

24-5729
No. _____

ORIGINAL

FILED
AUG 09 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JEROME COAST JR. — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

THE STATE OF GEORGIA et al. RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Review The jury verdict & Appeal

Georgia Supreme Court & Chatham County Superior Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JEROME COAST JR.
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 3877
(Address)

JACKSON, Ga. 30233
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
SEP 11 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

RECEIVED
AUG - 9 2024
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED (1-10)

- 1) I WAS CONVICTED ON ① MALICE MURDER, ② FELONY MURDER, ③ POSSESSION OF A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION OF A FELONY O.C.G.A 16-11-106
 ④ FELONY MURDER PREDICATED ON POSSESSION OF A FIREARM BY A CONVICTED FELON
 ⑤ POSSESSION OF A FIREARM BY A CONVICTED FELON DURING ATTEMPTED CRIME O.C.G.A § 16-11-133

1) QUESTION How WAS THIS POSSIBLE? WHEN I DIDN'T HAVE A GUN, NO GUN WAS EVER FOUND OR LINK TO ME OR THIS MURDER. THE STATE NEVER PROVIDED OR CAME UP WITH A MOTIVE OR A REASON WHY I WOULD WANT TO KILL MICHAEL JAMES. HE WAS ONE OF MY BEST FRIENDS SINCE I WAS KIDS. AND ALL THE WITNESSES TOLD THE JURY THERE WAS NO BEEF OR PROBLEMS BETWEEN US WE WAS BEST FRIENDS? IF THERE HAD BEEN ANY BEEF OR PROBLEMS THEY WOULD HAVE KNOWN OF IT. PLUS A WITNESS JAMES MOORE SAID THE KILLER FLEEING THE SCENE AFTER WE CRASHED, AND TOLD THEM IT WASN'T ME. HOW WAS THEY ABLE TO CONVICT ME OF THIS?

2) QUESTION: THEY CHARGE ME WITH POSSESSION OF A FIREARM BY A CONVICTED FELON DURING ATTEMPTED CRIME. THE ONLY CRIME THAT WAS ATTEMPTED IN THIS WHOLE SITUATION IS WHEN THE KILLER ATTEMPTED TO HIJACK JAMES MOORE FOR HIS CAR. JAMES MOORE TOLD THEM IT WASN'T ME. HOW WAS I CHARGE CONVICTED & SENTENCE TO 15 YEARS CONSECUTIVE TO LIFE W/O PAROLE FOR THIS?

3) How did Georgia supreme court say they reviewed the FACTS & EVIDENCE & they HAVE ALL THE FACTS OF THE CASE WRONG?

5) Shouldn't they have let James Moore testimony be part of the facts and evidence? Not struck it from the record & the jury mind? He is the thing to a eye witness beside myself.

6) They judge the lead Detective sit at the table with the DA & listen to every witness testimony then get on the stand and testify at the end ~~was~~ that legal? Aren't all witnesses suppose to remain sequestered?

7) Shouldn't the state have dropped the charges when James Moore told them it wasn't me & when the found out I had on white T-shirt & gray pants?

8) Shouldn't the calls from Chatham County & Dorval County Jail between me & Kenyama Moore been played for the jury to hear?

9) Shouldn't the text messages Kenyama Moore text me I was arrested saying she so worried about me getting calls from me be played for the jury to hear?

9) Shouldn't the text messages KENYAMA Moore sent me on the day I was arrested saying she so worried about me. She keeps getting calls from people saying I did ~~this~~ off been shown to the jury? ~~been apart~~

10) Shouldn't the MPMP...

