

Judgement and Order rule 20.3
COPIES explanation(s) or other documents
to understand the petition(s)

Texas Court House Bexar County deny and never
provided a judgement or order copies. However on internet
April 19th 2024 the judge deny - delay my constitutional
right for speedy trial. On May 31th 2024. The judge verbally
mention he denied my speedy trial because "it was too early to
set trial" also is 9 months the judge keep saying I am
waiting for psychiatric evaluation. (Judge Joel Perez room 437)

Texas Criminal Court of Appeals never provided
a response or judgement or ruling since 6 months ago
any other state or federal court their original ruling(s)
or copies are attached to the petition.

The 5th circuit judge mention(s) to file a 42 U.S.C 1983
However the western district of Texas deny my petition and the
case is on ~~hold~~

Case name Berrocal vs Borda, case number 1:24-CV-07172-LTS
Status dismissed

- Date September 25th 2024.

The court never provided a judgement, ruling or order.

Henry B Berrocal
Chief Executive Officer of the USA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HENRY B. BERROCAL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

STEPHANIE BOYD, Texas District Judge;
JOEL PEREZ, Texas District Judge; JAVIER
SALAZAR, Sheriff Jail Officer,

Defendants.

24-CV-7172 (LTS)

TRANSFER ORDER

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is currently incarcerated in the Bexar County Jail in San Antonio, Texas, brings this *pro se* action under the Court's federal question jurisdiction, alleging that Defendants violated his constitutional rights in San Antonio, Texas. Named as Defendants are two judges and a sheriff's officer in Texas. For the following reasons, the Court transfers this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1406 to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas.

DISCUSSION

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), a civil action may be brought in

(1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.

Under Section 1391(c), a "natural person" resides in the district where the person is domiciled, and an "entity with the capacity to sue and be sued" resides in any judicial district where it is subject to personal jurisdiction with respect to the civil action in question. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(1), (2).

Plaintiff alleges that that Defendants violated his rights in San Antonio, Texas. He does not plead the residence of any of the defendants, only asserting that the alleged events giving rise to his claims occurred in San Antonio Texas. Because Defendants are employed in San Antonio, Texas and the alleged events occurred in San Antonio, Texas, from the face of the complaint, it is clear that venue is not proper in this Court under Section 1391(b)(1), (2).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1406, if a plaintiff files a case in the wrong venue, the Court “shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Plaintiff’s claims arose in San Antonio, in Bexar County, Texas, which is in the Western District of Texas. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 124(d)(4). Accordingly, venue lies in the Western District of Texas, 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), and in the interest of justice, the Court transfers this action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to transfer this action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas. Whether Plaintiff should be permitted to proceed further without prepayment of fees is a determination to be made by the transferee court. A summons shall not issue from this Court. This order closes this case in this court.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 24, 2024
New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge



Fourth Court of Appeals
San Antonio, Texas

MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-24-00330-CR

IN RE Henry Bryan BERROCAL, Relator

Original Proceeding¹

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice
Irene Rios, Justice
Liza A. Rodriguez, Justice

Delivered and Filed: July 10, 2024

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS DENIED; DISMISSED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

Relator Henry Berrocal petitions this court for a writ of mandamus. After considering the petition and its attachments, we conclude relator has not shown himself entitled to the relief sought. Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is denied. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a).

Furthermore, Berrocal's two writs of habeas corpus attached to his mandamus petition are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. art. 11.05; *Ex parte Braswell*, 630 S.W.3d 600, 601 (Tex. App.—Waco 2021, no pet.) ("Original jurisdiction to grant a writ of habeas corpus in a criminal case is vested in the Court of Criminal Appeals, the district courts, the county courts, or any judge in those courts.").

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH

¹This proceeding arises out of Cause No. 2024CR1587, styled *State v. Berrocal*, pending in the 437th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas, the Honorable Joel Perez presiding.



Fourth Court of Appeals
San Antonio, Texas

July 10, 2024

No. 04-24-00330-CR

IN RE Henry Bryan **BERROCAL**, Relator

Original Proceeding¹

ORDER

On May 10, 2024, relator filed a petition for writ of mandamus. After considering the petition and its attachments, we conclude relator has not shown himself entitled to the relief sought. Accordingly, we **DENY** the petition for writ of mandamus. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a).


