

No. _____

24-5281

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

AUG 05 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ALEXANDER A. FELS — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

PRESIDENT BIDEN ET.AL. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ALEXANDER A. FELS

(Your Name)

APARTMENT 705

1151 NETTIE STREET

(Address)

BELVIDERE, ILLINOIS 61008

(City, State, Zip Code)

1-773-543-5081

(Phone Number)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1.) IS THE CURRENT STANCE ON MEDICAL MARIJUANA BY THE FEDERAL AGENCY H.U.D. (HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT) BANNING THE USE OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA BY ALL THE RESIDENTS OF THE HOUSING, H.U.D. OVERSEES, A VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH ARTICLE OF AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES?
- 2.) IS THE PROPOSED CHANGE OF THE SCHEDULING OF MARIJUANA FROM BEING EQUATED AS A DRUG AS LETHAL AS HEROIN TO THE CLASSIFYING OF MARIJUANA ON THE SAME LEVEL AS ANABOLIC STEROIDS A VALID CORRELATION?
- 3.) IS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S LONG TIME PROMULGATION, THAT MARIJUANA IS A GATEWAY DRUG TO HEROIN ADDICTION A VALID HYPOTHESIS?
- 4.) WITH THE PROPOSED RECLASSIFICATION OF MARIJUANA, ARE THE COURT RULINGS CITED BY THE DISTRICT COURT IN IT'S DENIAL OF MY INITIAL PETITION STILL VALID?
- 5.) IN MY INITIAL FILING IN THE DISTRICT COURT, I POSED 18 (EIGHTEEN) QUESTIONS. THE FIRST WAS "DID OUR FOUNDING FATHERS ENVISION ACTIVE SHOOTER DRILLS AS PART OF OUR CHILDREN'S SCHOLASTIC CURRICULUM"?

QUESTIONS PRESENTED CONTINUED

6.) WAS THE INCEPTION OF THE "DEMONIZING"
OF MARIJUANA RACIALLY MOTIVATED?

7.) ARE THE OPINIONS OF THE UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT SUBJECT TO REVERSAL?

8.) IS THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT A
CO-EQUAL BRANCH OF THE FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

PRESIDENT JOE BIDEN

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE HAKEEN JEFFRIES

SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY JANET YELLEN

RELATED CASES

NONE

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
JUSTICE AMY CONEY BARRETT'S OPINION OF IDAHO ABORTION CASE	10
JUSTICE LOUIS DEMBITZ BRANDEIS	22
DRED SCOTT V. SANDFORD	16
PLESSY V. FERGUSON	17
BROWN V. BOARD OF EDUCATION OF TOPEKA KANSAS	17
STATUTES AND RULES	
EIGHTH ARTICLE OF AMENDMENT	13, 19
FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT (F.T.C.A.)	19
ARTICLE 3 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION	17
OTHER	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	13
CONCLUSION.....	22

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	OPINION OF THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS	23
APPENDIX B	OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	24
APPENDIX C	DENIAL OF REHEARING BY SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS	25
APPENDIX D		
APPENDIX E		
APPENDIX F		

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 7, 2024.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: JUNE 28, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

PREFACE

WHILE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS ALMOST UNIVERSALLY KNOWN, CONVERSELY I AM A VIRTUAL UNKNOWN. SO I BELIEVE, THAT BEFORE I BEGIN TO PEN THIS "CHEF-D'OEUVRE" A BRIEF OUTLINING OF MY MOTIVATIONS IS NECESSARY.

I WAS BORN JANUARY 19, 1948 IN CLEVELAND, OHIO. THE BEST MAN, I EVER MET, WAS ALSO, THE SMARTEST. THAT MAN WAS MY FATHER, DOMINIC FELS.

BESIDES BEING SCHOOLED IN THE TENETS OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, I WAS EXPOSED TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AND ITS VALUE TO ME AS AN AMERICAN CITIZEN.

MY DAD CRAFTED A SECOND SET OF "THE TEN COMMANDMENTS", AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF MY "EDUCATION". THIS SECOND SET OF "THE TEN COMMANDMENTS" WERE, ARE, AND WILL ALWAYS BE PART OF MY LIFE'S GUIDELINES.

