

24-5289

No. \_\_\_\_\_

FILED

JUN 07 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MARTIN ROBINSON

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

OH STATE MEDICAL BOARD ET AL

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME COURT OHIO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MARTIN ROBINSON

(Your Name)

MAIL  
ODRC PROCESSING CENTER

884 COLTSVILLE - HUSBAND RD

(Address)

YOUNGSTOWN OH 44505

(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

JUL 31 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

RECEIVED

JUN 27 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

### **QUESTION(S) PRESENTED**

Petitioner has MOTIONed FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORAL ARGUMENT, only to be ignored. Petitioner has demanded a jury trial, again, ignored.

Justices failed to recuse themselves after petitioner has made criminal complaint allegations against them and defendants/respondents. To date there has not been an investigation.

### LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

OH Attorney General, Dave(id) Yost; OH Governor, Mike DeWine; OH Lieutenant Governor, Jon Husted

### RELATED CASES

"MARTIN ROBINSON"

All kinds on lexis nexis®®

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6
PROOF OF SERVICE.....	7

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: ROBINSON V. OH ST MED BD, 2024-OHIO-1087, 2024 OHIO LEXIS 645 (MARCH 27, 2024) 2024-0068

APPENDIX B: RECONSIDERATION MAILED APRIL 01, 2024; AWAITING RULING (MAY 28, 2024)  
RECON DENIED, ROBINSON V. STATE MED. BD. (ETAL), 2024 -  
OHIO - 1974

APPENDIX C


APPENDIX D

THIS CASE IS BEING HIDDEN DELIBERATELY  
ON LEXIS NEXIS BY NOT LINKING MY FIRST

APPENDIX E

NAME TO CASE, NOT LINKING TO MY OTHER  
CASES.

APPENDIX F



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Bouquett v. Clemmar, 626 F. Supp. 46, 1985 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18084 (S.D. Ohio 1985)	3
<i>Fitzke v. Shappell</i> , 468 F.2d 1072 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir 1972)	3
[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	
<i>Lavado v. Keohane</i> , 992 F.2d 601(6 <sup>th</sup> cir 1993)	3
<i>Robinson v. OH.Civ.Rights Comm., et al</i> , 2023 Ohio Lexis 1355; 2023-Ohio-3169; 2023 Ohio Lexis 1759 (Sept. 12, 2023)	3

## STATUTES AND RULES

Ohio State Medical Board are governed by R.C. Chapter 4731.01.

R.C. 4778.18 covers investigations. (A) states in general, that my complaint should have been given a case number and been recorded by the Board. (E) states in general, that the investigation shall be kept confidential.

R.C. Chapter 109 series and has a job description to follow and may have failed to follow it.

R.C. Chapter 107 series, job description

R.C. Chapter 108 series

R.C. 120.06

OAC 120-1-03, -10

R.C. 2935.04

Civ.R.11

## OTHER

Inference (Civ. Evid. Code Section 600 (b))

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_A\_  
to the petition and is

☒ reported at \_\_ ROBINSON V. OH ST MED BD, 2024-OHIO-1087, 2024 OHIO LEXIS 645  
(MARCH 27, 2024) 2024-0068\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court  
appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is published.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from state courts:


The date on which the highest state court decided my case March 27, 2024. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:

pending, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at MAY 28, 2024  
Appendix B.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).



## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

R.C. Chapter 4731.01

The courts seem to be misinterpreting the meaning of the Eleventh Amendment and the use of absolute immunity, even qualified immunity.

“Liability of State Board, the state medical board is an agency of the State of Ohio and is, therefore, immune from liability in a federal civil rights action: *Bouquett v. Clemmar*, 626 F. Supp. 46, 1985 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18084 (S.D. Ohio 1985).” Lexis® Relator, however, disagrees with this statement. The courts seem to be misinterpreting the meaning of the Eleventh Amendment and the use of absolute immunity, even qualified immunity. Specifically, R.C. 4778.18 covers investigations. (A) states in general, that my complaint should have been given a case number and been recorded by the Board. (E) states in general, that the investigation shall be kept confidential.

