

APPENDIX 1

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LISSETTE SALAZAR NAPOLEONI, AN
INDIVIDUAL,
Appellant,
vs.
DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST
COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF
OF THE HOLDERS OF THE IMPAC
SECURED ASSETS CORP. MORTGAGE
PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES
SERIES 2007-1,
Respondent.

No. 84696-COA

FILED

FEB 26 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

Q
ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Lissette Salazar Napoleoni appeals from a district court summary judgment in a real property action. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Susan Johnson, Judge.

In 2006, Napoleoni obtained a home loan evidenced by a promissory note secured by a deed of trust. The note promised repayment to lender Impac Funding Corporation dba Impac Lending Group (Impac), and the deed of trust named as beneficiary Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS), as nominee of Impac. In 2010, MERS, on behalf of Impac, executed an assignment of the deed of trust to respondent Deutsche Bank National Trust Company (Deutsche Bank) in which it also indicated that it was assigning the note to Deutsche Bank and had endorsed the instrument. Deutsche Bank later learned that the note had been lost. As a result, Deutsche Bank commenced the underlying proceeding against Napoleoni, seeking a declaratory judgment that it was

entitled to enforce the note pursuant to NRS 104.3309, which allows a party to establish its right to enforce a lost instrument by showing that it or its predecessor in interest was entitled to enforce the instrument when possession of it was lost.

Deutsche Bank eventually moved for summary judgment, arguing that, as relevant here, it had established its right to enforce the note under NRS 104.3309 based on a 2017 lost note affidavit from a representative of its loan servicer, Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. (SPS), who attested that the company conducted a diligent search of its records, was unable to locate the note, believed that Deutsche Bank owned the instrument, and was entitled to enforce it when possession was lost. Napoleoni opposed that motion based, in part, on a 2012 lost note affidavit from Deutsche Bank's prior loan servicer, Bank of America, National Association (BANA), which she asserted was filed in her prior bankruptcy action and was inconsistent with SPS's lost note affidavit. In BANA's lost note affidavit, a representative of BANA attested that the company acquired possession of the note and began servicing Napoleoni's loan in December 2006, that BANA conducted a diligent search for the instrument but was unable to locate it, and that Deutsche Bank was entitled to enforce the instrument when possession was lost. Following a hearing, the district court entered an order granting Deutsche Bank's motion in which it, among other things, referenced SPS's lost note affidavit and concluded that Deutsche Bank satisfied NRS 104.3309's requirements. Napoleoni subsequently moved for reconsideration, which the district court denied. This appeal followed.

On appeal, Napoleoni contends that summary judgment in favor of Deutsche Bank was inappropriate because it failed to satisfy NRS 104.3309. This court reviews a district court order granting summary judgment de novo. *Wood v. Safeway, Inc.*, 121 Nev. 724, 729, 121 P.3d 1026, 1029 (2005). Summary judgment is proper if the pleadings and all other evidence on file demonstrate that no genuine dispute of material fact exists and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Id.* When deciding a summary judgment motion, all evidence must be viewed in a light most favorable to the nonmoving party. *Id.* General allegations and conclusory statements do not create genuine disputes of fact. *Id.* at 731, 121 P.3d at 1030-31.

As mentioned above, NRS 104.3309 establishes the procedure by which a party may enforce a note or other instrument when the original instrument is unavailable because it has been lost, destroyed, or stolen. *Jones v. U.S. Bank Nat'l Ass'n*, 136 Nev. 129, 131, 460 P.3d 958, 961 (2020). In particular, a party that does not have possession of a note may nevertheless enforce the instrument if the party establishes the following: (1) the party was entitled to enforce the instrument when possession was lost or it acquired ownership, whether directly or indirectly, from a prior owner that was entitled to enforce the instrument when it was lost; (2) possession was not lost due to a transfer by the party or lawful seizure; and (3) the party cannot reasonably obtain possession of the instrument because it was lost, destroyed, or stolen. NRS 104.3309(1); *Jones*, 136 Nev. at 131, 460 P.3d at 961. The party seeking to enforce a note under such circumstances bears the burden of establishing, by a preponderance of the evidence, both the terms of the note and its right to enforce the instrument.

NRS 104.3309(2); *Jones*, 136 Nev. at 131, 460 P.3d at 961. The district court may only permit a party to enforce a note pursuant to NRS 104.3309 if the court finds that the payor under the instrument is adequately protected from third party claims. NRS 104.3309(2); *Jones*, 136 Nev. at 132, 460 P.3d at 961.

Napoleoni disputes whether Deutsche Bank satisfied NRS 104.3309(1)(a) by establishing that it was entitled to enforce the note when possession was lost or that it acquired the instrument from the entity that was entitled to enforce it when the loss occurred. In particular, Napoleoni contends that the BANA and SPS lost note affidavits were insufficient to establish the foregoing because they were inconsistent and did not demonstrate precisely when loss of possession occurred.