THESE "TEN COMMANDMENTS" ARE

- 1.) YES MA'AM
- 2.) NO MA'AM
- 3.) THANK YOU MA'AM
- 4.) PLEASE

PREFACE CONTINUED

- 5.) THE CHEAPEST THINGS IN LIFE ARE MANNERS AND SOAP.
- 6.) IF YOU MEET A BULLY, KNOCK HIM DOWN.
- 7.) IF YOU SEE SOMEONE, WHO NEEDS YOUR HELP, DO SO.
- 8.) IF YOU MAKE A PROMISE, KEEP IT.
- 9.) YOUR CIVIL RIGHTS WERE BOUGHT AND PAID FOR WITH AMERICAN BLOOD. THEY ARE A SACRED TRUST. TREAT THEM ACCORDINGLY.
- 10.) YOUR HANDS WERE MADE FOR NURTURING. NOT ABUSE.

MY DAD HAD THREE WAYS OF ADDRESSING ME -
ALEX, ALEXANDER, AND ALEXANDER ANTHONY.
(ALEXANDER ANTHONY WAS NEVER GOOD)!

MY DAD WOULD EMPHASIZE THEIR IMPORTANCE BY STATING "ALEXANDER, IF YOUR ACTIONS ARE NOT IN ACCORD WITH THEM, YOU WILL BE AT ODDS WITH FELS FAMILY RULE NUMBER TWO - YOU MUST RESPECT THE MAN IN THE MIRROR".

ONE OF MY GOALS IN THIS ENDEAVOR IS TO DRAFT THIS PETITION IN A FASHION, THAT IS ACTUALLY AN HOMAGE TO MY DAD, AND HIS EFFORTS TO MAKE ME A BETTER MAN.

ANOTHER FACTOR IS TO TRY TO ABIDE BY "COMMANDMENT NUMBER SEVEN" - HELP SOMEONE, WHO NEEDS YOUR HELP.

IN THIS CASE I AM REFERENCING, THOSE

PREFACE CONTINUED

OF MY FELLOW AMERICANS, WHO ARE BECAUSE OF OLD AGE, DISABILITY, OR POVERTY LEFT "VOICELESS".

I HAVE BEEN BLESSED ALL OF MY LIFE WITH ADVANTAGES, THAT THEY, THE VOICELESS, WERE DEVOID OF.

THE LAST OF MY MOTIVATIONS IS MY BELIEF THAT "FREEDOM IS NOT FREE"!

"COMMANDMENT NUMBER NINE" MAKES MY EFFORT IN THIS A PARTIAL PAYMENT ON A DEBT OF HONOR.

THE BASIS OF THIS DEBT IS THE COLLECTIVE ACTS OF HEROISM BY 16 (SIXTEEN) MEMBERS OF MY FAMILY AND FRIENDS - 13 (THIRTEEN) DIED IN VIETNAM, MY COUSIN DIED IN KOREA, MY UNCLE BOBBY DIED D-DAY 34 (THIRTY-FOUR) DAYS SHORT OF HIS NINETEENTH BIRTHDAY, AND MY GREAT UNCLE ELMER, WHO SUCCUMBED TO THE RAVAGES OF THE MUSTARD GAS, HE INGESTED AS A "DOUGHBOY" IN WORLD WAR I.

I SEEK NEITHER FAME NOR FORTUNE IN THIS. RATHER, I AM TRYING TO HONOR THEIR SACRIFICES.

THEIR DEATHS CONCLUDED THEIR SERVICE TO OUR NATION. MY SERVICE STILL CONTINUES...

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON OCTOBER 15, 1985, I WAS INVOLVED IN A VERY SERIOUS CAR ACCIDENT. MY CAR WAS A TOTAL LOSS, AND I SUSTAINED A MULTITUDE OF INJURIES. THEY ARE:

THE RE-INJURY OF MY LEFT SHOULDER, THAT NECESSITATED THE SURGICAL IMPLANTING OF A STAINLESS STEEL SCREW. THIS SCREW LIMITS THE RANGE OF MOTION IN MY LEFT ARM BY ABOUT 50% (FIFTY PERCENT). THIS SCREW, ALSO, PREVENTS THE RECURRENCE OF THE SUBLUXATION OF THE HEAD HUMERUS BONE IN MY LEFT ARM. (THE BONE WOULD COME OUT OF THE FRONT OF THE SHOULDER SOCKET). PRIOR TO THIS SURGERY, I HAD ABOUT 75 (SEVENTY-FIVE) SUCH EPISODES.