Furthermore, we **DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION** relator's writs of habeas corpus attached to his mandamus petition.

It is so **ORDERED** on July 10, 2024.


Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said court on this 10th day of July, 2024.




Luz Estrada, Chief Deputy Clerk

¹This proceeding arises out of Cause No. 2024CR1587, styled *State v. Berrocal*, pending in the 437th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas, the Honorable Joel Perez presiding.



THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

BLAKE A. HAWTHORNE, CLERK

201 West 14th Street Post Office Box 12248 Austin, TX 78711
Telephone: 512/463-1312 Facsimile: 512/463-1365

June 24, 2024

We are in receipt of your correspondence. We have not filed your document with the Court or assigned it a case number. Please refer to the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure for more information regarding rules of the Court. A free copy of the rules is available on our website at <http://www.txcourts.gov/supreme>.

Please refer to the check-marked box below for more information on why your document was not filed.

1. ☐ The document we received is not a filing in this court. The Supreme Court has no authority or resources to conduct investigations, or to take action in any matter which has not been raised in one of the state's trial courts and appealed through the intermediate courts of appeals.
2. ☐ The Supreme Court does not provide copies of rules or copies of other documents by mail. In the future you may be able to seek assistance from the Texas State Law Library.
3. ☒ The Supreme Court is the state's highest appellate court for civil cases. The Supreme Court does not have jurisdiction over criminal cases and does not review the decisions of the Court of Criminal Appeals. *See* Tex. Gov't Code §§ 22.001-22.002. The Court of Criminal Appeals has final jurisdiction over criminal matters in the State of Texas. *See* Tex. Gov't Code § 22.001 & Texas Constitution, Article V, Section 3. A petition for discretionary review in a criminal case must be filed with the clerk of the Court of Criminal Appeals. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.3.
4. ☐ Your document was forwarded to the Court of Criminal Appeals. See above paragraph.
5. ☐ The document we received references a federal case number. The Supreme Court of Texas does not review the decisions of federal courts.
6. ☐ The Court of Appeals case number that you reference in your filing was previously filed as a petition for writ of mandamus. It is not necessary to file a notice of appeal or a motion to extend time with this Court in a mandamus proceeding. If you are seeking review of the original proceeding, you must file a petition for writ of mandamus that complies with Rule 52 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure.
7. ☐ Your Petition for Review was denied. The case is closed, and the decision of the Supreme Court of Texas is final.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Blake A. Hawthorne".

Clerk

The Supreme Court of Texas

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

HENRY B. BERROCAL,
Inmate No. 1171539,

Petitioner,

v.

JAVIER SALAZAR, Sheriff,
Bexar County Sheriff's Office,

Respondent.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


CIVIL NO. SA-24-CA-0196-XR

ORDER

Before the Court is *pro se* Petitioner Henry B. Berrocal's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal (ECF No. 8) wherein he requests that he not be required to pay the \$505.00 filing fee required for the notice of appeal. For the reasons stated in the Court's Dismissal Order dated February 28, 2024 (ECF No. 4), Petitioner's request is **DENIED**. Petitioner fails to present a "good faith" non-frivolous issue for appeal as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 9th day of April, 2024.


XAVIER RODRIGUEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

HENRY B. BERROCAL,
Inmate No. 1171539,

Petitioner,

v.

JAVIER SALAZAR, Sheriff,
Bexar County Sheriff's Office,

Respondent.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


CIVIL NO. SA-24-CA-0196-XR

ORDER

Before the Court is *pro se* Petitioner's Motion for Relief (ECF No. 15). This Court previously dismissed Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition for habeas corpus relief without prejudice because Petitioner's state court trial proceedings were still ongoing and Petitioner has not exhausted his state court remedies. Undeterred, Petitioner now seeks an order requiring the State of Texas to proceed with trial or release him from custody. For the reasons stated in the Court's previous Order (ECF No. 4), however, Petitioner's current motion (ECF No. 15) is **DISMISSED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this the 12th day of June, 2024.



