

No. 24-5590

**ORIGINAL**

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

MAY 31 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

BENJAMIN BOSTON — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

MELODY MADDOX — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

BENJAMIN BOSTON  
(Your Name)

4415 MEMORIAL DR  
(Address)

DECATUR, GA 30032  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A  
(Phone Number)

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

COURTS STATED "YOUNG" BUT "DOMBROWSKI"  
IS OVERRULING "YOUNGER ABSTENTION" WHY FEDERAL  
COURT RULE WRONGLY?

## LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

"DOMBROWSKI DOCTRINE"

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	FEDERAL COURTS RULING
APPENDIX B	STATE COURT - (Supreme Court of Georgia) RULING
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

DOMBROWSKI DOCTRINE - CASE DOMBROWSKI

### STATUTES AND RULES

RULE FEDERAL COURTS CAN "INJOIN" STATE CASES  
TO STOP A VIOLATION OF RIGHTS

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the FEDERAL COURT court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 2/3/24.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 3/5/24.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Dombrowski Doctrine



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Federal court stated "Younger Abstention Doctrine"  
But "Dombrowski Doctrine" over rules "Younger"

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THIS PETITION IS needed due to I've been  
IN JAIL 40 MONTHS WITH NO COURT DATE  
WHICH IS A UNREASONABLE DELAY UNDER "POGGETT V. US"

### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Benjamin Boston

Date: 6/14/24