OAG falls under R.C. Chapter 109 series and has a job description to follow and may have failed to follow it.

Deft. OH Governor, Mike DeWine falls under R.C. Chapter 107 series, has a job description to follow, may have failed to follow it, and is required to supervise the public officials that serve under him. Nepotism between Gov. DeWine and Justice DeWine conflict.

6. Deft. OH Lieutenant Governor, Jon Husted falls under R.C. Chapter 108 series, has a job description to follow, and is required to supervise the public officials that serve under him.

7. Therefore, Plaintiff, Martin Robinson is suing the Defts., Ohio State Medical Board; OH Atty. Gen. Dave Yost; OH Governor Mike DeWine; and OH Lieutenant Governor, Jon Husted in both their individual and official capacities. (See companion case, *Robinson v. OH.Civ.Rights Comm., et al*, 2023 Ohio Lexis 1355; 2023-Ohio-3169; 2023 Ohio Lexis 1759 (Sept. 12, 2023) )

10. By now, it appears that Relator, Martin Robinson has sufficient grounds to arrest respondents in this and other cases per R.C. 2935.04 for the commission of felonies.

R.C. 120.06 and OAC 120-1-03, 10



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The courts are practicing "Judicial Dictum" in petitioner's cases. The Supreme court of Ohio refuses to appoint counsel. The Supreme court of Ohio refused to hear the case on its merits, hold jury trial, transfer to a lower court, or bind over to federal court. The Supreme court failed to give a reason for not accepting jurisdiction of this case. According to the OH Atty. Generals' office, the Supreme court does not have to give a reason. I, however, disagree.

This is an original complaint case against the failure to provide proper medical, mental health, and dental care to IP. The State of Ohio and courts are covering up a miscarriage of justice, making these public officials inactions or actions, criminal. In Arizona, State prisons were held in contempt for not providing adequate care.

The Ohio Prison system has a duty and obligation to provide proper care to its IP population.

I am not a lawyer and do not want to act or portray one, thus I'm asking one be appointed to represent me. DRO, DOJ, OPD

"Inference" (Cal Evid Code Section 600 [b]) Lannon v. Hogan, 719 F.2d 518, 521, (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. Mass. 1983) and "abductive reasoning"

*Kenniston v. McDonald*, 2017 U.S. Dist. Lexis 223055.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

Jurisdiction is invoked and there is a law and constitutional question, under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

This court has a duty to address the evidence petitioner, Martin Robinson has set forth in this and related cases, being ignored, pushed aside, swept under the rug... by the proper authorities.

Petitioner, Martin Robinson has cited law, case law, treatise, and so on in previous related petitions and now this petition, to support why this court has the authority to grant each and every petition, he has brought in front of this court...

The court knows it has a duty and obligation to review its subordinates and hold them accountable. Instead, it is choosing to deliberately remain indifferent to the miscarriages of justice being suffered by petitioner, Martin Robinson, as well as many of other incarcerated Ohioans.


How do I get this court to act? Who is filling in for Justice Clarence Thomas while he is busy traveling lavishly all around the world? Is he the reason this court refuses to hear my cases?

In the Supreme Court of Ohio, all of the attorneys for respondents did not motion to dismiss or the memorandum in response to motion for reconsideration which violated Civ.R.11. Relator, Robinson did not have the opportunity to rebut the motion for dismissal due to the untimely service of it upon him and the 10-day rule of the SCO. Thus, he makes his argument here on appeal.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,




Martin Robinson 756-785;

Wrongfully Imprisoned

Date: MAY 20, 2024

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on JUNE 05, 2024



Martin Robinson 756785; Wrongfully Imprisoned;

©04-04-2024; All Rights Reserved; Address Redacted for his safety

Revised on 05-07-2024; 05-15-2024

884 COLTSVILLE HUSBAND RD  
YAMNBS TOWN OH 44865