XAVIER RODRIGUEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE
CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE,
Suite 115
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

June 06, 2024

Mr. Philip Devlin
Western District of Texas, San Antonio
United States District Court
655 E. Cesar E. Chavez Boulevard
Suite G65
San Antonio, TX 78206 -

No. 24-50271 In re: Henry Berrocal
USDC No. 5:24-CV-196

Dear Mr. Devlin,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk



By: _____
Casey A. Sullivan, Deputy Clerk
504-310-7642

cc w/encl:
Mr. Henry B. Berrocal



United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

A True Copy

Certified order issued Jun 06, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

No. 24-50271

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

June 6, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

IN RE HENRY B. BERROCAL,

Petitioner.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 5:24-CV-196

CLERK'S OFFICE:

Under 5TH CIR. R. 42.3, the appeal is dismissed as of June 6, 2024, for want of prosecution. The appellant failed to timely comply with this court's notice dated April 10, 2024.

LYLE W. CAYCE
Clerk of the United States Court
of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

A handwritten signature in cursive script, likely belonging to Casey A. Sullivan, is written over the printed name.

By: _____
Casey A. Sullivan, Deputy Clerk

ENTERED AT THE DIRECTION OF THE COURT

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE
CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE,
Suite 115
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

September 04, 2024


MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW:

No. 24-50270 Berrocal v. Salazar
USDC No. 5:24-CV-196

Enclosed is an order entered in this case.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk



By: _____
Renee S. McDonough, Deputy Clerk
504-310-7673

Mr. Henry B. Berrocal
Mr. Philip Devlin

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 24-50270

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

September 4, 2024

HENRY B. BERROCAL,

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

Petitioner—Appellant,

versus

SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR, *Bexar County Sheriff's Department,*

Respondent—Appellee.

Application for Certificate of Appealability
the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 5:24-CV-196


ORDER:

Henry B. Berrocal, a Texas pretrial detainee, moves for a certificate of appealability (COA) to appeal the district court's dismissal of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 application construed as a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition. To obtain a COA, Berrocal must make "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000). This standard requires a showing that "reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484.

No. 24-50270

Berrocal does not meaningfully challenge the district court's ruling that he failed to exhaust state court remedies or that his petition was subject to dismissal under the *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37 (1971) abstention doctrine. Berrocal also does not challenge the district court's ruling that, to the extent he raised civil rights claims, a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint was the proper vehicle. Accordingly, the issues are deemed abandoned. *See Hughes v. Johnson*, 191 F.3d 607, 613 (5th Cir. 1999).

Berrocal's motion for a COA is DENIED. *See Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484. Likewise, his motions for a change in venue and for permission to proceed in forma pauperis are also DENIED.




JAMES C. HO
United States Circuit Judge

Judgment or Order Rule 20.3

I, Henry B Berrocal request the Federal Supreme Court to file judgment against Joel perez state of Texas District sudge individual-official capacity, Stephanie Boyd state of Texas district sudge individual and official capacity and Xavier Rodriguez Federal district sudge individual and official capacity. To file judgment in the relief and injunction(s) that deprive my civil rights. The sudge(s) abuse their power and commit crimes, civil monetary penalties or negligence in their work that fatal affected my liberty, life or property. The entire state of Texas, Bexar county and city of San Antonio worked together to destroy my life, deprive my liberty and make me suffer with their corruption practices. The state of Texas, county and city evil attempt to kill me placing me next to the most dangerous criminals top 1% most dangerous-wanted. They tried to illegally incarcerate me in a criminal ^{case} with 0 evidence. My only fault was to bring JUSTICE and investigate civil rights for the citizen(s) of Texas(s). It is a massive win for all citizens of Texas who were intimidated, manipulated, shame and control by the demonic state of Texas government. Thousands of thousands of millions of Texas citizen with their civil rights violated for decades. In the name of God, Jesus christ grant the judgment to release me out of jail and free the Texan citizens with the Writ of Mandamus

Henry B Berrocal

Chief Executive officer of the USA.