Initially, we disagree with Napoleoni's assertion that the BANA and SPS lost note affidavits were inconsistent, which is premised on the proposition that both servicers purported to have lost the note. Indeed, BANA's lost note affidavit indicates that it acquired possession of the note in 2006 when it began servicing Napoleoni's loan and lost possession of the instrument sometime between 2006 and 2012 when the affidavit was executed,¹ while SPS's lost note affidavit simply indicated that it was the

¹While Napoleoni contends that the BANA lost note affidavit falsely asserts that BANA acquired possession of the note in December 2006 because its predecessor through merger did not begin servicing her loan until 2007, the question of whether the predecessor first acquired possession of the note in December 2006 or February 2007 is not material to the question of whether one of these entities eventually lost the note at a time that would satisfy the requirements of NRS 104.3309(1)(a). See *Wood*, 121 Nev. at 730, 121 P.3d at 1030 (providing that facts are material when

current servicer for Napoleoni's loan in 2017 and had checked its records for the note but could not locate it. Thus, her argument on this point lacks merit.

Before considering Napoleoni's assertion that the BANA and SPS lost note affidavits were insufficient to satisfy NRS 104.3309(1)(a) because they did not precisely establish when loss of possession occurred, we must briefly address the validity of the 2010 assignment of the deed of trust and note since that assignment is an inflection point in our analysis of who was entitled to enforce the note when loss of possession occurred. As discussed above, MERS executed the 2010 assignment on behalf of Impac to transfer ownership of the deed of trust and note to Deutsche Bank, which it was authorized to do under the deed of trust, acting as the beneficiary of the deed of trust and Impac's agent. *See Jones*, 136 Nev. at 132, 460 P.3d at 961 (reaching the same conclusion); *Davis v. U.S. Bank, Nat'l Ass'n*, No. 56306, 2012 WL 642544, at *1 n.3 (Nev. Feb. 24, 2012) (Order of Affirmance) (recognizing that, when MERS is appointed as the beneficiary of the deed of trust and lender's nominee, it may assign the lender's ownership in a note even when it lacks a beneficial ownership interest in the instrument).

Although Napoleoni contends that the 2010 assignment was defective because it listed the incorrect loan identification number, included a handwritten parcel number, was executed in violation of an internal MERS policy against assigning notes on behalf of lenders, and effected a transfer into a securitization trust several years after the trust was

they "might affect the outcome of the suit under the governing law" (internal quotation marks omitted)).

established and later dissolved, such purported defects would, at most, render the assignment voidable, and Napoleoni lacks standing to challenge a voidable assignment. *See Wood v. Germann*, 130 Nev. 553, 556-57, 331 P.3d 859, 861 (2014) (providing that homeowners lack standing to challenge voidable deed of trust assignments and concluding that a deed of trust assignment executed after the closing date of a pooling and servicing agreement was merely voidable); *see also Hosseini v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, No. C-13-02066 DMR, 2013 WL 4279632, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2013) (reasoning that the plaintiff could not challenge an assignment of a deed of trust into a securitization trust on the basis that the assignment occurred after the securitization trust dissolved because plaintiffs lack standing to challenge the process by which their mortgages are securitized); *Galvan v. Nationstar Mortg., LLC*, No 76214-COA, 2020 WL 3970205, at *4 (Nev. Ct. App. July 13, 2020) (Order of Affirmance) (concluding that, if an assignment from MERS was executed without MERS authorization, the assignment would merely be voidable); Restatement (Second) of Contracts § 7 (Am. Law Inst. 1981) (explaining that a voidable contract is one in which a party has the power to avoid or ratify the legal obligations imposed by it). Insofar as Napoleoni relies on the foregoing to assert that the 2010 assignment was fabricated in connection with this litigation, the record is devoid of any evidence showing that the assignment was not properly executed in 2010, and Napoleoni cannot rely “on the gossamer threads of whimsy, speculation, and conjecture” to avoid summary judgment. *See Wood*, 121 Nev. at 732, 121 P.3d at 1031 (internal quotation marks omitted).

Thus, we conclude that the 2010 assignment of the deed of trust and note was valid. And although we recognize that the record includes two

subsequent assignments in which MERS purported to transfer the deed of trust and note to Deutsche Bank on behalf of Impac, the operative assignment is the 2010 assignment because it was valid and MERS could not transfer interests that it had already assigned. *See Zakarian v. Option One Mortg. Corp.*, 642 F. Supp. 2d. 1206, 1213 (D. Haw. 2009) (“Once a valid and unqualified assignment is made, all interests and rights of the assignor are transferred to the assignee[, and] the assignor loses all control over the thing assigned . . .”).

Given that the 2010 assignment of the deed of trust was valid and that Napoleoni failed to present any material evidence to contradict BANA’s lost note affidavit, which established that the note was lost while it was in BANA’s possession within an approximately six-year period ending in 2012, one of two things must be true. Either the note was lost prior to the 2010 assignment, in which case one of NRS 104.3309(1)(a)’s alternate requirements was satisfied because Deutsche Bank acquired ownership of the note from Impac, which was entitled to enforce the instrument at the time by way of its agency relationship with BANA.² *See*

²If the note was lost prior to the 2010 assignment, then the statement in that assignment that MERS endorsed the note would necessarily be false. However, even without the endorsement, the 2010 assignment would have effectively transferred the deed of trust, together with the note. This is necessarily so because “[t]ransferring a deed of trust . . . also transfers the obligation that it secures unless the parties to the transfer agree otherwise.” *See Jones*, 136 Nev. at 132, 460 P.3d at 961(internal quotation marks omitted). Here, the 2010 assignment specifically indicated that MERS was assigning both the deed of trust and the note to Deutsche Bank on behalf of Impac, and nothing in the record suggests that the parties to the transaction agreed upon a different course.

