

No.

24-5731

ORIGINAL

IN THE

Supreme COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Ex Parte, Sui Juris, JEREMY D. FOSTER- Petition

FILED  
SEP 30 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

On Petition for a writ of Prohibition under 28usca 1651(a) and Forum Conveniens, to transfer of action due to 28usca 454 Practice of law by Justice or Judge for Susca 703.

Petition for Writ of Prohibition under 28usca 1651(a) and 28usca 1406(a) Forum Conveniens, transfer of action due to 28usca 454 Practice of Law by Justice and Judge for Susca 703 Form and Venue of proceeding.

Sui Juris, JEREMY D. FOSTER

Case No:24-cv-00401

670 BellHill Road

Homer, La 71040

Question(s) Presented

1. Why have Judge's of the Western District of Louisiana (Lake Charles) Division been Practicing Law?
2. Why have Plaintiff substantive rights been violated by procedural rules?
3. Why is Plaintiff seeking Writ of Prohibition under rule 20.3(a) for Extra- Ordinary writ under U.S. Supreme court rules 28USCA 1651(a)?
4. Why didnt Judge and Mag. Judge change Forum 28usca 1631 when they seen that the suit was a constitutional violation and Plaintiff was stating substantive Law?
5. Why did Mag. Judge commit Fraud on court on 5-21-2024?

## List of Parties

1. Curtis Harper
2. John Stanley
3. Jamichael Grier
4. LaTechia Mack

---

## Related Cases

NONE.....

---

## Table of Contents

Opinion Below

Jurisdiction

Constitutional and Statutory Provision Involved

Statement of the case

Reason for Granting the Writ

Conclusion

Index to Appendices.

1. Status check with notes and arrows of motion that was filed and violated substantive rights by not answering.
2. R&R of mag. Judge dismissal without prejudice
3. Denial of Writ of error Coram Nobis.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Petition for Writ of Prohibition and 28 usca 1406(a)  
Forum Conveniens, transfer of action due 28usca 454  
Practice of Law by Justice and Judges and 5usca 703  
Form and venue of proceedings.

Opinion Below

None.....

Jurisdiction

28 usca 1651(a) Writs

a) The Supreme Court and all courts established by acts of congress may issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdiction and agreeable to the usage and principles of law.

U.S. Const. Art.III

Section 1. The Judicial power of the U.S. shall be vested in one Supreme Court and in such inferior courts.

Section 2. The judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and equity, arising under this Constitution, the laws of the U.S., Treaties made, or which shall be made under their Authority.

28 usca 1251(b)(2)

(b). The Supreme Court shall have original but not exclusive jurisdiction of,

2). All controversies between the United States and a State.

Constitutional and Statutory Provision involved

1. 28 usca 1651(a) Writs
2. 28 usca 1251(b)(2)
3. 28 usca 2072(b)
4. 28 usca 632(a)
5. 26 usca 7206(1)&(3) Fraud
6. 18 usca 242 Deprivation of rights, under color of Authority
7. 28 usca 454 Practice of law
8. 5 usca 703 Form and Venue

9. 28 usca 1406(a) cure or waiver of defects
10. 42 usca 1983 Deprivation of right, civil suit
11. U.S. Const. Art.I sec.8 clause 9
12. U.S. Const. Art.I sec.10, Impairing the obligation of contract,  
and Treaty clause.
13. U.S. Const. Art.III, Sec.1&2
14. U.S. Const. Art.VII Amend 5th, 7th, 8th, and 14th