Judgement or Order 20.3

- I lost irreparable harm in defamation of character on the news for the criminal case
 - I lost my house worth 1.5 million dollars
 - I lost 4 cars (s) 1 Nissan Titan XD luxury version 2024 worth 80K, a Ford F450 2011 worth 40K,
 - I lost a Ford Fiesta worth 7K and a Ford F150 worth 10K
 - I lost 3 businesses, Elegan & Happy LLC real estate year income 100K
 - Humanity for wisdom yearly income 49K
 - Cycle of management yearly income 30K
 - I lost over 10 million dollars in business opportunity if I would have sold the stock
 - 2 year(s) of income worth 360K for the illegal arrest
 - My furniture ^{lost} insurance coverage minimum 150K
 - The suffering illegal arrest for 7 months, in jail with the top 1% most wanted - dangerous criminals, in painful shackles everyday, hungry for lack of food, in pain for my untreated Hepatitis C liver illness
 - All stress, emotional distress, sadness, worry, angry everyday for the illegal arrest, wake me up 4am on the morning
 - I LOST OVER 12 MILLION DOLLARS
- The judgement to recover 71.6 million dollars for all losses and civil rights violations is the right amount for all pain, loss, emotional distress, and all damages

Henry B Berrocal

Judgement or Order Rule 20.3

The future traumas, emotional damages for this horrible experience.

My credit score was 805 the top 1%. Now for the next 7 year(s) I will not be able to acquire a mortgage again, rent an apartment, find a job as many work position require a good credit score, finance a car loan or request loan(s) to help growth a business again. NOTHING, basically my whole life was ruined for no reason. The only ones to blame are the corrupt Texas judges and negligent Federal judges and a law system that destroy my life, liberty, property and my character image lying in the news for a criminal case.

I was in the middle of dental treatment for aligner in pain in jail because I can't wear the aligner(s) my liver with Hepatitis C and my dislocated shoulder the first time when I was illegally arrested on April 24 2023 for the same case the 77.6 millions dollars I demand for all civil rights violation(s) for all pain and suffering physical, mental, personal, financial. It is impressive the level of destruction when cities, counties and state(s) arrest and kidnap people illegally with no evidence, when they have year(s) to investigate. The rush of the government of Texas to destroy my life.

Henry B Berrocal

Chief Executive Officer of the USA

Relief(s)

- Vacate the illegal judgement(s) of ex-state of Texas judge Stephanie Bord
- Vacate the judgement(s) of state of Texas judge Joel Perez and enforce Speedy trial and Bond reduction in my case
- Close my illegal arrest and criminal charges and release me out of jail
- I want an apology letter from Stephanie Bord, Joel Perez and Xavier Rodriguez for their civil rights violations or wrongful work depriving liberty
- I want monetary damages from Tex-ex judge Stephanie Bord 500k and an extra 500k for her corruption depriving my civil right and Joel Perez 300k and in my damages
- Henry B Berrocal will request a monetary compensation damage of 30 million dollars and a punitive damage of 30 millions total of 60 millions dollars for the deprivation of liberty 5th amendment and the state blocking me in all state of Texas courts Supreme, appeals, and District court in effort to deprive my liberty and eventually kill me. placing me with the most wanted dangerous criminals
- The compensation includes the Attorney fees in terrible conditions with barely anything to research law
- Pending damages and compensation for civil rights violations will be file to research more in the free law all damage(s) I am eligible. For now is the request of Blocking of Eclings of law and attorney(s) fees, includes State District, appeals, and Supreme court plus Federal District, Appeal and Federal Supreme court. In effort to deprive my liberty

Henry B Berrocal

Relief (s)

- Total compensatory damage from judge Joel Perez Book 300K in compensatory damage and 300K as punitive damage for violating my 6th, 8th, 1st and 14th amendment denying my writ(s) and motions in bad faith to deprive my liberty
- Provide more food-tray(s) the state tray-food sometimes are missing food and provide between 1,200 and 1,500 calories. My Height is 5,8 and weight 245Lbs I am supposed to consume 3,500. They serve less than half of what I am supposed to consume in calories
- Compel and Enforce the state to assign dieticians to provide the right amount of food and all the USA jail(s)
- To indigent people provide a bag of beans, rice, chili and spaghetti 1 Pound each item weekly or force the state to pay \$10 to purchase basic food necessities in commissary-shopping
- Lower the price of all food(s) and item(s) in commissary-shopping currently Extreme expensive
- Make a law that anyone in jail cannot be in a cell lockdown more than 20 hrs. Minimum provide in all USA and state(s) a minimum of 4 hrs day room
- Remove the use of shackles or anything that while in jail day room restrain body movement. (this is a violation of the 8th amendment as cruel and unusual punishment)
- When any jail in the USA reaches 60% Capacity enforce 24/7 hrs court work to process cases faster and holidays) and enforce weekend work to process cases faster for those who are incarcerated