DAMAGE TO THE MEDIAL HUMERAL EPICONDYLE ("FUNNY" BONE ON THE INSIDE OF MY LEFT ELBOW). THE NEUROLOGICAL PAIN CONTINUES TO THIS VERY DAY, AS THIS IS THE SITE WHERE THE ULNAR NERVE IS ATTACHED.

MY SKULL WAS FRACTURED, WHICH CAUSED ME ABOUT FIVE YEARS OF MIGRAINE HEADACHES.

DAMAGE TO MY CERVICAL VERTEBRAE, WHICH LED TO THE SURGICAL INSERTION OF A TITANIUM APPLIANCE FUSING VERTEBRAE C5, C6 & C7. C5 IS THE SITE OF MY SPINAL CORD, THAT ALLOWS THE USE OF MY ARMS AND HANDS.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

DAMAGE TO THE LUMBAR VERTEBRAE IN MY LOWER BACK FROM L3 TO S1. I HAVE HAD MULTIPLE SPINAL PROCEDURES. THE LITTLE BIT OF RELIEF FROM THE NEUROLOGICAL PAIN ASSOCIATED WITH THIS TYPE OF INJURY, IS VERY SHORT-LIVED.

THE PERMANENT LOSS OF THE USE OF MY QUADRICEPS MUSCLE IN MY RIGHT LEG. THE PROGRESSION OF THIS INJURY, FORCES ME TO USE A PAIR OF LOFSTRAND CRUTCHES FOR AMBULATION.

TO ADD "INSULT TO INJURY", THE "BONUS" OF PLAYING 10 (TEN) YEARS OF FOOTBALL HAS ARTHRITIS IN MANY OF MY BONES AND JOINTS.

THE CUMULATIVE RESULT IS, I NOW HAVE CHRONIC NEUROLOGIC AND ORTHOPEDIC PAIN. ON A GOOD DAY, THE PAIN LEVEL IS ABOUT A "5" ON A SCALE OF "10".

IN ORDER FOR THE DISTRICT COURT TO VERIFY THE EXTENT OF THESE INJURIES, I INCLUDED A 22 (TWENTY-TWO) PAGE MEDICAL FILE IN MY FILINGS WITH JUDGE IAIN JOHNSON IN THE FEDERAL COURTHOUSE IN ROCKFORD IL.

ON JANUARY 9, 1993, THE WOMAN, I LIVED WITH FOR OVER 20 (TWENTY) YEARS HAD A MASSIVE HEART ATTACK AND ALMOST DIED.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

HER CARDIOLOGIST WAS DR. ASAD REDJAI. BECAUSE OF HIS EXPERTISE, SHE EVENTUALLY WAS ABLE TO COME HOME AND QUASI-RECOVER.

AT THIS JUNCTURE, I WAS ABLE TO GET DR. REDJAI AS MY DOCTOR, ALSO. (MY PARENTS BOTH DIED FROM HEART DISEASE).

HAVING DR. REDJAI AS MY DOCTOR, IS ONE OF THE GREAT BLESSINGS OF MY LIFE. BESIDES BEING A RENOWNED CARDIOLOGIST (DR. REDJAI TAUGHT MANY OTHER DOCTORS CARDIAC SURGERY), HE IS, ALSO, BOARD CERTIFIED IN INTERNAL MEDICINE.

FOR ABOUT 35 (THIRTY-FIVE) YEARS, I WAS PRESCRIBED A MYRIAD OF PAIN MEDICATION. FROM 2014 UNTIL 2019, I WAS PRESCRIBED 120 (ONE HUNDRED TWENTY) TEN MILLIGRAM HYDROCODONE TABLETS A MONTH. THESE HAD A DIMINISHING EFFICACY.

