

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-50812
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
versus

GAVIN BLAKE DAVIS,
Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas

USDC No. 5:22-CR-219-1

Before SMITH, HIGGINSON, and
ENGLEHARDT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Gavin Davis is a pre-trial detainee proceeding pro per. This is an interlocutory appeal of the denial of his purported 18 U.S.C. § 3164 motion for immediate release from detention.

We first examine the basis of our jurisdiction. *Mosely v. Cozby*, 813 F.2d 659, 660 (5th Cir. 1987). Federal appellate courts have

* This opinion is not designated for publication. See. 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

APPENDIX A

jurisdiction over appeals only from final orders per 28 U.S.C. § 1291; (2) orders that are deemed final per a jurisprudential exception, such as the collateral order doctrine; (3) interlocutory orders specified in 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a); and (4) interlocutory orders that are properly certified for appeal by the district court under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) or 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). *Dardar v. Lafourche Realty Co.*, 849 F. 2d 955, 957 (5th Cir. 1988).

Davis's notice of interlocutory appeal asserts that he is appealing the denial of his motion for immediate release under § 3164. But § 3164 became ineffective on July 1, 1980, when the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3162 took effect. See 18 U.S.C. § 3163(c); *United States v. Krohn*, 558 F. 2d 390, 393 (8th Cir. 1977). Section 3162 now provides for the dismissal of an indictment when the Speedy Trial Act is violated. 18 U.S.C. § 3162(a)(1), (2). But we lack jurisdiction to consider interlocutory appeals of denials of Speedy Trial Act rulings. See *United States v. Crawford Enters.*, 754 F. 2d 1272, 1273 (5th Cir. 1985).

In addition, Davis has filed motions in this court seeking to stay the trial in the district court, to consolidate this case with another pending interlocutory appeal (No. 23-50917), for the appointment of counsel, for release from detention per Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 9, and to extend the time to file a

APPENDIX A

reply to the government's response to his motion for release. In light of our determination that we lack jurisdiction, Davis's motion are DENIED. Because we lack jurisdiction to consider Davis's interlocutory appeal, the appeal is DISMISSED.

APPENDIX A

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit**

No. 23-50812

United States of America,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
versus

Gavin Blake Davis,
Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 5:22-CR-219-1

ON PETITION FOR REHEARING

Before SMITH, HIGGINSON, and
ENGLEHARDT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for
rehearing is DENIED.

APPENDIX B

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**