

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Kenneth Roshawn Reid

Morant,

-VS-

No # 24-5556

Warden, Canean US, Solicitor General

Respondent,



MOTION TO REOPEN CASE # 24-5556

Morant/Petitioner, Kenneth R. Reid now moves to your honorable U.S. Supreme Court to reopen Case # 24-5556, after it was prematurely dismissed October 15, 2024 for Abuse of Courts process. I did not intentionally abuse process. I only filled out the informal pauper's form that was sent to me by the clerk. I am not an Attorney, I am indigent, my account has an Encumbrance on it here so I don't have access to any money or using my account because of excessive fines that were placed on me by DITO officer, Erickson v. Pardus 551 US 89, 94 (2007) (Says Document filed pro-se is to be liberally construed and must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, Morant is a pauper within the meaning of Adkins v. E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co., Inc 335 US 331, 93 L. Ed 43 (1948). I sent Clerk the forms that were sent to me. So there was no lawful reason to dismiss my entire Writ of Certiorari Petition. I was doing the best that I could do. I don't know the procedure and I can't read well or understand the process please excuse my ignorance. I apologize to the Court for whatever mistakes I made on the forms.

Relief sought See back →

Grant
reopening

Relief Sought

Granted: For Case #24-5556 to be reopened under Rule 60(b)(6)

So the case can be heard on the merits of my Second Amendment Claims, because §922(g)(3) is unconstitutional as-applied to me, because the guns I was charged with were purchased legally by me I was not a felon; and I was not in "Possession" of the firearms when I was charged with them.

I believe I have a right to be heard, on the merits of a Constitutional claim under the Second Amendment of U.S. Constitution.
What is the reason the Court will not hear my Claims?

Because I abused the Courts Process How? I do not understand the process, can barely read.

I have limited access to Law Library due to Mass Routine Lockdowns here at USP Canaan (no fault of my own). I have no Counsel to file for info: informa pauperis. Staff here will not give me any type of Account statements or anything unless the Court sends Orders directly to B2-unit staff Unit Team at USP Canaan. Staff here don't listen when a prisoner tells them what he needs they only Listen to Court Orders. I've sent informa pauperis papers back to your Court as Ordered to do. I don't understand where I abused the Courts process (please explain). I did the best I could do, the best I know how to do. I numbered all the pages of my Writ of Certiorari and I returned the informa pauperis forms with all the questionnaires answered. My account is Encumbered its Frozen (meaning I have no money available to me) and there's no access to my account no money coming in or out. I have no Job. This institution goes on Lockdown 3 to 4 times a day we don't have the means or time to do anything. I don't have access to account statements or any other forms. The U.S. Supreme Court Clerk must contact USP Canaan Staff to get any other documents needed for Case # 24-5556 to proceed, continue. I don't have any money, this matter at a denial is unreasonably assessed and no consideration was given to my situation, Circumstances of me being a indigent prisoner. I just want to get my § 922(g)(3) claim (2nd Amendment right to bear arms claim heard on the merits using New York Rifle & Pistol Association v Bruen 142 S Ct 2111 (2022) Retroactivity the same claim made in the fifth Circuit in United States v Daniels 77 F.4th 337 (5th Cir 2023) that § 922(g)(3) is unconstitutional as applied to me.

Certificate of Service

I swear under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge under the laws of the United States, Title 28 USC § 1746 sign: Kenneth L. Reid

I was told by the Court that Case #24-5556 was Placed on the Docket. I then was sent inmate pauper's forms to fill out I filled them out and returned them in a timely fashion. So there shouldn't have been any reason to deny the entire Petition for Writ of Certiorari. This is a Criminal matter not a non-criminal matter the Appeals Court, District Court could have grant granted me relief under § 2241/through 2255(e)'s Savings Clause in the Interest of Justice using the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 Cracklaw which was unavailable to me in 2006 when convicted or in 2009 when my initial §2255 was ~~the~~ Filed, Direct Appeal was filed in 2007. The laws changed which enables me to bring my claim under § 2255(e) Savings Clause/Wheeler. The intervening new change in law - Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 Cracklaw and New York Rifle & Pistol Association v Bruen 142 S Ct 2111, 2126 (2022) Allows my claims to be heard on the merit using the 2241 through 2255(e)'s Savings Clause. To not hear it on the merit would be a complete miscarriage of Justice due to my actual innocence claim. To dismiss my entire Writ of Certiorari is absurd, unconstitutional