AFTER ABOUT FOUR AND A HALF YEARS OF HIS PRESCRIBING THE HYDROCODONE TABLETS, DR. REDJAI BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT THE LONG TERM EFFECT AND/OR DAMAGE THIS LEVEL OF PAIN MEDICATION WOULD HAVE ON MY KIDNEYS AND LIVER.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

THE STATE OF ILLINOIS HAD BEGUN A PILOT PROGRAM FOR MEDICAL MARIJUANA. DR. REDJAI ADVISED ME, THAT I QUALIFIED FOR THIS PROGRAM.

I APPLIED FOR A MEDICAL MARIJUANA IDENTIFICATION CARD, AND IN JULY OF 2019, I RECEIVED MY FIRST MEDICAL CARD (SEE PAGE 12).

THE LEVEL OF PAIN RELIEF FROM MEDICAL MARIJUANA IS VASTLY SUPERIOR TO THE PAIN TABLETS.

THERE ARE THREE TYPES OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA.

SATIVA IS A DAYTIME MEDICINE, AS IT HAS NO THC (THE CHEMICAL THAT CAUSES "EUPHORIA").

INDICA IS THE TYPE, THAT, ALSO ALLEVIATES PAIN, BUT DOES CONTAIN THC. IT HAS AN ADDITIONAL BENEFIT AS A SLEEP SEDATIVE.

THERE IS ALSO, A HYBRID TYPE, THAT HAS THE BEST OF BOTH.

AT THIS TIME, I LIVED IN A NICE TWO FLAT BUILDING IN CHICAGO. IN OCTOBER OF 2019, MY ONSITE LANDLORD DIED.

SUBSEQUENTLY, HIS HEIRS SOLD THE TWO FLAT, AND I HAD TO MOVE OUT OF THE BUILDING.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

IN NOVEMBER OF 2021, I MOVED INTO MY CURRENT RESIDENCE IN BELVIDERE, ILLINOIS. I HAVE A LOVELY ONE BEDROOM HANDICAP ACCESSIBLE APARTMENT.

MY MONTHLY RENT IS FORMULATED AS A PERCENTAGE OF MY MONTHLY SOCIAL SECURITY CHECK. I, ALSO PAY FOR MY ELECTRICITY. THE BUILDINGS OWNERS PAY FOR THE HEAT.

ON NOVEMBER 14, 2023 ALL THE RESIDENTS OF THE BUILDING, I LIVE IN, RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE BUILDING'S MANAGEMENT, THAT STATED, THAT MARIJUANA INCLUDING MEDICAL MARIJUANA IS NOT ALLOWED IN THE BUILDING. (COPY OF LETTER IS NEXT PAGE).

AT THIS POINT, I FOUND MYSELF BETWEEN THE PROVERBIAL "ROCK AND A HARD PLACE" - DO I LIVE IN PAIN 24/7, OR DO I WIND UP HOMELESS?

IN JUSTICE AMY CONEY BARRETT'S OPINION INVOLVING THE STATE OF IDAHO'S BAN ON ABORTION, JUSTICE BARRETT SENT THE CASE BACK TO THE LOWER COURT, BECAUSE NEITHER SIDE OF THAT CASE HAD "STANDING" - NEITHER SIDE OF THE CASE WAS ABLE TO DEMONSTRATE "AN INJURY IN FACT".

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

I AM TRULY COGNIZANT OF THE COURT'S VALUED TIME. WHILE MY PETITION SO FAR MAY SEEM A BIT "LONG WINDED", I FEEL THE COURT HAS TO HAVE ALL THE FACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS SCENARIO, IN ORDER TO FORMULATE A BASIS FOR THE COURT TO GRANT ME "STANDING".

LET US NOW TURN TO THE QUESTIONS, I HAVE PRESENTED. AS I WAS RAISED "FIRST THINGS FIRST", LET ME "ANSWER" QUESTION ONE FIRST.

IS THE H.U.D. BAN ON MEDICAL MARIJUANA IN VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH ARTICLE OF AMENDMENT I.E. THE PROHIBITION OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT?