10) Shouldn't the names & Identity of the Detective KENNETH Moore
& Her sister initially called ~~WITNESSES~~ they said they had
information about a murder & the fact that the both
initially lied about these names and other things been
revealed to the jury?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Judge PENNY HAAS FREESMAN Chatham County Superior Court
 Prosecutor ISABEL PAULEY Chatham County District Attorney
 Trial Lawyer DAVID M. BURNS "Court Appointed"
 Appeal Lawyer AMY IHRIG "Public Defender"
 Georgia Supreme Court

RELATED CASES

- 1) BRADY V. MARYLAND 373 U.S. 83 (1963)
- 2) UNITED STATES V. AGURS 427 U.S. 97 (1976)
- 3) STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON 466 U.S. 668 (1984)
- 4) MOONEY V. HOLAHAN 294 U.S. 103
- 5) GIDDEN V. WAINWRIGHT 372 U.S. 335 (1963)

5

PAGE NUMBER

- [illegible]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>COAST V. THE STATE #S19A0180 Decided March 19, 2019 Georgia Supreme Court They have all the facts of the case wrong & this was not appeal I filed</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>JURY trial decision 8-27-2016 & sentencing 8-2-2016 Motion # ERH-446501 Chatham County Superior Court</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>Brief</i>
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

JEROME CONST JR

V.

The State of Georgia et al

CR14-14651 Docket Chatham County
Superior Court
519A0180 Ga, Supreme Court

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at # CR14-14651 Docket 5-27-2016 8-2-2016; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the ~~Georgia Supreme Court~~ ^{Chatham County Superior Court} Georgia Supreme court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 1) THE PROPER STANDARD FOR MATERIALITY MUST REFLECT OUR OVERRIDING CONCERN WITH THE JUSTICE OF THE FINDING OF GUILT. SUCH A FINDING IS PERMISSIBLE ONLY IF SUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE ESTABLISHING GUILT BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT DID NOT OTHERWISE EXIST, CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR HAS BEEN COMMITTED. UNITED STATES V. AGURS 427 U.S. 97 (1976)
- 2) THE BENCHMARK FOR JUDGING ANY CLAIM OF INEFFECTIVENESS MUST BE WHETHER COUNSEL'S CONDUCT SO UNDERMINED THE PROPER FUNCTIONING OF THE ADVERSARIAL PROCESS THAT THE TRIAL CANNOT BE RELIED ON AS HAVING PRODUCED A JUST RESULT STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON 466 U.S. 668 (1984)
- 3) THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT A CRIMINAL CONVICTION BE OVERTURN BECAUSE OF THE ACTUAL INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. 462 U.S. 1105 (1983)
- 4) THE UNDISCLOSED EVIDENCE DEMONSTRATES THE PROSECUTIONS CASE INCLUDES PURJURED TESTIMONY & THAT THE PROSECUTION KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF THE PURJURY. THE COURT HAS CONSISTANTLY HELD THAT A CONVICTION OBTAINED BY THE KNOWING USE OF PURJURED TESTIMONY IS FUNDAMENTALLY UNFAIR & MUST BE SET ASIDE IF THERE IS ANY REASONABLE LIKELY HOOD THAT THE FALSE TESTIMONY COULD HAVE AFFECTED THE JUDGEMENT OF THE JURY MOONEY V. HOLAHAN 294 U.S. 103
- 5) IF THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT CHANCE THAT THE WITHHELD EVIDENCE DEVELOPED BY SKILLED COUNSEL WOULD HAVE INDUCED A REASONABLE DOUBT IN THE MINDS OF ENOUGH JURORS TO AVOID A CONVICTION THEN THE JUDGEMENT MUST BE SET ASIDE. UNITED STATES V. AGURS 427 U.S. 97 (1976) & BRIDY V. MARYLAND 373 U.S. 83 (1963)
- 6) I CHALLENGE THE JUDGEMENT ON THE GROUNDS OF EVIDENTIARY INSUFFICIENCY
- 7) DEFICIENT CONDUCT OF COUNSEL WAS LIKELY TO HAVE AFFECTED THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING. COUNSEL'S REPRESENTATION FELL BELOW AN OBJECTIVE STANDARD OF REASONABLENESS
- 8) 5th, 6th, 8th & 14th ADMENDMENT RIGHTS VIOLATED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

