


9

No. _____

24-5892

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



TOCCARA Y. Fuller — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Chadwick Dotson — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TOCCARA Yvonne Fuller
(Your Name)

144 Prison Lane
(Address)

Troy, VA 22974
(City, State, Zip Code)

434 934 3700
(Phone Number)

Question(s) Presented

1) Petitioner is suing the defendant for the ethics in Arlington County Circuit Court, Lawyer DSK Law court appointed Attorney ADAM Kruschee framing petitioner and intentionally wrongfully incarcerating petitioner while on duty in their individual official capacity as part of an intra corporate conspiracy for investment equivalent to money profits unlawfully and conspiracy leaving petitioner to the federal district court proceedings AS A remedy for relief.

2. If this issue is not addressed it would cause harm to the petitioner from government ethics having misconduct in their individual official capacity in which privacy & security has been violated in unlawful incarceration and court ordered residence and stalking and violations through petitioner's well fare services.

3 Plaintiffs Ask the higher courts to litigate and determine the gross injustice in which RACIAL bias was injected into the proceedings of DSK Law Adam Kischer Admittance And excerpts from Judge D. Fore II using the system to violate the petitioner Along with endangering petitioner son JP2022 forced to be born And breed unlawfully wrongfully incarcerated in June 2022.

4 Plaintiffs suspects foul play with the Authorities And welfare of her sons JP2022 life as foul play serial document and manipulation of the system due to being forced to live in prison and jails as a hotel chain and restraining the liberty of petitioner And the loss of legal and physical custody of her son due to him being raped and human trafficked in the month of September between the 2nd and the 7th by Arlington County Sheriff's officers And assistance from

those in authority of JP2022 custody with circumstantial evidence of a white blanket used for JP2022 for such acts as involvement for the intra corporate conspiracy.

5. And the law courts stated that the information is not relevant but the petitioner inserts to show the motive and reason for the courts misconduct and err as well as the petitioner being a victim witness and injured still in custody and imminent danger with no compensation in which petitioner relies on the judicial process to construe this issues of redress and grievances pro se through the relevant procedures in forma pauperis before the honorable courts to keep the decisions for the conflict in uniform from rare but similar situations.

8

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Opinions Below	pg 1
Jurisdiction	
Constitutional And statutory provisions involved	
Statement of the case	
Reasons for granting the writ	
Conclusions	

Appendix A -	pg 2A-2
--------------	---------

Appendix B	pg 13-14
------------	----------

Appendix C	pg 15-16
------------	----------

Appendix D	pg 17-18
------------	----------

Appendix E	pg 19-20
------------	----------

Appendix F	pg 21-22
------------	----------

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

18-88, 18-88.03, 18-88.04, 18-88.05
and 18-88.06

Gordon v Leek, Celotex Corp v Catrat
construe, Anderson v Liberty Lobby
Inc., Stature Dove v Total Action,
Against poverty in Roanoke Valley,
will pleaded Allegation are taken as

true T. G. Statu 3, son Inc v

Donald Bell Alt Corp v towombly

FARNOTE of the facts and legal

basis upon which his liability rest

Odum v South Carolina Dept of

Corrections 349 F.3d 765 709

4th 2003, Green v McLaughlin 480 Fed

STATUTES AND RULES

Rule 24, USC (2254 b(1)) 531.202.3,

8.1, 8.01-195.12, 2A 3.2, 1512,

1591, 919 b Art 119 b, 18.2-371.1

2A 1.15, 2261A 2K1.3, 2276,

18.2-51, 42 USC 1983, 42 USC 15601

chapter 147

14

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix E to the petition and is:

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: August 27, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Constitutional and statutory provisions involved

Rights for petitioner to be free from punishment was violated by the defendant when petitioner was restrained for exercising his rights!

#1, #4, #5, #6, #8,

#9, #14 Amendments of the United

States Constitution in the Arlington

County Circuit Court in Arlington, VA

And FCCW D.O.C in Troy, VA.

Prohibited by the Supreme Court laws

And jurisprudence, USC 2254(b+c), 531-

202.3, 8.1, 8.01-195.12, Rule 24 intervention

2AB, 2, 1512, 1591 919 b art 119b,

18.2 - 371.1, 2A 1.15, 2261A

2K1.3, 2276 18.2-51 42 USC 1983

#28

42 USC 15601 chapter 147 The public

health and welfare and prison elimination

Act.

Statement of the case

Petitioner is suing for the intentional unlawful wrongful conviction by framing petitioner and using this as a tactic to gain an advantage to leave her minor child JP2022 abandoned and forced to be breed and human sextrafficked of the petitioner and minor son JP2022. Petitioner seeks relief compensatory continued compensation and the conviction overturned and the sentence currently reduced and modified due to the initial wrongful conviction.

Plaintiff would like an appeal bond
due to the dangerousness violations
and gross injustice along with
the higher courts to keep the decisions
of justice in uniform due to this
rare but actual case where the
petitioner has no liberty and
cannot afford counsel due to the
violations and situations faced to
file pro se to preserve petitioner's
case and find out the truth
of the petitioner's child welfare
whereabouts and justice for petitioner.

The gross injustice And intracorporate conspiracy has been going on since 2017 to current with the violations And injuries to plaintiffs kids And family by government entities who seek retaliation And hate crimes with discrimination And prejudice to the treatment And wrongful incarcerations) intentionally with respect to the outcome And transactions prosecuted And brought to litigation. Proze And due process violations due to the gross injustice. not

1932

Favorable in the Supreme Court jurisprudence

in which petitioner highly suffers and

disagrees with the lower court(s)

prior and reasons for good cause

in the vehicle for exhaustions deprived

by the sentencing court do to the

gross injustice and or severity

Assaults occurring and facing imminent

danger of a fatality from multiple

government entities due to race

And due process violations amongst

All and other things courts experiences

etc.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This petition should be granted to serve justice to the petitioner who suffered multiple Assaults, sexual Assaults, losses and violations to privacy and security and seeks the courts to offer relief for redress and grievances due to racial discrimination along with due process violations and prosecutiveness to bring to the courts attention with genuine allegations and life experiences and a loss of moral rights and value from the constitutional rights violations and the imminent danger acts against petitioner and her family as race was a factor and an intracorporate conspiracy for the duly officials unlawfully gained this wrongful incarceration as a tactic to employ such events that are prosecutable in the court of law. Department of Corrections did not

P934

impose the initial release date of 02/19/23

due to the motives covering up A

homicide with patricia and her

minor son JP2022 who would have

been 2 years old if he is living

with the transaction of human sex trafficking

with Arlington County Sheriff's officers

and the entrapment and violation to

both parties for this investment

which has become a threat, stalking

for death due to the situation

being uncovered with plenty of circumstantial

evidence.

Conclusion

The petition for A writ of certiorari
should be granted.

Respectfully submitted

Respectfully,

J. J. Miller
Tocarra V. Miller

10/21/24

1381843