

24-5203 ORIGINAL

IN THE

FILED
MAY 23 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SU. REME COURT, U.S.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

James B. Jordan — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

CA County District Attorney vs. RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US District Court of Appeals 9th Circuit

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

James B. Jordan

(Your Name)

311 Laurel Avenue

(Address)

Leavel, Maryland 20707

(City, State, Zip Code)

240-604-0968

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Why does CA County District Attorney allow illegal terrorism by CA County Sheriffs and Los Angeles Police against James B. Jordan.

Why does James B. Jordan continue to get arrested for assault on a Law Enforcement officer in Los Angeles County (Body Camera Recording) but no charges are filed by CA County District Attorney Prosecutor after James is jailed for three days.

Why does James harassment, terrorism Complaints continue to be ignored by CA County District Attorney regarding abuse, assault, traumatic injuries from CA County Sheriffs.

Why does James Cases continue to be DA rejected by CA County District Attorney but no internal investigation is conducted by CA County District Attorney on Sheriffs, etc.

Why is James (ex CA County employee) being retaliated by Los Angeles County and Government.

Why is all of James request for investigation hacking, GPS, terrorism being neglected by CA County and Government, District Attorney Police, District Attorney Intelligence Unit, etc.

Why is James B. Jordan being illegally terrorized since 2012 - 2024? Why, Why, Why!!!

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

- 23-3910 James B. Jordan vs United States of America
- 23-55652 James B. Jordan vs FBI
- 23-55647 James B. Jordan vs Greyhound Lines
- 23-55648 James B. Jordan vs LA County District Attorney
- 23-3767 James B. Jordan vs. LA County Sheriff, et al.
- 23-3759 James B. Jordan vs LA County Department of Children and Family Services
- 23-3862 James B. Jordan vs DOJ-Office of US Attorney
- 23-3873 James B. Jordan vs Federal Court Security Officers

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

The opinion of the United States District Court

On approximately May 11, 2024 James B. Jordan spoke with USG Nesbitt regarding the opinion of the US District Court being appended to James Jordans petition.

USG Nesbitt stated that USG would attach US District Court opinion to James Jordans petition.

James is very poor, and being terrorized by law enforcement, has limited resources, and is homeless in DMV (District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia) area fighting for James life (harassment, tutor, terrorism).

James seconds USG Nesbitts offer to attach the United States district court opinion to James petition.

X James B. Jordan, BSW/MSW

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- [] reported at February 23, 2024; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- [] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- [] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- [] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was February 24, 2024.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: February 24, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment

Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment

Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment

Labour Code Section 230(e) prohibits employer retaliating against employee because of his status (victim of a crime)

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

prohibits an employer (IA County) from retaliating against an employee who has "made a charge", testified, assisted or participated in "any charge of" unlawful discrimination, under the Act.

Search and Seizure Clause

Supremacy Clause (federal law takes precedence over any conflicting state law)

End James B. Turlan's illegal terrorism

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

In 2012 James/petitioner worked for CA County, as a Master level Social Worker for the Department of Children and Family Services. James masters degree was paid for by Government who CA County DCFS partnered with Title 46 Calswec Social Worker recruitment program.

James began training (Title 46 Calswec) with CA County in 2009 first year of Graduate School, and in 2010 James worked as a paid intern with CA County DCFS in Los Angeles.

In 2012 James was terminated from CA County for no reason, petitioner didn't have any right ups, complaints and petitioner always did a phenomenal job as Social Worker, no child deaths, no missed court appearances, etc.

Petitioner appealed CA County in 2012 in Stanley Mosk Court and in 2015 Judge over turned termination allowing petitioner to keep MSW degree, all stipend funds JSW \$0 a month, totaling 60,000 in living stipend, plus Graduate School cost approximately 200,000 dollars of Government funds. Judge also ruled that petitioner would receive work time 2012-2015 of court appeal for credit worked for CA County Employment.