IN April 2014 PETITIONER JEROME COAST JR. WAS WITH KENYWANA "NIKKI" MOORE, WE PULLED UP ON SYLVAN DRIVE IN A WHITE BUICK ENCLAVE TO MEET MICHEAL JAMES. I GOT OUT THE BUICK ENCLAVE WITH HER & GOT IN THE CREAM TAHOE WITH MICHEAL JAMES AND ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL. I WAS THERE TO HELP MICHEAL JAMES CLEAR UP A LIL-SITUATION HE HAD GOING ON & WE HAD ANOTHER LIL-BISNESS DEAL TO TAKE CARE OF. THINGS TURNED FOR THE WORST, MICHEAL JAMES & THE DUDE STARTED ARGUING & THE DUDE PULLED OUT HIS GUN & STARTED SHOOTING... FEARING FOR MY LIFE & HOPING I COULD SAVE BRA & DEFLECT HIM FROM SHOOTING HIM MORE TIMES, I JUMP ACROSS THE SEAT & GRAB HIS GUN ARM. WE GOT IN A STRUGGLE/TUSSLE/FIGHT... MORE SHOTS RING OUT & BRA GOT HIT AGAIN & WE CRASHED INTO ANOTHER CAR. I BIT THE DUDE & PUNCHED HIM TO GET HIM OFF ME... THE CRASH KNOCKED US OFF BALANCE. HE FELL BACK & HIT THE DASH BOARD & DROPPED THE GUN. I KNEW I COULDN'T GET TO IT, WHEN HE WENT TO GRAB IT I JUMPED OUT THE TAHOE & RAN FOR MY LIFE. I HEARD A FEW SHOTS BEHIND ME BUT I DIDN'T LOOK BACK.

I RAN TO SAMSON DASH HOUSE, GOT A CHANGE OF CLOTHES & CALLED MY GIRLFRIEND KENYWANA MOORE TO COME & GET ME... WE WENT TO McDONALDS & TO THE ECONO LODGE IN RICHMOND HILL. THEN SHE WENT BACK TO GET HER KIDS & SISTER READY FOR THE TRIP WE HAD ALREADY PLANNED TO GO TO ORLANDO FLORIDA NICKALODEAN HOTEL/RESORT THEY CAME BACK TO GET ME AT LIKE 7:00 AM OR 8:00 AM.

THE KILLER TRIED TO HIGHJACK A DUDE NAME JAMES MOORE FOR HIS CAR. WHEN THE POLICE ARRIVED ON THE CRASHED OUT MURDER SCENE JAMES MOORE WENT TO THEM & TOLD THEM HE HEARD GUN SHOTS & LOUD CRASH & ~~THEN~~ SEEN A DUDE RUNNING AROUND THE CORNER IN A RED T-SHIRT & BLACK PANTS WITH GUN IN HAND, DUDE SPOTTED HIM TAKING A PISS ON THE SIDE OF HIS CAR & TRIED TO HIGHJACK HIM FOR HIS CAR. HE SAID HE WAS 6'1 OR 6'2 & WIEGHED 180-190 LBS

DETECTIVES WENT TO MICHEAL JAMES GRANDMOTHER HOUSE ON SYLVAN DRIVE TO NOTIFY THE FAMILY OF HIS DEATH. LEE TREMBLE TOLD THEM HE SEEN ME COME IN THE HOUSE WITH A RED T-SHIRT & A GUN IN MY WAIST-BAND. AND ME & MICHEAL JAMES WAS TALKING IN THE BACK OF THE HOUSE & LEAVE TOGETHER. (THIS WAS MISTAKEN IDENTITY THE DUDE HE SAW WAS NOT ME.)

ON THE SAME NIGHT THE DETECTIVES WENT BACK TO JAMES MOORE WITH A PHOTO LINE UP WITH ME IN IT & HE TOLD THEM HE DID NOT SEE THE GUY HE SAW RUNNING FROM THE MURDER SCENE. THEY ALSO WENT TO HIM 2 WEEKS LATER AFTER I WAS ARRESTED WITH ANOTHER PHOTO LINE UP & HE TOLD THEM NO HE DIDN'T SEE THE GUY. THEY ALSO BROUGHT HIM TO TRIAL & HE TOLD THEM NO IT WAS NOT ME. & HE STATED FOR THE RECORD IF HE EVER SAW THAT DUDE AGAIN HE WOULD RECOGNIZE HIM. (NEXT PAGE →

