

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

IN RE: WILLIAM A. GRAVEN, *PETITIONER*

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT; AND THE UNITED
STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA, PHOENIX
DIVISION, *in Graven v Snow, et al.*

**PETITIONER'S RULE 15.8 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF TO MY
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS in *Snow, et al.***

Synopsis: This Supplemental Brief is necessitated by the Court's rejection of 2 of my 3 recently submitted pleadings; and justified by, Rules 15.8; 15.2; and 15.3, as:

- 1.) I did not know at the time I filed my present Petition that the Court would reject my concurrently filed/referenced Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in *Graven v Brnovich et al*;
- 2.) I did not know at the time I filed my present Petition that the Court would reject my concurrently filed/referenced Petition for a Writ of Mandamus in *Graven v Harris*;
- 3.) My present Petition not only references and so incorporates both of those rejected pleadings (*see* the first/last page and no Appendix only, included from each rejected pleading in this present Petition as Appendix 4 and 8 [please *see* Note 1]), but it evolved from and is built-on the information and materials in those 2 rejected Petitions;
- 4.) There are Appendix in those rejected Petitions that were not included in this present Petition (believing it was not necessary to double-up on all), but are referenced in this Petition (i.e., those Appendix were also thereby incorporated into this Petition); and
- 5.) Without these 2 pleadings being made available, neither the Ninth Circuit nor the District Court will have seen the information and materials behind the present Petition.

Per Rule 15.8: "...or other intervening matter not available at the time of the party's last filing." This condition is certainly met by my then not knowing at filing of the now rejection of the 2 noted Petitions. I learned of the rejection of those 2 Petitions by their return in the Mail on 9/21/24. This Brief is filed within 30 days of Docketing (9/17/24).

William "Will" A. Graven, In Pro Se: Petitioner/Appellant/Plaintiff
2700 S. Woodlands Village Blvd.; Suite 300-251
Flagstaff, AZ 86001
Phone: 928-890-8825; Email: will@willgraven.com

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF BY PETITIONER

Introduction

Pursuant to this Court's Rule 15.8, Petitioner submits this Supplemental Brief to address information and materials detailed in my concurrently filed with the present Petition, but rejected Petition for Writ of Certiorari, in *Graven v Brnovich et al*, which was subsequent to my Denied Petition for a Writ of Mandamus in that matter [Case No. 23-7130]); and the information and materials detailed in my concurrently filed but rejected Petition for Writ of Mandamus, in *Graven v Harris*.

My present Petition not only references and thereby incorporated both of those rejected Pleadings and Appendix into this present Petition (*see* the first/last page and no Appendix only, included from each rejected pleading in this Petition as Appendix 4 and 8 [please *see* Note 1]), but it evolved from and is built-on/from those 2 rejected Petitions; and there are Appendix in those 2 Petitions that were not included in this Petition, but were referenced in this Petition.

Without these 2 pleadings being available, even if just as Exhibits to this Brief, neither the Ninth Circuit nor the District Court will have seen the information and materials behind the present Petition for their Responses to this Petition.

Supplemental Information/Materials from my Rejected Petition for Writ of Certiorari, in *Graven v Brnovich et al*:

See my Petition for those matters/information/materials, and Appendix (Ex 1).

Supplemental Information/Materials from my Rejected Petition for Writ of Mandamus, in *Graven v Harris*:

See my Petition for those matters/information/materials, and Appendix (Ex 2).

Note 1: I included only the first and last page, and no Appendix, as I had planned on each rejected Petition and its Appendix being accepted/Docketed, and so available for the references made in this present Petition.

CONCLUSION

I pray the Court will consider those 2 rejected pleadings as referenced in my present Petition, and see that as they are they are what my pending Petition for a Writ of Mandamus in *Graven v Snow, et al*, is built upon, accept this Brief.

Respectfully submitted,



William "Will" A. Graven, In Pro Se: Petitioner/Appellant/Plaintiff

Date: September 24, 2024

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**