I AM NOT GUILTY OF ANY CRIME, OTHER THAN BEING A DISABLED SENIOR CITIZEN, WHO IS TRYING TO MITIGATE THE CHRONIC NEUROLOGICAL AND ORTHOPEDIC PAIN, I MUST ENDURE ON A DAILY BASIS.

QUESTION NUMBER TWO

IS THE PROPOSED RESCHEDULING OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA TO BEING IN THE SAME CLASS AS ANABOLIC STEROIDS A VALID CORRELATION?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

THE SHORT ANSWER IS NO.

ANABOLIC STEROIDS ARE BANNED FOR USE BY THE NFL, NBA, NHL, MLB AND ALL HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLEGIATE SPORTS PROGRAMS. ATHLETES, WHO TAKE STEROIDS, ARE AT RISK OF DEBILITATING AND/OR LETHAL SIDE EFFECTS.

MARIJUANA ON THE OTHER HAND HAS LONG BEEN A FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED AND LEGAL TREATMENT FOR GLAUCOMA.

THE REASON IS THAT MARIJUANA WILL REDUCE INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE IN A PATIENT WITH GLAUCOMA. (PRESSURE IN THE EYE)

MARIJUANA IS USED TO COMBAT THE SIDE EFFECTS OF CHEMOTHERAPY DRUGS.

ADDITIONALLY MARIJUANA HAS A THERAPEUTIC BENEFIT FOR FIBROMYALGIA AND MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS. THERE IS ALSO MEDICAL BENEFITS FOR CONVULSIONS, DIABETES, EPILEPSY, MUSCLE SPASMS, PARKINSONS AND MORE.

IN OTHER WORDS CONGRESS IN "OUTLAWING" MEDICAL MARIJUANA GOT IT WRONG!

3.) IS MARIJUANA A GATEWAY DRUG TO HEROIN?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

ONCE AGAIN CONGRESS IS ON THE WRONG SIDE OF THIS. OPIODS KILL OVER 100,000 (ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND) AMERICANS EVERY YEAR. BIG PHARMA'S OPIODS ARE THE GATEWAY DRUGS.

AS I STATED IN MY EARLIER FILING IN FEDERAL COURT, MARIJUANA HAS BEEN CONSUMED BY HUMAN BEINGS FOR OVER 2,500 (TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED) YEARS. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A SINGLE FATALITY FROM AN OVERDOSE OF MARIJUANA.

4.) WITH THE PROPOSED RESCHEDULING OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA TO A LESSER CATEGORY, ARE THE LAWS AND CASES CITED BY THE LOWER COURTS STILL VALID?

THESE LAWS AND CASES HAVE ZERO MEDICAL BASIS. WHY THESE LAWS AND CASES WERE PROMULGATED, MAY BE THE RESULT OF BIG PHARMA'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS. THIS MAY BE CONJECTURE ON MY PART.

WHAT IS NOT CONJECTURE, IS THAT NONE OF IT HAS A SCINTILLA OF MEDICAL CREDENCE!

5.) DID OUR FOUNDING FATHERS ENVISION ACTIVE SHOOTER DRILLS AS PART OF OUR CHILDRENS' SCADLATIC CURRICULUM?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

HOPEFULLY, THIS COURT WILL ANSWER THIS QUESTION. THE LOWER COURTS DID NOT.

G.) WAS THE INCEPTION OF THE "DEMONIZING" OF MARIJUANA RACIALLY MOTIVATED?

THE GENESIS OF THE CRIMINALIZATION OF MARIJUANA BEGAN IN CALIFORNIA. IT WAS USED TO KEEP THE MEXICAN MIGRANT FARM WORKERS "IN THEIR PLACE". EVENTUALLY OTHER "BORDER STATES" ENACTED SIMILAR LAWS. THEN ALONG CAME BIG PHARMA AND THEIR "SPIN DOCTORS" AND MARIJUANA WAS BANNED NATIONWIDE.

T.) ARE THE OPINIONS OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT SUBJECT TO REVERSAL?

