


No. _____

24-5732

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Ex Parte, Sui Juris, JEREMY D. FOSTER- Petitioner

On Petition for a Writ of Prohibition under 28 USCA 1651(a) and Forum Conveniens, to transfer of action due to 28USCA 454 Practice of Law by Justice or Judges for 5 USCA 703.

Petition for Writ of Prohibition under 28usca 1651(a) and 28usca 1406(a) Forum Conveniens, transfer of action due to 28usca 454 Practice of Law by Justice and Judge for 5usca 703 Form and Venue of Proceeding.

Addressed to:

Sui Juris, JEREMY D. FOSTER
670 Bell Hill Road
Homer, La 71040

Case no:2:23-cv-00661

Question(s) Presented

1. Why have Judge's of the Western District of Louisiana (Lake Charles) Division been Practicing Law?
2. Why have Plaintiff substantive rights been violated by procedural rules?
3. Why is Plaintiff seeking Writ of Prohibition under rule 20.3(a) for Extra- Ordinary writ under U.S. Supreme court rules 28USCA 1651(a)?
4. Why didnt Judge and Mag. Judge change Forum 28usca 1631 when they seen that the suit was a constitutional violation and Plaintiff was stating substantive Law?

Index to Appendices.

1. Status check with notes and arrows of motion that was filed and violated substantive rights by not answering.
2. R&R of mag. Judge dismissal without prejudice

List of Parties

1. Jessie Bellamy
2. Yusuf Abdullah
3. Brian Spillers
4. Valiree Delhonne
5. Jonna Gobert
6. Ricky Kennon

Related Cases

NONE

Table of Contents

Opinion below

Jurisdiction

Constitutional and statutory Provision Involved

Statement of the case

Reason for Granting the Writ

Conclusion

Table of Authorities Cited

Cases: None

Page Number: None

Statutes and Rules....

1. 28 usca 454
2. 28 usca 1406(a)
3. 5 usca 703
4. 28 usca 1631
5. 28 usca 1651(a)
6. 28 usca 632(a)
7. 28 usca 1251(b)(2)
8. 28 usca 2072(b)
9. 18 usca 242

Other..

U.S. Const. Art.I, Sec8, clause 9

U.S. Const. Art.III, Sec1

U.S. Const. Art.VII, Amend 5th & 7th

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Petition for Writ of Prohibition and 28 usca 1406(a)
Forum Conveniens, transfer of action due 28usca 454
Practice of Law by Justice and Judges and 5usca 703
Form and venue of proceedings.

Opinion Below

None.....

Jurisdiction

28 usca 1651(a) Writs

a) The Supreme Court and all courts established by acts of congress may issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdiction and agreeable to the usage and principles of law.

U.S. Const. Art.III

Section 1. The Judicial power of the U.S. shall be vested in one Supreme Court and in such inferior courts.

Section 2. The judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and equity, arising under this Constitution, the laws of the U.S., Treaties made, or which shall be made under their Authority.

28 usca 1251(b)(2)

(b). The Supreme Court shall have original but not exclusive jurisdiction of,

1). Controversies between a State and Citizens of another State.

2). All controversies between the United States and a State.

Constitutional and Statutory Provision involved

1. 28 usca 1651(a) Writs
2. 28 usca 1251(b)(2)
3. 28 usca 2072(b)
4. 28 usca 632(a)
5. 26 usca 7206(1)&(3) Fraud
6. 18 usca 242 Deprivation of rights, under color of Authority
7. 28 usca 454 Practice of law
8. 5 usca 703 Form and Venue

9. 28 usca 1406(a) cure or waiver of defects
10. 42 usca 1983 Deprivation of right, civil suit
11. U.S. Const. Art.I sec.8 clause 9
12. U.S. Const. Art.I sec.10, Impairing the obligation of contract, and Treaty clause.
13. U.S. Const. Art.III, Sec.1&2
14. U.S. Const. Art.VII Amend 5th, 7th, 8th, and 14th