Henry B Berrocal

Reliefs

- I will request a 10 million dollars monetary compensation against the USA for helping the State of Texas illegally arrest me in USA courthouse western district of Texas San Antonio Division on the date between January 9th to 12th of 2024 violating and blocking me to use the USA clerk's office when the marshal arrest me and I was about to file default judgement against the state of Texas recorded on camera by marshal officer cameras and the courthouse cameras. The USA deprive my 4th, 1st, 8th, 5th civil rights and act as accessory to help Texas state violate my rights

Total Compensation relief damages with Punitive damages

30 million against the state of Texas plus 30 million dollars as punitive damage Total 60 million dollars,

10 million dollars against the USA

1 million dollars against Stephanie Bory, 500K as compensatory damage and another 500K as punitive damage in her individual capacity

600K thousand dollars against Texas judge Joel Perez 300K in compensatory damage and 300K as punitive damage

Total judgement 71.6 million dollars

Henry B Berrocal

Rule 20.3

Why the Relief Sought is not available in other court

All the state of Texas court BS Block me and never respond to my lawsuit(s), writ and motion(s).

Texas Bexar County District Court

Texas Court of appeals and Texas court of Criminal appeals

Texas Supreme Court never respond

I Filed a lawsuit in USA Courthouse Western District of Texas San Antonio Division my petition was denied

I Filed an appeal in USA 5th circuit court of appeals and my case was dismissed for not reason.

The Federal Supreme court is my last resource to find Relief

Name, Office and function of each party that I am requesting Relief."

- Stephanie Boyd State of Texas judge district in her individual and official capacity. Office 101 W Nevada St San Antonio TX 78205. Bexar County District Criminal Courthouse
- Joel Perez State of Texas judge district in his individual and official capacity. Office 101 W Nevada St San Antonio TX 78205. Bexar County District Criminal Courthouse.
- Xavier Rodriguez Federal judge district with his individual and official capacity. Office USA Courthouse Western District of Texas San Antonio Division. Office 262 West Nevada Street San Antonio TX 78207

Henry B Berrocal

Preliminary Injunction(s)

Against the State of Texas

- Enforce Trial within 15 to 30 days or close my criminal cases due to the excessive speedy trial delay read *Mcneely VS Blanas* and the immediate release due to speedy trial delay violation
- Enforce a bond reduction hearing
- Mandate a 7 day(s) pre-trial hearing to close my case
- Dictate a ruling to enforce hybrid-pro se to file my own motions and writ(s)
- Place a ruling to stop the harrasing behavior of the State of Texas illegally incarcerating me in jail with 0 evidence
- Order a motion to suppress evidence in protection of my 4th ammendment to suppress the illegal arrest
- Grant a injunction to remove any court block place by Stephanie Boyd depriving my liberty to file law paperwork (District Texas sodge)
- Vacate Joel Perez illegal order depriving my freedom of speech and freedom of press to investigate and interview pre trial detainees with civil right violator(s) in protection of my 1st ammendment.

Injunction(s)

To the United States

- Enhance Federal law & irreparable harm and special conditions to provide more protection and eligibility against the USA and all state(s) criminal cases(s)
- Null or Modify the law 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). The USA cannot wait for the states violating civil rights. The USA must act and intervene-protect people's civil rights at any time for any reason.
- Create a supervisory department to protect civil right(s)
1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 14th amendment behavior examination
8th 14th amendment bond examination against USA and state(s) criminal cases

To the State of Texas

- Modify Texas law to indict individuals within 30 days (Texas is the only state that takes 90 days violating liberty)
- Improve the state law speedy trial to arrange trial within 45 days (Texas speedy trial laws are unconstitutional)
- Modify the state penal code 22.02 aggravated assault with a deadly weapon. The code says use or exhibit a deadly weapon. The code is in violation of the USA 2nd amendment which says "Keep and Bear arms" I can bear it in my hands, back, legs and it will be exhibit as the USA amendment never said conceal the weapon.
- Fix the Texas DNA criminal testing statutes and policies that are in violation of federal law and unconstitutional.
- Remove the Texas third party law in murder cases. The law is unconstitutional violating civil rights under federal law (Henry B Becerra)