AFTER JAMES MOORE TESTIMONY, INSTEAD OF THE D.A. DOING THE RIGHT THING & DISMISSING THE CASE & ACQUITTING ME OF ALL CHARGES, SHE LIED & TOLD THE JURY THE 2 SITUATIONS WASN'T CONNECTED & THE AREA IS A HIGH CRIME AREA & THE ATTEMPTED HIGHJACKING OF JAMES MOORE FOR HIS CAR WAS JUST SOMETHING THAT HAPPEN AT THE SAME TIME AS THE MURDER. THEN SHE TOLD THE JUDGE TO STRIKE IT FROM THE RECORD & THEY TOLD THE JURY TO STRIKE IT FROM THEIR MIND & NOT CONSIDER IT AS PART OF THE EVIDENCE OR FACTS OF THE CASE WHEN THEY DID DELIBERATIONS. LIKE IT WAS NOT PART OF THE EVENTS THAT HAPPEN.

THE POLICE & THE STATE USED THIS SCENARIO TO GET A WARRANT FOR MY ARREST & TO GET A GRAND JURY INDICTMENT SAYING I HAD ON A RED T-SHIRT & DARK PANTS & I KILLED MICHAEL JAMES, WE CRASHED & I TRIED TO HIGHJACK JAMES MOORE FOR HIS CAR. ITS IN THE WARRANT, INDICTMENT, GRAND JURY MINUTES & DISCOVERY. THEY ALSO CHARGED & CONVICTED ME OF POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY CONVICTED FELON DURING ATTEMPTED CRIME & SENTENCE ME TO 15 YEARS CONSECUTIVE TO LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE FOR THE MURDER CHARGE.

KENYANA NIKKI MOORE TOLD THE JURY: I WAS WITH HER & WE HAD BEEN TOGETHER ALL THAT DAY. & SHE TOLD THEM I HAD ON A WHITE T-SHIRT & GRAY JEANS & I DID NOT HAVE A GUN ON ME & I NEVER WENT IN THE HOUSE. SHE ALSO TOLD THEM I DIDN'T HAVE A GUN ON ME WHEN I GOT OUT THE BUICK ENCLAVE WITH HER & GOT IN THE TAHOE WITH BRA. & I DIDN'T HAVE A GUN ON ME WHEN I GOT BACK IN THE SUV WITH HER.

THE STATES WHOLE CASE IS BASED ON KENYANA NIKKI MOORE TOLD THEM I TOLD HER I DID IT... THATS A LIE, I DIDN'T TELL HER THAT, AND THE EVIDENCE LOST, HID & WITHHELD FROM THE JURY BY MY LAWYER & THE STATE D.A. WOULD HAVE PROVED THIS. ALL WITNESSES TOLD THE JURY THERE WAS NO BEEF OR PROBLEMS BETWEEN ME & MICHAEL JAMES & WE WAS BEST FRIENDS. ANY REASONABLE MINDED THINKING PERSON AFTER HEARING THE EVENTS & EXAMING THE FACTS WILL COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THE DODGE RUNNING FROM THE MURDER SCENE WITH GUN THAT TRIED TO HIGHJACK JAMES MOORE FOR HIS CAR IS THE KILLER.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION (1-15)

1) THE WIEght OF the EVIDENCE does NOT support this conviction ANY REASONABLE MIND THINKING PERSON AFTER HEARING the EVENTS & EXAMINING the FACTS will come to the conclusion that the dude RUNNING FROM the CRASHED out MURDER SCEN with GUN IN HAND IM Red T-shirt & BLACK pants IS the KILLER, IM POSITIVE the JURY would have come to this conclusion IF IT WASNT FOR - PROSECUTOR MISCONDUCT & INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF MY LAWYER. BECAUSE EVEN WITH ALL the EVIDENCE NOT WITHHELD & NOT PRESENTED by my lawyer. ~~IT IS STILL CLEAR I DID NOT COMMIT THIS MURDER.~~

2) I WAS DEPRIVE OF A FAIR TRIAL & CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WAS VIOLATED AT TRIAL & ON APPEAL & I WAS DEPRIVED OF EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AT TRIAL & ON APPEAL. BOTH MY TRIAL LAWYER & APPEAL LAWYER WAS BOTH COURT APPOINTED & BOTH INEFFECTIVE IN THEIR ASSISTANCE & MADE ERRORS NO REASONABLE MINDED LAWYER WOULD HAVE MADE. AND MY APPEAL LAWYER DIDNT FILE NO OF THE CLAIMS I TOLD HER TO, & SHE EVEN GOT THE FACTS WRONG ON EVERYTHING. I'VE BEEN LOCKED UP 10 YEARS FOR A MURDER I DIDNT COMMIT. SOMEONE NEEDS TO REVIEW THIS & HELP ME GET OUT OF HERE. BOTH TRIAL & APPEAL LAWYERS WAS COURT APPOINTED & INEFFECTIVE.