Edelstein v. Bank of N.Y. Mellon, 128 Nev. 505, 523-24, 286 P.3d 249, 261-62 (2012) (concluding that the bank was entitled to enforce both the note and the deed of trust because its trustee had physical possession of the note); *see also In re Montierth*, 131 Nev. 543, 548, 354 P.3d 648, 651 (2015) (holding that reunification of the note and the deed of trust is unnecessary where there is a principal-agent relationship between the holder of the note and the holder of the deed of trust). Alternatively, the note was lost after the 2010 assignment, in which case NRS 104.3309(1)(a)'s other alternate requirement was satisfied because Deutsche Bank was entitled to enforce the instrument by way of its agency relationship with BANA when loss of possession occurred.³ *See Edelstein*, 128 Nev. at 524, 286 P.3d at 261-62; *see also In re Montierth*, 131 Nev. at 548, 354 P.3d at 651. Thus, in either scenario, Deutsche Bank presented sufficient evidence to satisfy one of NRS

³If MERS did endorse the note in connection with the 2010 assignment, this would not necessarily mean that the note left BANA's possession, and because BANA's uncontested lost note affidavit indicates that it did not lose possession as a result of a transfer, it would not be reasonable to draw an inference that a transfer of possession from BANA to MERS occurred given the way that the MERS system is widely recognized to operate. *See, e.g., Jackson v. Mortg. Elec. Registration Sys., Inc.*, 770 N.W. 2d 487, 491 (Minn. 2009) (discussing the MERS system and explaining that when documentation such as an assignment is necessary to effect a transfer of a mortgage loan, "MERS does not draft or execute the paperwork on behalf of its members," but instead "instructs its members to have someone on their own staff become a certified MERS officer with authority to sign on behalf of MERS"); *Edelstein*, 128 Nev. at 515-16, 286 P.3d at 256 (approvingly citing to *Jackson* in discussing the MERS system); *see also Wood*, 121 Nev. at 729, 121 P.3d at 1029 (providing that, when reviewing a motion for summary judgment, all *reasonable* inferences from the evidence must be drawn in favor of the nonmoving party).

104.3309(1)(a)'s alternate requirements, and we therefore discern no basis for relief in this respect.⁴

Moreover, the record further demonstrates that Deutsche Bank satisfied NRS 104.3309's remaining requirements for enforcing a lost note. In particular, Deutsche Bank demonstrated that possession of the note had not been lost due to a transfer or lawful seizure by producing the BANA and SPS lost note affidavits wherein the representatives of those companies averred to the foregoing fact. *See* NRS 104.3309(1)(b). The BANA and SPS representatives also averred that the note could not be reasonably obtained because its whereabouts could not be determined or it had been destroyed. *See* NRS 104.3309(1)(c). The averments that the note had not been transferred and could not be located are bolstered by Napoleoni's repeated assertions throughout these proceedings that she did not know to whom to

⁴To the extent that Napoleoni cites caselaw from New York for the proposition that a lost note affidavit must provide specific details as to where, when, and how a note was lost as well as the type of search that was conducted to locate the note, we are unpersuaded, as the Nevada Supreme Court has relied, at least in part, on a similarly vague lost note affidavit to conclude that a bank established its entitlement to enforce a lost note pursuant to NRS 104.3309. *See Jones*, 136 Nev. at 130, 132-33, 460 P.3d at 960, 961-62 (considering a lost note affidavit wherein the affiant represented that a bank's loan servicer had conducted a diligent search to locate a note, which could not reasonably be obtained because it had been lost or destroyed); *see also*, e.g., *Sabido v. Bank of N.Y. Mellon*, 241 So. 3d 865, 867 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2017) (stating that a bank seeking to reestablish a lost note need not prove "when, how, and by whom the note was lost," but instead, need only show that it or its predecessor in interest were entitled to enforce the note when loss of possession occurred (internal quotation marks omitted)).

make the mortgage payments, which support an inference that, aside from Deutsche Bank and its servicers, no other party has claimed a right to enforce the note since Deutsche Bank acquired ownership of the instrument. *See Jones*, 136 Nev. at 133, 460 P.3d at 962 (drawing a similar inference based on a homeowner's representation that she did not know to whom to make mortgage payments). Deutsche Bank also established the terms of the note by producing a copy that corroborated its description of the instrument's terms. *See* NRS 104.3309(2). And Deutsche Bank demonstrated that Napoleoni would be adequately protected from any loss that might occur as a result of another party asserting a right to enforce the note by producing the SPS lost note affidavit, wherein SPS's representative averred that SPS would indemnify Napoleoni against damages arising from any such claims.⁵ *See id.*

Because the record is devoid of any evidence to contradict the foregoing, we conclude that Deutsche Bank established by a preponderance of the evidence its right to enforce the note. *See* NRS 104.3309(2); *Jones*, 136 Nev. at 131, 460 P.3d at 961. Consequently, we further conclude that the district court did not err by granting Deutsche Bank's motion for

⁵The district court's order does not include any specific findings as to whether Napoleoni will be adequately protected from potential third-party claims; however, in light of the representation in SPS's lost note affidavit, we discern no prejudice to Napoleoni. *See Wyeth v. Rowatt*, 126 Nev. 446, 465, 244 P.3d 765, 778 (2010) (providing that a prejudicial error is one that "affects [a] party's substantial rights so that, but for the alleged error, a different result might reasonably have been reached"); *cf.* NRCP 61 ("At every stage of the proceeding, the court must disregard all error and defects that do not affect any party's substantial rights.").


summary judgment, albeit for reasons slightly different than those relied on by the district court. *See Wood*, 121 Nev. at 729, 121 P.3d at 1029; *see also Rosenstein v. Steele*, 103 Nev. 571, 575, 747 P.2d 230, 233 (1987) (providing that Nevada's appellate courts "will affirm the order of the district court if it reached the correct result, albeit for different reasons").

Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.⁶



Gibbons, C.J.



Bulla, J.



Westbrook, J.

cc: Hon. Susan Johnson, District Judge
Lissette Salazar Napoleoni
Smith Larsen & Wixom
Eighth District Court Clerk

⁶Insofar as Napoleoni raises arguments that are not specifically addressed herein, we have reviewed them and conclude they do not warrant relief.

APPENDIX 2

1

)

1
i

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LISSETTE SALAZAR NAPOLEONI, AN
INDIVIDUAL,
Appellant,
vs.
DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST
COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF OF
THE HOLDERS OF THE IMPAC SECURED
ASSETS CORP. MORTGAGE PASS-
THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2007-1,
Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 84696
District Court Case No. A803643

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Elizabeth A. Brown, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

JUDGMENT

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows:

""ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.""

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 26th day of February, 2024.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed
my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme
Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada this
March 25, 2024.

Elizabeth A. Brown, Supreme Court Clerk

By: Elyse Hooper
Administrative Assistant

1 FFCL
2 NATHAN F. SMITH, Nevada Bar No. #12642
3 GREGORY L. WILDE, Nevada Bar No. #4417
4 MALCOLM + CISNEROS, A Law Corporation
5 1731 Village Center Circle, #120
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
7 Phone: (702) 870-1771

8 *Attorney's for Plaintiff*

9
10 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

12 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL)
13 TRUST COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE, ON)
14 BEHLAF OF THE HOLDERS OF THE)
15 IMPAC SECURED ASSETS CORP.)
16 MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH)
17 CERTIFICATES SERIES 2007-1)

18 Plaintiff)
19 vs.)
20 LISSETTE SALAZAR NAPOLEONI,)
21 an individual, DOE INDIVIDUALS I)
22 through X, inclusive, and ROE)
23 CORPORATIONS I through x, inclusive)
24 Defendants.)