THE SHORT ANSWER IS YES

FOR EXAMPLE THERE IS THE 1857 SUPREME COURT DECISION IN DRED SCOTT V. SANDFORD.

IN IT THE SUPREME COURT RULED THAT "SEPARATE BUT EQUAL" ACCOMMODATIONS FOR THE RACES WAS CONSTITUTIONAL. IN ACTUALITY THIS DECISION VIRTUALLY DENIED, THAT BLACK PEOPLE WERE CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES, EVEN THOUGH THEY LIVED IN A SUPPOSEDLY "FREE" STATE.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

IN PLESSY V. FERGUSON (1896) THE SUPREME COURT ONCE AGAIN AFFIRMED "SEPARATE BUT EQUAL" ACCOMMODATIONS FOR THE RACES AS CONSTITUTIONAL.

IT TOOK 97 (NINETY-SEVEN) YEARS FOR THE SUPREME COURT TO RIGHT THESE WRONGS WITH THE 1954 DECISION IN BROWN V. THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF TOPEKA KANSAS.

HONESTLY YOUR HONOR, I AM PRETTY SURE, I DO NOT HAVE 97 YEARS LEFT ON THIS EARTH.

8.) IS THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT A CO-EQUAL BRANCH OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?

ONE OF REMEDIES, MY ~~DAD~~ TOLD ME ABOUT TO RESOLVE A DISPUTE, WAS TO TEACH A MAN A LESSON IN HIS POCKET.

ACCORDING TO ARTICLE THREE OF OUR CONSTITUTION, THE JUSTICES WILL RECEIVE A JUST COMPENSATION FOR THEIR SERVICE DURING TIMES OF "GOOD BEHAVIOR".

ALLOWING THIS UNJUST AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL BAN ON MY ABILITY TO MITIGATE THE CHRONIC PAIN, I HAVE TO ENDURE DAILY IS NOT "GOOD BEHAVIOR".

STATEMENT OF THE CASE CONTINUED

I AM NOT ALONE IN THIS "IMBROGLIO".
THERE ARE A MULTITUDE OF OUR FELLOW
AMERICANS CRUELLY AFFLICTED BY THIS
NON SENSICAL BAN ON MEDICAL MARIJUANA.

ERGO, THE BASIS FOR THE T.R.O. (TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER) I ADVOCATED FOR IN THE
DISTRICT COURT. A T.R.O. THAT BLOCKS THE
SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY, JANET YELLEN,
FROM PAYING THE "NEER-DO-WELLS" IN
CONGRESS, UNTIL THE MEMBERS OF CONGRESS
RIGHT THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND CRUEL
DILEMMA, MYSELF AND THE "VOICELESS"
MUST ENDURE.

HOPEFULLY, I HAVE GIVEN THIS COURT
ENOUGH "PROBABLE CAUSE" TO REQUIRE
AN ANSWER FROM THE SOLICITOR GENERAL
OF THE UNITED STATES.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION

ACCORDING TO THE DISTRICT COURT'S ORDER OF DECEMBER 5, 2023, THERE ARE 3 COMPONENTS OF A COMPLAINT TO "ESTABLISH STANDING". THEY ARE:

- 1.) A CONCRETE AND PARTICULARIZED ACTUAL OR IMMINENT INJURY. IN FACT THE LETTER FROM THE H.U.D. BUILDING, I LIVE IN FROM NOVEMBER 14, 2023, DOES ESTABLISH THE JEOPARDY, I FACE.
- 2.) A CAUSAL CONNECTION BETWEEN THE INJURY AND COMPLAINED CONDUCT. A REVIEW OF THE LAW CITED IN THE AFOREMENTIONED LETTER IS PROOF, THAT THE CAUSE OF MY DILEMMA IS THE LEGISLATION PASSED BY CONGRESS. LEGISLATION THAT IS ON IT'S FACE CONTRARY TO THE PROTECTION THAT IS EXPRESSLY ENUMERATED BY THE EIGHTH ARTICLE OF AMENDMENT.
- 3.) A JURY OF MY PEERS WILL IN ALL LIKELIHOOD REDRESS MY PETITION WITH A FAVORABLE DECISION.

