

No. 24-5582

In the Supreme Court of the United States

IN RE CLEMENT MOSSERI, PETITIONER



**MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION BEFORE CHIEF
JUDGE JOHN GLOVER ROBERTS JR. AND THE COURT
AND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF AN ATTORNEY**

**In Re: The District Court for The Southern District of New York
The Second Circuit Court of Appeals
and The United States of America et al.**

**Clement Mosseri, Pro se
7 West 21st Street #1708
New York, New York 10010
954 993-2625**

Mosseri, Petitioner, is seeking this Motion for Reconsideration along with other requests and certifies under penalty of perjury that it is submitted to this court are presented in good faith and not for delay. Signed below.

There are other substantial grounds not previously presented or submitted.

Petitioner is seeks the Clerk of Court to requests a response from all the parties.

I. Prior to this court sending back the Motion to Reconsider. This court contacted the presiding Judge Cronan in the SDNY and admonished him for languishing on this matter taking five years with no movement.

This case was commenced 6 years ago in State court where a Motion to Dismiss for failing to include a missing party, The United States or it's New York State representative.

The case was required to be dismissed in State court. The United States is required as a party to correct the statute that created double jeopardy on Mosseri. The State court illegally proceeded with the case without jurisdiction.

After no less than 18 due process violations and three conspiracies were committed, the case was removed to Federal Court, SDNY where the there was an acknowledgement of a Federal Question by the then Chief Judge and her replacement that there is a Federal Question. There are also other intervening facts. Civil Rights violations by State court.

There was never a random appointment of any judge by SDNY.

Judge Cronan asked the then Chief judge for an ex parte review and opinion. She determined that State court lacked jurisdiction and informed Judge Cronan not to transfer the case to State Court to dispose of the case as he wanted.

Judge Cronan abused his authority and remanded to State court because of his lack of competence and belief that non represented litigant have no standing in his court denying them basic legal rights under the law. His remand to a court that never had jurisdiction is illegal under Due Process and the law that rendered Judge Cronan without immunity for his acts.

While on appeal in Federal court Plaintiffs attorney Rose & Rose lied and improperly informed the State court to proceed when the Federal court still had jurisdiction.

Mosseri informed the State court of their lack of jurisdiction. If there was any doubt the State court is compelled to have a hearing on jurisdiction. The State court instead ignored Mosseri and proceeded anyway after Mosseri failing to give the State court any reasons to imply jurisdiction.

The State court set an ex parte eviction even though the court was aware there was no jurisdiction based on Mosseri's Motion to Dismiss for failing to name a necessary party as the record reflects and was raised by the State judge, the record is clear, State never had any jurisdiction and the State judge knew it before her decisions.

Mosseri again removed the case to Federal court informing the District court of the latest civil rights violations in the papers submitted with removal. There was an ex parte discussion on this matter between Judge Cronan and Plaintiffs attorneys Rose & Rose.

Plaintiff made a Motion for Remand. Instead of properly proceeding with this case Judge Cronan after this courts called Judge Cronan, illegally remanded the case to dispose the case and punish Mosseri. Civil Rights violation due process and other breeches.

The State court immediately issued an eviction order. An appeal of the remand was made to the 2nd Circuit along with an Emergency Motion for a Stay of on the State order.

And There it sits. This case could have been resolved in 3-6 months before a competent Judge that wants to hear the case fairly according to the rules of law.

Punishing Mosseri for his attempts to be heard and get redress that he is entitled to in his complaints, forced by this courts reprimand call of the presiding Judge Cronan in SDNY who felt compelled by this court to get rid of the case and illegally remanded the case to a court that never had jurisdiction, with now 21 due process violations and Four conspiracies violated Mosseri's civil Rights and knew the State court was abusing Mosseri's civil rights, this in addition to the Federal court. Judge Cronan lacks immunity for second time.

Instead of this court giving an opportunity for Petitioner to burnish the courts, this court throws feces at petitioner.

This court is required to correct its error by failing to inform Judge Cronan to properly proceed. After this courts call to Judge Cronan he felt compelled to get rid of the case at any cost.

II. A little more than 40 years, Mosseri was standing in Superiors court in a meeting. Superior informed Mosseri that he sought to correct with an act similar to Sodom and Gomorrah. This time more complete as is commonly referred to as Armageddon or end of times. Mosseri objected at the time and was given an opportunity to show it in a different light. Sodom and Gomorrah were destroyed with an advanced nuclear object more advance that is now available. As it was explained to me evidenced by Lots wife turning into a pillar of salt. My contact with Superior is ongoing on a daily basis.


I recently removed my objection. Justice and the I have an ample dossier to prove my assertions. Do a full vetting and fully open your eyes as I previously asked to realize what you or more accurately what most people don't believe exist. Right here watching and ready to take action.

III. As part of the continuing knowledge I was given, here is a story, prior to ACA being passed in a 4 to 5 decision by this courts one member was contacted by an individual to persuade. For the purpose of this story I give this individual my namesake Clement. If this story is proved then ACA is not valid given that this member is part of the five.

I seek to burnish the courts by attempting to provide a fair, impartial form that gives equal rights to all without limitations for any reasons. And have a definite plan to do so without any costs after initial setup of 2 years. A plan that relieves the courts of having to hear indigent pro se cases.

I therefore request that this court reconsider and request a response from all the parties. Allowing the matter to be heard by the court to be presented by an attorney that representation was granted in the lower court so that it is presented to your liking and provide what I have asked.

Respectfully submitted,
Dated January 18, 2025



Clement Mosseri, Pro se
7 West 21st Street #1708
New York, New York 10010
954 993-2625

CERTIFICATION

I, Clement Mosseri, Petitioner in this case is seeking this Motion for Reconsideration along with other requests and certifies under penalty of perjury that it is submitted to this court are presented in good faith and not for delay.

Respectfully submitted,
Dated January 18, 2025



Clement Mosseri, Pro se
7 West 21st Street #1708
New York, New York 10010
954 993-2625

In the Supreme Court of the United States

IN RE CLEMENT MOSSERI, PETITIONER

24-5582

**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI 24-5582
AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE**

I, Clement Mosseri, declare under penalty of perjury that I have served a copy of the attached, Motion To Reconsider To The District Court for The Southern District of New York, The Second Circuit Court of Appeals and The United States of America et al.

Upon:

Peter Rose of Rose & Rose 291 Broadway 13th Floor New York, N.Y. 10007


Solicitor General of the United States, Room 5614, Department of Justice, 950

Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D. C. 20530-0001.

By depositing said papers with proper first-class postage and giving said envelopes to the care of The US Postal Service for delivery.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated January 18, 2025



Clement Mosseri, Pro se

7 West 21st Street #1708

New York, New York 10010

954 993-262



**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

January 8, 2025

Clement Mosseri
7 West 21 Street
#1708
New York, NY 10010

RE: Mosseri v. 7 West 21 LI LLC
No: 22-6946

Dear Mr. Mosseri:

The petition for rehearing in the above-entitled case was postmarked November 25, 2024 and received December 3, 2024 and is herewith returned for failure to comply with Rule 44 of the Rules of this Court. The petition must briefly and distinctly state its grounds and must be accompanied by a certificate stating that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

You must also certify that the petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Please correct and resubmit as soon as possible. Unless the petition is submitted to this Office in corrected form within 15 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed. Rule 44.6.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:

Redmond K. Barnes
(202) 479-3022

Enclosures