25)
26)
27)
28)
29)
30)
31)
32)
33)
34)
35)
36)
37)
38)
39)
40)
41)
42)
43)
44)
45)
46)
47)
48)
49)
50)
51)
52)
53)
54)
55)
56)
57)
58)
59)
60)
61)
62)
63)
64)
65)
66)
67)
68)
69)
70)
71)
72)
73)
74)
75)
76)
77)
78)
79)
80)
81)
82)
83)
84)
85)
86)
87)
88)
89)
90)
91)
92)
93)
94)
95)
96)
97)
98)
99)
100)
101)
102)
103)
104)
105)
106)
107)
108)
109)
110)
111)
112)
113)
114)
115)
116)
117)
118)
119)
120)
121)
122)
123)
124)
125)
126)
127)
128)
129)
130)
131)
132)
133)
134)
135)
136)
137)
138)
139)
140)
141)
142)
143)
144)
145)
146)
147)
148)
149)
150)
151)
152)
153)
154)
155)
156)
157)
158)
159)
160)
161)
162)
163)
164)
165)
166)
167)
168)
169)
170)
171)
172)
173)
174)
175)
176)
177)
178)
179)
180)
181)
182)
183)
184)
185)
186)
187)
188)
189)
190)
191)
192)
193)
194)
195)
196)
197)
198)
199)
200)
201)
202)
203)
204)
205)
206)
207)
208)
209)
210)
211)
212)
213)
214)
215)
216)
217)
218)
219)
220)
221)
222)
223)
224)
225)
226)
227)
228)
229)
230)
231)
232)
233)
234)
235)
236)
237)
238)
239)
240)
241)
242)
243)
244)
245)
246)
247)
248)
249)
250)
251)
252)
253)
254)
255)
256)
257)
258)
259)
260)
261)
262)
263)
264)
265)
266)
267)
268)
269)
270)
271)
272)
273)
274)
275)
276)
277)
278)
279)
280)
281)
282)
283)
284)
285)
286)
287)
288)
289)
290)
291)
292)
293)
294)
295)
296)
297)
298)
299)
300)
301)
302)
303)
304)
305)
306)
307)
308)
309)
310)
311)
312)
313)
314)
315)
316)
317)
318)
319)
320)
321)
322)
323)
324)
325)
326)
327)
328)
329)
330)
331)
332)
333)
334)
335)
336)
337)
338)
339)
340)
341)
342)
343)
344)
345)
346)
347)
348)
349)
350)
351)
352)
353)
354)
355)
356)
357)
358)
359)
360)
361)
362)
363)
364)
365)
366)
367)
368)
369)
370)
371)
372)
373)
374)
375)
376)
377)
378)
379)
380)
381)
382)
383)
384)
385)
386)
387)
388)
389)
390)
391)
392)
393)
394)
395)
396)
397)
398)
399)
400)
401)
402)
403)
404)
405)
406)
407)
408)
409)
410)
411)
412)
413)
414)
415)
416)
417)
418)
419)
420)
421)
422)
423)
424)
425)
426)
427)
428)
429)
430)
431)
432)
433)
434)
435)
436)
437)
438)
439)
440)
441)
442)
443)
444)
445)
446)
447)
448)
449)
450)
451)
452)
453)
454)
455)
456)
457)
458)
459)
460)
461)
462)
463)
464)
465)
466)
467)
468)
469)
470)
471)
472)
473)
474)
475)
476)
477)
478)
479)
480)
481)
482)
483)
484)
485)
486)
487)
488)
489)
490)
491)
492)
493)
494)
495)
496)
497)
498)
499)
500)
501)
502)
503)
504)
505)
506)
507)
508)
509)
510)
511)
512)
513)
514)
515)
516)
517)
518)
519)
520)
521)
522)
523)
524)
525)
526)
527)
528)
529)
530)
531)
532)
533)
534)
535)
536)
537)
538)
539)
540)
541)
542)
543)
544)
545)
546)
547)
548)
549)
550)
551)
552)
553)
554)
555)
556)
557)
558)
559)
560)
561)
562)
563)
564)
565)
566)
567)
568)
569)
570)
571)
572)
573)
574)
575)
576)
577)
578)
579)
580)
581)
582)
583)
584)
585)
586)
587)
588)
589)
590)
591)
592)
593)
594)
595)
596)
597)
598)
599)
600)
601)
602)
603)
604)
605)
606)
607)
608)
609)
610)
611)
612)
613)
614)
615)
616)
617)
618)
619)
620)
621)
622)
623)
624)
625)
626)
627)
628)
629)
630)
631)
632)
633)
634)
635)
636)
637)
638)
639)
640)
641)
642)
643)
644)
645)
646)
647)
648)
649)
650)
651)
652)
653)
654)
655)
656)
657)
658)
659)
660)
661)
662)
663)
664)
665)
666)
667)
668)
669)
670)
671)
672)
673)
674)
675)
676)
677)
678)
679)
680)
681)
682)
683)
684)
685)
686)
687)
688)
689)
690)
691)
692)
693)
694)
695)
696)
697)
698)
699)
700)
701)
702)
703)
704)
705)
706)
707)
708)
709)
710)
711)
712)
713)
714)
715)
716)
717)
718)
719)
720)
721)
722)
723)
724)
725)
726)
727)
728)
729)
730)
731)
732)
733)
734)
735)
736)
737)
738)
739)
740)
741)
742)
743)
744)
745)
746)
747)
748)
749)
750)
751)
752)
753)
754)
755)
756)
757)
758)
759)
760)
761)
762)
763)
764)
765)
766)
767)
768)
769)
770)
771)
772)
773)
774)
775)
776)
777)
778)
779)
780)
781)
782)
783)
784)
785)
786)
787)
788)
789)
790)
791)
792)
793)
794)
795)
796)
797)
798)
799)
800)
801)
802)
803)
804)
805)
806)
807)
808)
809)
810)
811)
812)
813)
814)
815)
816)
817)
818)
819)