THE FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT (F.T.C.A.) PASSED IN 1946 CONFERS EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION ON THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FOR CLAIMS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES FOR INJURY CAUSED BY A NEGLIGENT OR WRONGFUL ACT OF A MEMBER OR AGENT OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION CONTINUED

THE INCESSANT PARTISAN BICKERING BY OUR MEMBERS OF BOTH HOUSES OF CONGRESS LEAVES THIS COURT AS THE FINAL ARBITER ON THIS ISSUE.

MYSELF AND THE "VOICELESS" ARE LEFT IN HARM'S WAY, NO MATTER WHICH POLITICAL PARTY, WE IDENTIFY WITH.

IN THE SPIRIT OF FULL DISCLOSURE, I HAVE BEEN A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN SINCE 1968. I HAVE, ALSO, BEEN A REPUBLICAN ELECTION JUDGE FOR OVER 10 (TEN) YEARS. IN MARCH OF THIS YEAR, I WAS AGAIN A REPUBLICAN ELECTION JUDGE IN THE ILLINOIS PRIMARY ELECTION IN BOONE COUNTY ILLINOIS.

CHRONIC PAIN AND MEDICAL MALADIES HAVE NO POLITICAL AFFILIATION. PROTECTION FROM THESE "SUSPECT" PIECES OF LEGISLATION IS MANDATED FOR THE COURT TO PROTECT THE PHYSICALLY AFFLICTED. ALLOWING THIS UNTENABLE STATE OF UNCONSTITUTIONALITY TO CONTINUE IS MORALLY INDEFENSIBLE.

RELIEF SOUGHT

1.) A REMAND BACK TO THE DISTRICT COURT IN ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS. THE COURTHOUSE IN ROCKFORD IS ABOUT 15 (FIFTEEN) MILES FROM MY RESIDENCE. THE COURTHOUSE IN CHICAGO IS ABOUT 100 (ONE HUNDRED) MILES FROM MY HOME. I DO NOT HAVE A CAR. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION TO THE CHICAGO COURTHOUSE TAKES 4 (FOUR) HOURS EACH WAY

2.) A T.R.O. PREVENTING H.U.D. FROM PUTTING ME IN HARM'S WAY, WHILE THIS CASE IS BEING LITIGATED.

3.) IN ORDER TO GUARANTEE ME A "LEVEL PLAYING FIELD" THE APPOINTMENT OF AN "OXYMORON", AN HONEST LAWYER, TO ASSIST ME IN THIS CASE. AS STATED IN MY FILING WITH THE DISTRICT COURT AND THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, THAT LAWYER IS SUNNY HOSTIN OF A.B.C.'S SHOW "THE VIEW"

SUNNY HOSTIN IS A FORMER PROSECUTOR FOR THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY. JUST AS IMPORTANT IS THE CONFIDENCE, I HAVE IN HER TO BE FULLY DILIGENT AND H-ONEST.

4.) SERVICE OF THE COURT'S ORDER BY THE U.S. MARSHALL OFFICE OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK ON HER AS ALLOWED BY MY I.F.P. FILING.

CONCLUSION

I WANT TO REITERATE TO THIS COURT MY BELIEF, THIS IS ABOUT MYSELF AND THE "VOICELESS".

ACCORDING TO FORMER SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, LOUIS DEMBITZ BRANDEIS, "JUSTICE DELAYED IS JUSTICE DENIED".

CIVIL RIGHTS ICON, MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. OFTEN SPOKE ABOUT "THE URGENCY OF NOW".


EVERDAY THIS UNJUST SITUATION IS ALLOWED TO FESTER, MYSELF OR SOME OTHER MEMBER OF THE "VOICELESS" IS LEFT IN HARM'S WAY.

I IMPLURE THIS HONOURABLE COURT TO ACT WITH AS MUCH "HASTE AND DISPATCH" AS IS JUDICIALLY FEASIBLE.

FOR ALL THE REASONS ENUMERATED IN THIS PETITION, I ENTREAT THE COURT TO GRANT MY PETITION.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATE: JULY 31, 2024



ALEXANDER A. FELSPROSE
(R2)