3) → ALL THE WITNESSES TOLD THE JURY THAT THERE WAS NO BEEF OR PROBLEMS BETWEEN ME & MICHAEL JAMES AND WE WAS BEST FRIENDS. I DIDNT HAVE A MOTIVE OR REASON TO KILL MICHAEL JAMES, & I DIDNT EVEN HAVE A WEAPON. IF I DID MICHAEL JAMES WOULD STILL BE ALIVE & THE KILLER WOULD BE DEAD.

4) THE GEORGIA SUPREME COURT HAS ALL THE FACTS WRONG & EVEN THOUGH THE LAWYER DIDNT FILE NONE OF THE OTHER CLAIMS I TOLD HER TO LIKE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF

.13

OF COUNSEL & PROSECUTOR MISS-CONDUCT, IDENTIFICATION, FALSE IDENTIFICATION, & DENIED A FAIR TRIAL ETC. THE JUDGE DID ABUSE HER DISCRETION AND SHE SHOULD HAVE ALLOWED ME TO FIRE MY LAWYER... & SHE SHOULD HAVE CALLED A MISTRIAL AFTER ME & MY LAWYER GO TO ARGUING IN FRONT OF THE JURY 2 TIMES. I BELIEVE THE JUDGE HAD TO SEND THE JURY OUT ON ONE OCCASION... THE JUDGE SHOULD HAVE CALLED A MISTRIAL AFTER THE JURY SEEN & HEARD THAT I TRIED TO FIRE HIM ~~ON~~ 3 OCCASIONS AFTER I REALIZE HE WAS SELLING ME OUT/CROSSING ME OUT, AFTER HE DIDN'T PRESENT NONE OF THE EVIDENCE WE HAD PLAN AS PART OF OUR TRIAL STRATEGY TO REFUT & REBUT THE TESTIMONY OF KENYUANA MOORE... ~~AND~~ HE SAID HE LOST THE PHONE CALLS & DIDN'T PRESENT NONE OF THE OTHER EVIDENCE. AND HE LET THE D.A. TELL THE JUDGE TO STRIKE JAMES MOORE TESTIMONY FROM THE RECORD AFTER HE TOLD THEM IT WASN'T ME THAT ~~HE SAW RUNNING FROM THE SCENE~~ AND THEN THEY "JUDGE & D.A." TOLD THE JURY NOT TO CONSIDER HIS TESTIMONY DURING DELIBERATIONS & THE D.A. TOLD THE JURY THE 2 SITUATIONS WASN'T CONNECTED. BUT THEY KNOW THEY INITIALLY SAID I HAD ON A RED T-SHIRT & BLACK PANTS. AND I KILLED MICHAEL JAMES. WE CRASHED AND I TRIED TO HIJACK JAMES MOORE FOR HIS CAR. I NEVER REPORTED WHAT HAPPEN TO POLICE. BUT JAMES MOORE SAW THE KILLER FLEEING THE SCENE WITH GUN IN HAND ~~NO~~ ~~HE~~ ~~TRIED~~ TO JACK HIM FOR HIS CAR. THEY USED THIS TO GET A WARRENT FOR MY ARREST & TO GET A GRAND JURY INDICTMENT. THATS WHEN ME & MY LAWYER GOT TO ARGUING FOR THE FIRST TIME. IT WAS NOT AFTER THE DETECTIVE GOT ON THE STAND, & I NEVER ONCE SAID ~~HE~~ ~~WAS~~ A GREAT JOB. IF THAT IS ANYWHERE IN THE RECORD ITS A TYPO OR A OUTRIGHT LIE BY THE COURT REPORTER.