820)
821)
822)
823)
824)
825)
826)
827)
828)
829)
830)
831)
832)
833)
834)
835)
836)
837)
838)
839)
840)
841)
842)
843)
844)
845)
846)
847)
848)
849)
850)
851)
852)
853)
854)
855)
856)
857)
858)
859)
860)
861)
862)
863)
864)
865)
866)
867)
868)
869)
870)
871)
872)
873)
874)
875)
876)
877)
878)
879)
880)
881)
882)
883)
884)
885)
886)
887)
888)
889)
890)
891)
892)
893)
894)
895)
896)
897)
898)
899)
900)
901)
902)
903)
904)
905)
906)
907)
908)
909)
910)
911)
912)
913)
914)
915)
916)
917)
918)
919)
920)
921)
922)
923)
924)
925)
926)
927)
928)
929)
930)
931)
932)
933)
934)
935)
936)
937)
938)
939)
940)
941)
942)
943)
944)
945)
946)
947)
948)
949)
950)
951)
952)
953)
954)
955)
956)
957)
958)
959)
960)
961)
962)
963)
964)
965)
966)
967)
968)
969)
970)
971)
972)
973)
974)
975)
976)
977)
978)
979)
980)
981)
982)
983)
984)
985)
986)
987)
988)
989)
990)
991)
992)
993)
994)
995)
996)
997)
998)
999)
1000)
1001)
1002)
1003)
1004)
1005)
1006)
1007)
1008)
1009)
1010)
1011)
1012)
1013)
1014)
1015)
1016)
1017)
1018)
1019)
1020)
1021)
1022)
1023)
1024)
1025)
1026)
1027)
1028)
1029)
1030)
1031)
1032)
1033)
1034)
1035)
1036)
1037)
1038)
1039)
1040)
1041)
1042)
1043)
1044)
1045)
1046)
1047)
1048)
1049)
1050)
1051)
1052)
1053)
1054)
1055)
1056)
1057)
1058)
1059)
1060)
1061)
1062)
1063)
1064)
1065)
1066)
1067)
1068)
1069)
1070)
1071)
1072)
1073)
1074)
1075)
1076)
1077)
1078)
1079)
1080)
1081)
1082)
1083)
1084)
1085)
1086)
1087)
1088)
1089)
1090)
1091)
1092)
1093)
1094)
1095)
1096)
1097)
1098)
1099)
1100)
1101)
1102)
1103)
1104)
1105)
1106)
1107)
1108)
1109)
1110)
1111)
1112)
1113)
1114)
1115)
1116)
1117)
1118)
1119)
1120)
1121)
1122)
1123)
1124)
1125)
1126)
1127)
1128)
1129)
1130)
1131)
1132)
1133)
1134)
1135)
1136)
1137)
1138)
1139)
1140)
1141)
1142)
1143)
1144)
1145)
1146)
1147)
1148)
1149)
1150)
1151)
1152)
1153)
1154)
1155)
1156)
1157)
1158)
1159)
1160)
1161)
1162)
1163)
1164)
1165)
1166)
1167)
1168)
1169)
1170)
1171)
1172)
1173)
1174)
1175)
1176)
1177)
1178)
1179)
1180)
1181)
1182)
1183)
1184)
1185)
1186)
1187)
1188)
1189)
1190)
1191)
1192)
1193)
1194)
1195)
1196)
1197)
1198)
1199)
1200)
1201)
1202)
1203)
1204)
1205)
1206)
1207)
1208)
1209)
1210)
1211)
1212)
1213)
1214)
1215)
1216)
1217)
1218)
1219)
1220)
1221)
1222)
1223)
1224)
1225)
1226)
1227)
1228)
1229)
1230)
1231)
1232)
1233)
1234)
1235)
1236)
1237)
1238)
1239)
1240)
1241)
1242)
1243)
1244)
1245)
1246)
1247)
1248)
1249)
1250)
1251)
1252)
1253)
1254)
1255)
1256)
1257)
1258)
1259)
1260)
1261)
1262)
1263)
1264)
1265)
1266)
1267)
1268)
1269)
1270)
1271)
1272)
1273)
1274)
1275)
1276)
1277)
1278)
1279)
1280)
1281)
1282)
1283)
1284)
1285)
1286)
1287)
1288)
1289)
1290)
1291)
1292)
1293)
1294)
1295)
1296)
1297)
1298)
1299)
1300)
1301)
1302)
1303)
1304)
1305)
1306)
1307)
1308)
1309)
1310)
1311)
1312)
1313)
1314)
1315)
1316)
1317)
1318)
1319)
1320)
1321)
1322)
1323)
1324)
1325)
1326)
1327)
1328)
1329)
1330)
1331)
1332)
1333)
1334)
1335)
1336)
1337)
1338)
1339)
1340)
1341)
1342)
1343)
1344)
1345)
1346)
1347)
1348)
1349)
1350)
1351)
1352)
1353)
1354)
1355)
1356)
1357)
1358)
1359)
1360)
1361)
1362)
1363)
1364)
1365)
1366)
1367)
1368)
1369)
1370)
1371)
1372)
1373)
1374)
1375)
1376)
1377)
1378)
1379)
1380)
1381)
1382)
1383)
1384)
1385)
1386)
1387)
1388)
1389)
1390)
1391)
1392)
1393)
1394)
1395)
1396)
1397)
1398)
1399)
1400)
1401)
1402)
1403)
1404)
1405)
1406)
1407)
1408)
1409)
1410)
1411)
1412)
1413)
1414)
1415)
1416)
1417)
1418)
1419)
1420)
1421)
1422)
1423)
1424)
1425)
1426)
1427)
1428)
1429)
1430)
1431)
1432)
1433)
1434)
1435)
1436)
1437)
1438)
1439)
1440)
1441)
1442)
1443)
1444)
1445)
1446)
1447)
1448)
1449)
1450)
1451)
1452)
1453)
1454)
1455)
1456)
1457)
1458)
1459)
1460)
1461)
1462)
1463)
1464)
1465)
1466)
1467)
1468)
1469)
1470)
1471)
1472)
1473)
1474)
1475)
1476)
1477)
1478)
1479)
1480)
1481)
1482)
1483)
1484)
1485)
1486)
1487)
1488)
1489)
1490)
1491)
1492)
1493)
1494)
1495)
1496)
1497)
1498)
1499

