

24-5167

No. \_\_\_\_\_

FILED

MAY 21 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOHN STANCU,

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

Governor GREG ABBOTT,  
The State of Texas

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Supreme Court of Texas

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

John Stancu

(Your Name)

P. O. Box 133171

(Address)

Dallas, Texas 75313-3171

(City, State, Zip Code)

(469) 567-3365

(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Did the State of Texas Courts involved in this case wrongly denied Petitioner Stancu's Seventh Amendment right to the United States Constitution by maliciously obstructing Stancu from having a trial by a jury of his peers ?

2. Did Texas Governor Greg Abbott abused his power and gravely harmed Petitioner Stancu, under the pretext of "sovereign" and complete immunity from prosecution, regardless of his illegal and injurious actions against Stancu ?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4-10
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	11
CONCLUSION.....	12

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - Decision of the Supreme Court of Texas.

APPENDIX B - The Seventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

APPENDIX C - Equal Justice for All .

APPENDIX D - Mandate of the Court of Appeals, Fifth District of  
Texas at Dallas.

APPENDIX E - Judgment, and Memorandum Opinion by the Court of Appeals,  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas.

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### STATUTES AND RULES

### PAGE NUMBER

U.S. Constitution, Seventh Amendment .....	10
--	----

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Court of Appeals, Fifth District of Texas at Dallas court appears at Appendix D and E to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was April 5, 2024.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

### Nature of the Case:

This is a legal action for the State of Texas Governor Greg Abbott's abuse of the "sovereign immunity" clause, the 134th District Court from Dallas County, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals from Dallas, Texas, and the Supreme Court of Texas, for wrongly denying Petitioner Stancu's day in court, in violation of the Seventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

### Disposition of the trial court:

Judge James Tillery of this trial court, issued an arbitrary order of dismissal of five words, ruling that Abbott's "motion of dismissal is meritorious", while completely ignoring the facts presented by Stancu. This judge didn't even bother to print his name in his order.

### Disposition of the court of appeals:

The court of appeals biasedly dismissed Petitioner's appeal, and maliciously ordered Stancu to pay Respondents' court costs.

### Disposition of the Supreme Court of Texas:

The Supreme Court of Texas denied to even review Stancu's Petition, and this underscores the throughout corruption of the Texas' "justice" system, and why Gov. Greg Abbott is acting like a dictator.



This usurpation of the U.S. Constitution was highlighted on November, 2022, in a CNN documentary titled "The Deep Pockets of Texas." The reporter encapsulated the wide spread corruption of our justice system in four words : **"A Russian style oligarchy"**.

The following is a brief description of the facts :

Petitioner Stancu ("Stancu") is a 69 years old man. On June, 2021, Stancu was on Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation Program (IPUC).

On June 27, 2021, Governor Greg Abbott has ended Texas participation in the federal pandemic unemployment program, and this ended Stancu's unemployment benefits. At that time (June 27, 2021) Stancu had \$ 8,850 of unemployment benefits remaining.

The real reason for which Gov. Abbott terminated the federal unemployment benefits program was that his masters (the billionaire business owners) complained about shortage of workers. Furthermore, in his letter to the U.S. Department of Labor, Gov. Abbott omits to inform the U.S. Secretary of Labor that his "one size fits all" blanket termination of unemployment benefits for **everybody**, will expose thousands of vulnerable people to unnecessary harm.

In Petitioner's case, Gov. Abbott's termination of Stancu's unemployment benefits forced Stancu (a 69 years old man) into an unsafe environment, infested with a highly transmissible and more deadly "Delta variant" of Covid-19 virus.

On or about the first week of July, 2021, Stancu contracted the Covid-19 virus during a job fair set up by Respondents. This virus infection almost killed Stancu, and disabled him for the rest of his life.

On August 27, 2021, Stancu sued Gov. Greg Abbott and the State of Texas ("Abbott") for abuse of power by wrongfully terminating Stancu's Federal Covid-19 Pandemic Unemployment Benefits (FPUC).

On March 21, 2022, Abbott filed "Defendants' Plea to the Jurisdiction, asking the court to dismiss Stancu's lawsuit.

On March 24, 2022, Stancu filed "Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Plea to the Jurisdiction, asking the court to deny Abbott's motion, and allow Stancu his due process right to have his day in Court, in front of a jury of his peers; in accordance with the Seventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

On May 4, 2022, judge James B. Tillery arbitrarily dismissed Stancu's lawsuit.

Gov. Greg Abbott ended the FPUC solely on his authority, without any input from the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC). In fact, the letter to the U.S. Secretary of Labor is signed only by Abbott, and informs solely about his decision as Governor. The powers of the Governor are not exhaustive.