Statement of Case

Before the United States Supreme Court is a writ of Prohibition under 28 usca 1651(a) and Supreme Court rule 20.3 and Forum Conveniens 28 usca 1406(a) Transfer of action , 5usca 703 Form and venue of proceeding due to 28 usca 454 Practice of Law by justices and judge. On 8-20-2024 U.S. Court of Appeals 5th Circuit sent instruction on how to do appellant brief for Appeal of case no:2:23-cv-00661, appeal no:24-30454 Foster v. Bellamy, Now upon recieving this Att.General Liz Murrill of the state of Louisiana also sent letter of saying Ass. Attorney General Timothy Wynn of the state will be lawyer on Appeal. Now upon Plaintiff recent finding of studying the law. Plaintiff has discovered that his whole suit that was filed on 5-17-23 in the Western District of Louisiana has been Malum in se and Malum Prohibitum. 28 usca454 states that any Justice or Judge appointed under the Authority of the United States who engages in the Practice of law is guilty of a High Misdemeanor. Upon reading this a normal mindd would say just studying or reading, you would think nothing of hurtful nature towards a suit or any other action, but Black Law says otherwise, Practice of Law means- The rendition of services requiring the knowledge and the application of legal principles and techniques to serve the interest of another with his consent. It is not Limited to, appearing in court, or advising and performing of services in the conduct of various shapes of litigation, but embraces the preparation of pleading , and other papers incident to action and special proceeding, First and Foremost procedural rules is not law, but U.S. Constitution, U.S. Laws& Treaties is Law of the land.Art.IV supremacy clause , law of the land. My Suit has law in it, not procedural rules. Venue change is imperative to make sure Justice is serve and judge dont get high misdemeanor. Through the course of this suit my substantive Due Process was violated by Mag.

Judge Kay's R&R to dismiss claims that was of the law and U.S Judge agreeing and dismissing these claims, Appeal was done on these claims and paid for frivously because Appeal Judge falls under same Law 28usca 454. Money should be reimburse to Plaintiff for appeal and claims in suit that was dismiss also should return, due to judges breaking the law and constitutional rights by Fraud. This act is infringement upon rights by Usurpation and malicious and deceptive act that has lead to Actual Fraud. This indeed by design, which is to cheat Plaintiff. Please see Western District of Louisiana Court record, Plaintiff filed numerous motion and Writs and was never answered, everything was to the opposing party, objection to the summary judgment, and to dimiss the suitwas objected with law, not case or procedural rules, Plaintiff filed writ for saving to suitors jury trial and nothing was never said, said just R&R from mag. judge to dismiss claim without prejudice, and James D. Cain Jr. engaged in Law by dismissing without Prejudice and closing case. Plaintiff has been denied substantive rights to regulate his suit in the manner he would like, because Judge and Mag. Judge dont have Authority.

Reasoning for Grant Writ and Suit...

1. Because suit out of U.S. Judge & Mag. Judge Authority 28 usca 454 &28 usca632(A) and The court is an U.S. Const. Art.I Sec.8 Legislative court and they committed Fraud and High Misdemeanor and violated substantive due process 5th amend & 7th amend of right to trial by jury in civil suit exceeding twenty dollars and was Malum in se and Malum Prohibitum.
2. These are U.S. Const. Amend claims, so this is a constitutional tort and claims has to be addressed in a constitutional court, which is the United States Supreme Court.
3. Plaintiff Sui Juris, JEREMY D. FOSTER is in the right court by transfer of action, Forum Conveniens 28usca 1406(a) cure or waiver of defects and 5usca 703 Form and Venue of proceeding.

Conclusion...

First and foremost I thank the United States Supreme Court for there time, 2nd This is a preemption matter and this is Res in status, object of right and subject matter. The state of Louisiana is under color of authority and has violated 18 usca 242 Deprivation of rights, under color of authority and color of law. The tortfeasor in this suit are under and part of a DeFacto Doctrine which assume authority, So they can be sued and liable for these action. There actions are in characterazition with the United States Constitution and Laws and U.S. Judges or Mag. Judge don't have authority to over see this suit, because of 28usca 454. These are laws in this suit and not procedural rules, so judge and Mag. Judge violated 28 usca 2072(b) by abridging substantive law. I Pray that the United States Supreme Court, blessed...

The petition for a Writ of Prohibition should be granted along with Transfer of venue for this action.

28 USCA 1746

I DECLARE SUI JURIS, JEREMY D. FOSTER UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWSOF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. EXECUTED ON DATE: 9-25-24.

SIGNATURE: Jeremy D. Foster