(1)

After petitioner finished Court and termination was overturned by Judge it was decided by Judge that petitioner did not have to pay any money back, and would keep MSW degree. petitioner began being harassed by Los Angeles County Sheriffs. Specifically, (2012) Sheriffs began calling petitioner out in car, wrongfully arresting petitioner for assault of a Sheriff Deputy. petitioner car would be towed (various), etc. Sheriffs would even assault, harass petitioner, etc.

But after court hearing concluded in 2015 Sheriff assault and arrest got worst. Petitioner started being arrested every day, Sheriffs would threaten to kill petitioner, etc. Petitioner filed various complaints with LA County District Attorney, FBI, etc. but all petitioners complaints were ignored.

Sheriffs continued to arrest, assault, and terrorize petitioner. Petitioner 2012-2022 would be arrested by Sheriffs (see James Jordan v. LA County Sheriffs) and jailed for 3 days

then released (every time) on District Attorney's reject. Petitioner was not allowed to see Judge and petitioner's double jeopardy rights were being violated (repeat arrests for assault on a Sheriff Deputy). If Deputy had petitioner assaulting them on body camera then why were no charges filed. Why was petitioner continuously released on DA's reject by the LA County District Attorney?

③ Because DA works for LA County, Petitioners previous employer. LA County conspired against Petitioners to retaliate for taking LA County to court and exposing wrongful termination, etc. Petitioners worked with LA County Sheriffs everyday as a Social Worker. LA County is one of the most corrupt Agencies in the world. Petitioner was the victim of illegal terrorism in Los Angeles by LA County. District Attorney participated in Petitioners terrorism by washing Sheriffs hands. Sheriffs towed Petitioners car (can't be a Social Worker without a vehicle, 75% of social work is driving, interviews, paper work etc.), arrested Petitioners for no reason, then District Attorney neglected to investigate, nor allow (by law) Petitioners the right to see a judge so terrorism, arrest, etc. would end (double jeopardy). District Attorney just allowed Petitioners to be jailed (sleep on floor in crowded jails with killers, criminals, etc.) 3 days of terrorism then Petitioners name never called for court so Petitioners had to be released. Dont forget LA County Sheriffs work at the jails, some that Petitioners was familiar with from working as a Social Worker (jail visits, juvenile hall visits, etc.). Petitioners went through years 2012-2014 of LA County terrorism. LA County told Petitioners you talk us to court so you're blacklisted for life.

③ petitioner would even leave Los Angeles but Sheriff's would still find ways to access petitioner. Very scary, since Sheriff's work with agencies in every state. District Attorney has Police and collaborate with FBI also. Petitioner also returned back to Los Angeles (home, where born and raised) since mother, and the rest of family lived. Petitioner does not deserve this terrorism. Petitioner is a Social Worker and worked as a Social Worker for Children and Family Services in every state that petitioner moved to, to attempt to have a better life (no terrorism). But petitioner forgot that all Children Services Agencies collaborate, expedite, etc. So CA County, FBI (background check) knew who and where petitioner worked.

for example, in 2015 petitioner worked for Children Services in St Paul, Minnesota and Children Service Employment History continues below:

Lincoln, Nebraska

Phoenix, Arizona

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (also worked for a Prison after DCFS termination)

Monroe, Louisiana (also worked for Sunsite Prison)

and etc. Petitioner is very traumatised a sick as a result of CA County terrorism. Petitioner has been through so much illegal activity, but through all 2012-current petitioner has never been convicted of anything.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Terrorism is the worst crime in the world.

CA County District Attorney and their CA County Partners, affiliates FBI, Los Angeles Police and various other Law Enforcement Agencies around the world have illegally taken Petitioners life, free will from Petitioners.

Hacking, assaultings, Artificial Intelligence Harassment by Law Enforcement Units, terrorism, etc.

All Negel. If Petitioners petition is not granted then Law Enforcement will continue to breach laws under their oaths.

Judges are head of Distributing Justice but police, Sheriffs, District Attorneys, etc. play a huge role in citizens court involvement. Granting the petition would bring Petitioner justice, payment, etc.

Petitioner has suffered years, over a decade of terrorism. Not the first, but with Supreme Court intervention can be the last!

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,


Date: July 30, 2024