Gov. Abbott is perpetrating his abuses of power under the pretext of **"sovereign" immunity** , and until this usurpation of our Constitutional rights is not reviewed by the U.S. Supreme Court, corrupted politicians like Greg Abbott will continue to undermine the freedom and liberty of the American people.

The sovereign immunity claim is derived from British common law doctrine based on the idea that the King could do no wrong and is above the law. In the U.S.A., the elected officials, and the U.S. Supreme Court keep emphasizing that **"nobody is above the law"**. If this is true, the U.S. Supreme Court should review this travesty of justice and make an appropriate ruling.

Like was stated before, in this case, Gov. Greg Abbott terminated the unemployment benefits to help his financial supporters (private corporations) who told Abbott to cancel the unemployment benefits, thus forcing the unemployed Americans to go back to work during a deadly pandemic.

Abbott's Attorney General Ken Paxton stated in the open that the American citizens should die for the economy, i.e. for the business owners.

Furthermore, the State of Texas did not finance the programs at issue (only administering them). The State of Texas will have not suffered no direct financial injury by preserving the unemployment benefits.

1. In 2015, the Director of National Security Agency. Gen. Michael Hayden, stated that Gov. Greg Abbott activated the Texas State Guard to observe "Jade Helm 15", a multi-state military training exercise. In tune with his wacky conspiracy theory, Gov. Abbott told Lt. Col. Mark Lastoria of the U.S. Army, that he had qualms about the exercise being a way for federal forces to take over Texas and much of the Southwest. Abbott's action was so nutty that the Doonesbury comic strip satirized that Abbott "activated the State Guard to monitor "Jade Helm 15" in case the troops try to impose martial law, disarm innocent Texans, and detain them in tunnels beneath closed Walmart stores."

2. On or about June, 2021, Gov. Abbott ordered the arrest of his political opponents and a stop of their pay-checks, in a dispute over voting rights.

3. Gov. Abbott also ordered that Texas public schools can't require masks. With the corona virus on the rise and the delta variant being extremely infectious during that time, more kids ended up in the hospitals. Abbott's insane order was a threat to the health and safety of Texas public schools.

4. In June, 2023, Abbott ordered the installation of floating buoys in the Rio Grande river to stop migrants from crossing. The insane action killed and injured many immigrants (including children) and a federal judge ordered the state to remove the

floating barrier. At the same time, Abbott was interfering with the duties of the U.S. Border Patrol.

5. The wrongful termination of unemployment benefits for senior citizens like petitioner Stancu was par for the course in Gov. Abbott's dictatorial agenda.

Gov. Abbott is perpetrating his abuses of power under the pretext of sovereign immunity, and until this usurpation of our Constitutional rights is not reviewed by the U.S. Supreme Court, corrupted officials like Greg Abbott will continue to abuse the freedom and liberty of the American people.

In summary, petitioner Stancu was permanently disabled under the dictatorial B.S. of **sovereign immunity**, and the state kangaroo courts involved in this case arbitrarily denied Stancu's most basic Constitutional right, namely the right to a trial by a jury of his peers. For the record, the following is the

**Seventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution :**

"In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of a trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of common law."

6. In addition to the above Constitutional abuses, the Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit of Texas at Dallas, did not issued its mandate until after Stancu filed his petition with this Court (see Appendix D) with the malicious purpose of undermining Stancu's appeals.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. The first reason for granting this petition is the United States Constitution, specifically the Seventh Amendment.

(See Appendix B).

2. The second reason for granting this petition is the dictatorial abuse of power by Governor Greg Abbott of the State of Texas, under the bogus pretext of sovereign immunity, while at the same time the U.S. Supreme Court is stating that nobody is above the law.

3. The third reason for granting this petition is that is about time for the U.S. Supreme Court to keep its oath of office, protect the Constitutional rights of the American citizens, and address this Nullification of the Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution for Pro Se, Forma Pauperis Litigants.

Numerous of the hard core facts of the above mentioned constitutional nullifications are now obvious for everybody. This is Petitioner Stancu's fourth (4-th) petition filed with the U.S. Supreme Court in the last seven years. Here is the list :

1. John Stancu v. Starwood Hotels (No. 16-10513 - denied review).
2. John Stancu v. Starwood Hotels (No. 17-6310 - denied review).
3. John Stancu v. Hyatt Corporation (No. 198156 - denied review).
4. John Stancu v. Gov. Gregg Abbott (pending).

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John Stancu

John Stancu  
Petitioner, Pro Se

Date : July 23, 2024