

In the  
Supreme Court of the United States

---

Dwayne Barrett,

*Petitioner,*

v.

United States of America,

*Respondent.*

---

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH  
PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX**

---

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 26.8, Petitioner respectfully moves for leave to dispense with the requirement of filing a joint appendix in this case. Both Respondent and the *amicus curiae* appointed to defend the judgment below agree that a joint appendix is not necessary.

This case presents a purely legal question: Whether the Double Jeopardy Clause permits punishment under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) and § 924(j) for one act that violates both statutes. The opinion below is included in the appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari. In our view, no other portion of the record merits special attention such as would warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court in its consideration of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Matthew B. Larsen

*Counsel of Record*

Federal Defenders of New York

Appeals Bureau

52 Duane Street, 10th Floor

New York, New York 10007

(212) 417-8725

Matthew\_Larsen@fd.org

May 7, 2025

*Counsel for Petitioner*