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
1. **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Plaintiff is a lender that holds a Note and Deed of Trust secured by property located at
2 1465 PAWNEE DRIVE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89169 ("Property").

2. The Property is currently owned by Defendant Lissette Salazar Napoleoni,
3 (hereinafter "NAPOLEONI").

4. The underlying promissory note ("Note") and deed of trust ("Deed of Trust") were
5 originally executed on or about December 12, 2006, with IMPAC FUNDING CORPORATION dba
6 IMPAC LEDNING GROUP as the original lender.

7. Plaintiff later became the successor-in-interest to the Note and Deed of Trust using
8 Bank of America Loan Servicing, LP: as its servicing agent.

9. Plaintiff is currently using Select Portfolio Servicing as its servicing agent.

10. NAPOLEONI fell behind in her monthly payments and filed a chapter 13 bankruptcy
11 proceeding in Las Vegas, District of Nevada, case 10-13688-LEB, on March 8, 2010.

12. On August 24, 2010, Plaintiff filed a Proof of Claim in NAPOLEONI's
13 chapter 13 bankruptcy case listing pre-petition (pre-bankruptcy) payment arrears of \$22,352.59.

14. On December 27, 2010, NAPOLEONI filed a Motion to Value the Property, seeking
15 to strip the lien held by a second deed of trust holder, thereby acknowledging Plaintiff's interest in
16 the Note and validating Plaintiff's Proof of Claim.

17. After stripping the second deed of trust from the Property, NAPOLEONI requested a
18 loan modification from Plaintiff.

19. On May 23, 2011, Plaintiff, by and through its servicer, entered into a loan
20 modification agreement with NAPOLEONI agreeing to add the arrears to the loan balance, payable
21 at the end of the loan term, and lowering the interest rate to 3%.

22. On February 9, 2012, Plaintiff, by and through its servicing agent, filed an Amended
23 Proof of Claim recognizing the loan modification.

24. NAPOLEONI later defaulted on the Note payments causing Plaintiff to initiate a non-
25 judicial foreclosure proceeding.

26. Plaintiff could not locate the original copy of the Note, which is required under
27

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
17

1 Nevada law to initiate a foreclosure proceeding.

2 14. Plaintiff has searched its records and business premises for the original Note and has
3 also contacted the previous servicer but the original copy of the Note is nowhere to be found.

4 15. On or about March 16, 2017, Plaintiff executed a Lost Note Affidavit with a copy of
5 the Note attached.

6 16. NAPOLEONI continues to occupy the premises even though a payment has not been
7 made towards the Note since February 1, 2014.

8 17. Plaintiff brought this suit seeking a Court order allowing it to use a copy of the Note
9 as the original for purposes of initiating a foreclosure proceeding.

10 **II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

11 A. The purpose of a motion for summary judgment is to obviate trials when they would
12 serve no useful purpose. *Short v. Hotel Riviera, Inc.*, 79 Nev. 94, 378 P.2d 979 (1963).

13 B. "Summary judgment is appropriate and shall be rendered forthwith when the
14 pleadings and other evidence on file demonstrate that no genuine issue as to any material fact
15 [remains] and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." *Wood v. Safeway,*
16 *Inc.*, 121 Nev. 724, 729 (2005).

17 C. NRS 104.3309 allows a party that has lost the original of security instrument to seek
18 permission from the Court to enforce a copy as though it is the original. The statute provides:

19 "A person not in possession of an instrument is entitled to enforce the
20 instrument if:

- 21 (a) The person seeking to enforce the instrument:
 - 22 (1) Was entitled to enforce the instrument when loss of possession
23 occurred; or
 - 24 (2) Has directly or indirectly acquired ownership of the instrument
25 from a person who was entitled to enforce the instrument when
loss of possession occurred;
- 26 (b) The loss of possession was not the result of a transfer by the
27 person or a lawful seizure; and
- 28 (c) The person cannot reasonably obtain possession of the instrument
because the instrument was destroyed, its whereabouts cannot be
determined, or it is in the wrongful possession of an unknown person
or a person that cannot be found or is not amenable to service of
process."

1 A person seeking enforcement of an instrument under subsection
2 1 must prove the terms of the instrument and his or her right to enforce
3 the instrument. If that proof is made, NRS 104.3308 applies to the case
4 as if the person seeking enforcement had produced the instrument."

5 D. Plaintiff was entitled to enforce the Note when loss of possession occurred.
6 E. The loss of possession was not the result of an improper transfer or lawful seizure.
7 F. Plaintiff cannot reasonably obtain possession of the original note because it may have
8 been destroyed, its whereabouts cannot be determined, or it is in the possession of an unknown entity
9 that cannot be found despite efforts to locate the original Note.

10 G. Plaintiff and NAPOLEONI have been dealing with each other on this loan since
11 2010, never disputing the amounts owed or the ownership of the security interest.

12 H. NAPOLEONI approached Plaintiff for the loan modification after Plaintiff filed a
13 Proof of Claim in her bankruptcy case.

14 I. NAPOLEONI signed the loan modification agreement after Plaintiff gave her very
15 generous terms regarding the Note and Deed of Trust.

16 J. Her bankruptcy counsel never objected to the Proof of Claim or Amended Proof of
17 Claim, both of which list Plaintiff as the beneficiary/lender

18 K. 11 U.S.C. § 502(a) provides that a proof of claim "*is deemed allowed, unless a party
in interest ... objects.*"

19 L. NAPOLEONI 1) recognized Plaintiff's authority to modify the loan during her
20 bankruptcy, 2) relied on Plaintiff's Proof of Claim to strip the lien of the second deed of trust holder,
21 and 3) failed to object to Plaintiff's Proof of Claim or Amended Proof of Claim.

22 M. There is no reason to believe that an outside entity may attempt to enforce the Note
23 against NAPOLEONI based on the ten (10) year history of only one lender dealing with one
24 borrower concerning the secured debt on the Property.

25 N. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff has demonstrated that there is no genuine dispute as
26 to any material fact as to Plaintiff's claim for a declaratory judgment that it is entitled to enforce the
27 Note pursuant to NRS 104.3309 and that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

28 ///

29 ///

JUDGMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is granted and Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff and Against Napoleoni. Plaintiff is entitled to enforce a copy of the Note as an original for purposes of enforcement pursuant to NRS 104.3309.