STATEMENT OF CASE

Before the United States Supreme Court is a Writ of Prohibition under 28 usca 1651(A) and U.S. Supreme Court rule 20.3 and Forum Conveniens 28usca 1406(a) transfer of action, 5usca 703 Form and venue of proceeding due to 28 usca454 Practice of Law by justices and judge. On 3-20-2024 Plaintiff Sui Juris, JEREMY D. FOSTER filed 1983 lawsuit for David Wade Correctional Center destroying my property, 14th amendment violation and holding me in Confinement (cellblocks) due to lawsuit that was filed on Allen Correctional Center, 8th amendment cruel and unusual punishment. On 4-17-2024 Mag. Judge McClusky violated U.S. Const. Art.I Sec.10 by sending memorandum order which is a contract. Law Impairing Obligation of Contract clause. In this suit against the tortfeasor of D.W.C.C I address the suit how I wanted it and Jurisdiction of 1333(1) of admiralty court, official and individual capacity, I outlined the simple for a reason, because Law is simple. Plaintiff sent a amended jurisdiction when he notice that suit was in 1331 Federal Question. Upon doing this Mag. Judge commits fraud by saying there is no jurisdiction of ADMIRALTY, MARITIME or PRIZE jurisdiction- 5-21-24. This is Malum Prohibitum and Malum in se has started. Now Plaintiff did several writ of In Pais and U.S. Judge Terry agreed with Magistrate and then dimissed claims of official capacity, imprisonment of the defendants, dismiss three(3) Defendants off the suit, Neil which is no longer at this Facility, Malcolm and Kristen Harper. This is violation of Substantive Due Process of 5th amendment. Substantive rights define, create and regulate my suit. This suit was define when these Tortious acts violated my constitutional rights, so the suit of 1983 was created on 3-20-2024 and Due Process is for me to regulate this in abcourt

of law, so I can win the suit. Now upon my recent finding of my study law , The U.S. Judges and Mag. Judge has violated 28usca 2072(b) by abridging substantive rights, but upon my recent finding of 28usca 454 they cant engage in the Practice of law and Mag.Judge is under 28usca 632(a)&(b). The Judge have no authority and the Western District of Louisiana is a Legislative court created by U.S. Const. Art.I Sec8, clause9, So Sui Juris, FOSTER has fully understood that the Art.III court is a Constitutional court, so this is a U.S. Supreme court matter and a Chief Justice has to preside over this matter, which is Preemption and form Res( object of rights and subject matter). Now 8-20-2024 Defendants in this case sent a motion to dismiss for reason of Plaintiff not filing A.R.P., upon recieving this on 8-22-2024 Sui Juris, FOSTER sent objection to the motion to dimiss in the form of Writ of In Pais, Estoppel by objection to defendants motion and Pursuant to 28usca 1406(a) Forum Conveniens to the United States Supreme Court under 28usca 1651(a) for Transfer of action due to 28usca 454 for writ of Prohibition. This was sent to the Western District of Louisiana(Shreveport Division) and there Attorney Jay P. Adams.- copy is installed in this Petition for Writ of Prohibition. The Mag. Judge and U.S. Judge has been Malum in se and Malum Prohibitum in this legal action, I would like to have my claims back, so other defendants that was in this case can be served. 5usca 703 Form and venue- says that judicial review is the special statutory review proceeding relevant to the subject matter in a court specified by statute or in the absence or inadequacy thereof, any applicable form of legal action, including action for declaratory judgments or writs of Prohibitory or mandatory injunction or Habeas Corpus, in a court of competent jurisdiction.

Reasoning for Grant Writ and Suit...

1. Because suit out of U.S. Judge & Mag. Judge Authority 28 usca 454 &28 usca632(A) and The court is an U.S. Const. Art.I Sec.8 Legislative court and they committed Fraud and High Misdemeanor and violated substantive due process 5th amend & 7th amend of right to trial by jury in civil suit exceeding twenty dollars and was Malum in se and Malum Prohibitum.
2. These are U.S. Const. Amend claims, so this is a constitutional tort and claims has to be addressed in a constitutional court, which is the United States Supreme Court.
3. Plaintiff Sui Juris, JEREMY D. FOSTER is in the right court by transfer of action, Forum Conveniens 28usca 1406(a) cure or waiver of defects and 5usca 703 Form and Venue of proceeding.

Conclusion..

First and foremost I thank the U.S. Supreme Court for there time., secondly(2), 2nd this is a preemption matter and this is Res in status, object of rights and subject matter. The state of Louisiana is under color of authority and has violated 18usca 242 Deprivation of rights, under color of authority and color of law. The tortfeasor in this suit are under and part of a DeFacto Doctrine which assume authority, so they can be sued and Liable for these action. There actions are in characterization with the United States Constitution and Laws and U.S. judges or Mag. Judge don't have authority to over see this suit, because of 28usca 454. These are laws in this suit and not Procedural rules, so judge and Mag. Judge violated 28 usca 2072(b) by abrdiging substantive law. I Pray the U.S. Supreme Court is Honorable and be blessed.....

The petition for a writ of Prohibition should be granted along with Transfer of venue for this action.

28usca 1746

I DECLARE SUI JURIS, JEREMY D. FOSTER UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. EXECUTED ON DATE: 9/25/24.

SIGNATURE

