



March 11, 2025

**Via E-File**

Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Re: No. 24-570; *Dawes v. City of Dallas*; *Christopher Hess*; and *Jason Kimpel*; **Notice that no Reply in Support of the Petition for Writ of Certiorari will be filed**

Dear Mr. Harris:

This letter is to inform the Court that Petitioners Mary Dawes, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Decedent Genevive A. Dawes, Alfredo Saucedo, and Virgilio Rosales will not be filing a reply brief in support of their Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

The issue has been adequately presented by Petitioners' opening brief. The Brief in Opposition filed by Christopher Hess and Jason Kimpel offers no rational basis to support the District Court's decision to grant summary judgment or the Fifth Circuit's affirmance of that summary judgment in the face of objective, video evidence that the shooting of Dawes and Rosales was objectively unreasonable. Rather, Hess and Kimpel continue to justify the decision by pointing just to their subjective beliefs. In the process, Respondents prove Petitioners' point: in a qualified immunity summary judgment, an officer's subjective belief should never negate or overcome objective, video evidence demonstrating that there was no danger to the officers or others at the time of the shooting.

Scott Harris, Clerk  
March 11, 2025  
Page 2 of 2

Sincerely,

*/s/ Shelby J. White*

Shelby J. White  
[swhite@dpslawgroup.com](mailto:swhite@dpslawgroup.com)  
*Counsel of Record for Petitioners*

cc: Mark E. Goldstucker, [mgoldstucker@gmail.com](mailto:mgoldstucker@gmail.com)  
Nicholas D. Palmer, [nicholas.palmer@dallas.gov](mailto:nicholas.palmer@dallas.gov)