

24-5353

No. _____

FILED

MAY 14 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

KONIER RADNEY — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

KONIER RADNEY
(Your Name)

P.O. BOX 8000
(Address)

Bradford, PA 16701
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Is it unconstitutional if former counsel Lisa B. Kirsch-Satava knew of my wishes to proceed to trial but, instead presented me with an ultimatum to plead guilty or represent myself at trial knowing I was in-apt to do so?
2. Is it unconstitutional and against my due-process for presiding District Court judge Sean F. Cox stating on numerous occasions 11/19/21 and D7/D6/22 that as a defendant ~~he~~ he will not allow me replacement counsel under any terms or conditions even if counsel and me are in disagreement about counsel's required adequate representation?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Decision of the United States Court of Appeals.

APPENDIX B Decision of the United States District Court.

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 02/13/2024.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

3.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On March 16th 2021 I was
Indicted by the Eastern District of Michigan.

On March 4th, 2022 I persisted on wanting
to go to trial, but attorney Lisa B. Kirsch-
Satawa forced me to plead guilty because
she didn't want to represent me any more.

On November 2022 I was wrongfully
sentenced in the Eastern District of Michigan.
On February 13th 2024 the United States Court of
Appeals affirmed my wrongfully conviction.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

At a motion hearing on 11/19/21 former counsel Colleen P. Fitharris withdrew due to being unable to represent me effectively. At this hearing the judge Sean F. Lox stated that "if for any reasons moving forward newly appointed counsel attorney-client relationship does not work, I will not be allowed to be provided replacement counsel under any circumstances."

Moving forward, because of the hearing on 11/19/21 I was assigned attorney Lisa B. Kirsch-Satawa December of 2021.

During the duration of attorney client relationship with Lisa B. Kirsch-Satawa counsel has failed in performance by poor representation.

Counsel Lisa B. Kirsch-Satawa has sabotaged attorney-client privilege by secretly recording our Zoom meetings, counsel has refused to enter any defense motions, counsel has never reviewed any defense videos, counsel has never reviewed any interrogation investigated any government witnesses and counsel has never defense alibi's pertaining to my innocence.


Prior to my plea, I asked counsel Lisa B. Kirsch-Satawa to withdraw several times which she ~~not~~ would refuse to do but instead would counter knowing I wanted to go to trial, she would give me an ultimatum to plead or go to trial with no help from counsel.

The Presiding judge Sean F. Cox would affirm counsel's poor performance stating on the record under no terms or conditions would I be allowed replacement counsel.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 06-25-2024