjunction to the defamation with the realty.

Spurbeck's Fifth Amendment right to her identity and reputation, her substantive right, is to be without coercion or control. Spurbeck's identity represents a fundamental aspect of her personhood that should be protected from undue interference. Spurbeck's identity is her core right such as her liberty, freedom of speech and privacy as Spurbeck's identity is integral to her sense of self, dignity and legal standing. Spurbeck's legal identity, her existence, gives Spurbeck access to basic rights, benefits and services, allowing Spurbeck to participate fully in society.

Upon Spurbeck's perceived reason why the Court denied Spurbeck's writ, Spurbeck contends that the court's opinion omits a material fact or issue. Spurbeck's identity is not what it was deceived to be online or any form of how it was presented by the defamation.

Spurbeck will state the points of law and fact that she believes the court has overlooked or misapprehended. A party may claim error in a ruling to admit or exclude evidence only if the error affects a substantial right of the party and evidence cannot be used when the evidence is fraud.

A substantial ground that was not previously presented is being petitioned today for the Court to allow Spurbeck to redeem her identity through due process within the Supreme Court of the United States.

As grounds for this petition for rehearing, petitioner briefly and distinctly states the following question:

How can a person seek judicial review to have her Fifth Amendment rights to an employment case upon a prejudicial ruling disallowing her Fifth Amendment rights by her to not have due process for a defamation issue relating to her identity?

The Fifth Amendment guarantees that "no person shall....be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." U. S. Const. amend. v. "Procedural due process imposes constraints on governmental decisions which deprive individuals of 'life', 'liberty' or 'property' interests... {The Supreme Court} consistently has held that some form of hearing is required before an individual is finally deprived of life, liberty or a property interest...The fundamental requirement of due process is the opportunity to be heard in a meaningful manner. Procedural due process thus determines both whether the litigant has life, liberty or a property interest and, if so, what process is due. And the process due is DUE PROCESS.

Thus, Certiorari is warranted to resolve the due process issue.

## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, petitioner Tanya Spurbeck prays that this Court (1) granting panel rehearing and (2) vacating the Court's December 16, 2024, order denying certiorari.

Date: February 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,



---

TANYA SPURBECK

No. 24-5908

---

In The

**Supreme Court Of The United States**

---

TANYA SPURBECK,

*Petitioner,*

v.

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION, ET AL.,

*Respondents.*

---

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari  
To The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Ninth Circuit

---

**CERTIFICATE OF UNREPRESENTED PARTY**

As the petitioner, the unrepresented party, I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is presented with grounds limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented and I certify that the petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay.



---

TANYA SPURBECK

No. 24-5908

---

In The  
**Supreme Court Of The United States**

---

TANYA SPURBECK,

*Petitioner,*

v.

WYNDHAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION, ET AL.,

*Respondents.*

---

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, Tanya Spurbeck, do swear or declare that on this date, February 4, 2025, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed PETITION FOR REHEARING on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Kelsey Stegall, Esq.  
3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300, Las Vegas, NV 89169  
702-862-7754

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 4, 2025.



(Signature)