Dated this 14th day of April, 2022

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2032

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
208 74A 7BF7 7502
Susan Johnson
District Court Judge

Respectfully Submitted,

Malcolm ♦ Cisneros, A Law Corporation

By: /s/ Nathan F. Smith
NATHAN F. SMITH
Attorney for Plaintiff

"Refused to Sign"
Lissette Salazar Napoleoni
Defendant

Electronically Filed
4/15/2022 11:41 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

Steven D. Grierson

1 **NOTC**

2 NATHAN F. SMITH, Nevada Bar No. #12642
3 MALCOLM ♦ CISNEROS, A Law Corporation
4 7473 W. Lake Mead Blvd. #100
5 Las Vegas, NV 89128
6 Telephone: (702) 728-5285
7 Telecopier: (949) 252-1032
8 Email: nathan@mclaw.org

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

10 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**
11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

12 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST
13 COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF OF
14 THE HOLDERS OF THE IMPAC SECURED
15 ASSETS CORP. MORTGAGE PASS-
16 THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2007-1

17 **CASE NUMBER: A-19-803643-C**
18 **DEPARTMENT NUMBER: 22**

19 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**

20 Plaintiff.

21 vs.

22 LISSETTE SALAZAR NAPOLEONI, an
23 individual, DOE INDIVIDUALS 1 through X
24 inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through
25 X, inclusive,

26 Defendants.

27 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**

28 *Malcolm ♦ Cisneros, A Law Corporation*
3375 S. Jones Blvd., Suite 5
Las Vegas, NV 89103

PAGE 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

1
2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-entitled Court entered the following Finding of
3 Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment in favor of Plaintiff on 14th day of April, 2022. A copy of
4 the Final Judgment is attached as "Exhibit 1".
5
6

DATED this 15th day of April, 2022

7 PER NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury
8 that the foregoing is true and correct.

9
10 */s/Nathan F. Smith*
11 NATHAN F. SMITH #12642
12 Attorney for Plaintiff
13
14
15
16

ODM

1 | ORD

2 MALCOM CISNEROS, A LAW CORPORATION
3 NATHAN F. SMITH, #12642
4 7473 W. Lake Mead Blvd. #100
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
Phone: (702) 728-5285
Fax: (949) 232-1032
Email: nathan@mclaw.org

7 Attorney for DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE, ON BEHALF OF
8 THE HOLDERS OF THE IMPAC SECURED ASSETS CORP. MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH
CERTIFICATES SERIES 2007-1

13 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL)
14 TRUST COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE, ON)
15 BEHALF OF THE HOLDERS OF THE)
16 IMPAC SECURED ASSETS CORP.)
17 MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH)
18 CERTIFICATES SERIES 2007-1)
19 Plaintiff)
20 vs.)
21 LISSETTE SALAZAR NAPOLEONI,)
22 an individual, DOE INDIVIDUALS I)
through X, inclusive, and ROE)
CORPORATIONS I through x, inclusive)
Defendants.)
ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO RECONSIDER,
AMENDED MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION AND SECOND
AMENDED MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION
CASE NO: A-19-803643-C
DEPT. NO: 22


ORDER

25 On or about April 28, 2022, Defendant LISSETTE SALAZAR NAPOLEONI ("Defendant")
26 filed a Motion to Reconsider. On or about May 2, 2022, Defendant filed an Amended Motion for
27 Reconsideration. On or about May 27, 2022, Defendant filed a Second Amended Motion for
28 Reconsideration. The Court examined Defendant's Motion to Reconsider filed on April 28, 2022.

1 Defendant's Amended Motion for Reconsideration filed on May 2, 2022 and Defendant's Second
2 Amended Motion for Reconsideration filed on May 27, 2022, and noted Defendant did not
3 adequately show the requirements of NRCP 60(b) in order to receive relief from the Court's
4 Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment in favor of Plaintiff ~~and there is good cause~~
5 ~~therefore.~~

6 IT IS ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Reconsider filed on April 28, 2022,
7 Defendant's Amended Motion for Reconsideration filed on May 2, 2022 and Defendant's Second
8 Amended Motion for Reconsideration filed on May 27, 2022 are DENIED. The matters scheduled
9 to be heard Tuesday, May 31, 2022 at 8:30 a.m. and Thursday, June 2, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. are
10 VACATED pursuant to EDCR 7.21. Dated this 2nd day of June, 2022

11 7.21



12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13 D4B DCB 1DFE E3C3
14 Susan Johnson
15 District Court Judge

16 Respectfully Submitted,

17 Malcolm ♦ Cisneros, A Law Corporation

18 By: /s/ Nathan F. Smith
19 NATHAN F. SMITH, #12642
20 7473 W. Lake Mead Blvd. #100
21 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
22 Phone: (702) 728-5285
23 Fax: (949) 232-1032
24 Email: nathan@mclaw.org
25 Attorney for Plaintiff

26
27
28
PAGE 2

Malcolm ♦ Cisneros, A Law Corporation
2112 Business Center Drive, Second Floor
Irvine, CA 92612

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Deutche Bank National Trust
Company, Plaintiff(s) | CASE NO: A-19-803643-C
vs. | DEPT. NO. Department 22
Lissette Napoleoni, Defendant(s)

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Denying Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 6/2/2022

Nathan Smith	Nathan@mclaw.org
Lissette Napoleoni	napoleoni_lissette@yahoo.com
Gregory Wilde	greg@wildelawyers.com