- 5) THE LAWYER WAS NOT RETAINED HE WAS COURT APPOINTED
- 6) LEE TREMBLE DID NOT SEE ME COME IN THE HOUSE WITH A GUN. I NEVER WENT IN THE HOUSE
- 7) I DID NOT LIVE WITH MY GRANDMOTHER AND A FAMILY FRIEND ON SYLVAN DR. MY FRIEND MICHAEL JAMES DID.
- 8) MICHAEL JAMES DID NOT COME TO MY HOUSE TO WATCH A FOOTBALL GAME. FOOTBALL SEASON IS OVER IN APRIL.
- 9) I ~~DO~~ CHALLENGE ALL THE EVIDENCE, LACK OF EVIDENCE? I CHALLENGE THE JUDGMENT ON THE GROUNDS OF EVIDENTIARY INSUFFICIENCY

- ~~10) I did not frivolously change my mind midstream in the middle of trial to~~
 10) I did not frivolously change my mind midstream in the middle of trial to disrupt the trial or to offset a conviction. It was a real issue. I couldn't believe my lawyer said he lost the calls & didn't present none of the other evidence. & was actually crossing me out. The state convicted me on one statement alone my exgirlfriend KENYWANA Nikki Moore told them I told her I did it. In legal terms KENYWANA "Nikki" Moore testimony is what you would call a rebuttable presumption. A presumption is defined as something the court takes to be true without facts or evidence. Some presumptions are rebuttable you can overcome a rebuttable presumption by offering & presenting evidence to show that its not true. I never told her I killed Michael James, the evidence my lawyer lost hid & didn't present to the jury would have rebutted and impeach her testimony & revealed a lot of other things & exposed the lies & the fact that her testimony was coerced by detective & DA with threats of charging her with accessory to murder. And they struck James Moore testimony from the record & didn't consider it.
- ~~11) Even with all the evidence lost hid and not present to the jury by my lawyer~~
 11) ~~Even with all the evidence lost hid and not present to the jury by my lawyer~~ & the state I still should have been acquitted of all charges. 1) I didn't have a gun, nobody saw me with a gun & I didn't kill Michael James. 2) I didn't have a motive reason or even a weapon to kill Michael James & the state never provided one or produced one or found any. All witnesses told the jury that there was no beef or problems between us and that we was best friends. 3) A witness James Moore heard the gun shots, heard the car crash & seen the killer fleeing the scene with gun in hand dressed in red T-shirt & black pants. Height 6'1 or 6'2 weigh 180-190 maybe 200
- 12.) A family member or family friend Lee Tremble said he saw a dude a red T-shirt with a gun in his waistband ~~was~~ talking & leaving the house with Michael James. He told the police it was me he saw. That tells me he didn't see his face and just assumed it was me
- 13) That makes 2 other people seen the dude in red T-shirt & dark pants with gun besides myself. This dude ~~was~~ red & black was in the Tahoe with Michael James when I got in & I saw him kill my friend with my own eyes.
- 14) I with with KENYWANA Nikki Moore at the time Lee Tremble said he saw me come in the house with gun. And KENYWANA Moore told them I had on a white T-shirt & gray jeans & I never went in the house. And I did not have a gun on me & I did not have a gun on me when I got out the Buick Enclave with her & got in the Tahoe with Michael James & the dude. And I didn't have a gun on me when I got back in the Buick Enclave with her.

- 14) THE WRIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE DOES NOT SUPPORT THIS CONVICTION
I WAS DEPRIVED OF A FAIR TRIAL & CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATED
AT TRIAL & ON APPEAL & I WAS DEPRIVED & DENIED EFFECTIVE
ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AT TRIAL & ON APPEAL.
- 15) GEORGIA SUPREME COURT SAID THEY REVIEWED THE WHOLE CASE BUT THEY
COULDN'T HAVE BECAUSE THEY HAVE ALL THE FACTS WRONG.
& THE LAWYER DIDN'T FILE NONE OF THE CLAIMS I TOLD HER TOO.
I FILED MY OWN MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PRO'SC BUT IT WAS
NEVER ANSWERED.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerome Coast Jr. / Jerome Coast Jr.

Date: 8-22-2024